

SOCIAL ACCOUNTING

Suwito



SOCIAL ACCOUNTING

PENULIS:
Suwito



PENERBIT KBM INDONESIA

adalah penerbit dengan misi memudahkan proses penerbitan buku-buku penulis di tanah air Indonesia, serta menjadi media *sharing* proses penerbitan buku.

SOCIAL ACCOUNTING

Copyright @2025 Suwito

All right reserved

Penulis

Suwito

Desain Sampul

Aswan Kreatif

Tata Letak

AtikaNS

Editor

Dr. Muhamad Husein Maruapey, Drs., M.Sc.

Background isi buku di ambil dari <https://www.freepik.com/>

Official

Depok, Sleman-Jogjakarta (Kantor)

Penerbit Karya Bakti Makmur (KBM) Indonesia

Anggota IKAPI/No. IKAPI 279/JTI/2021

081357517526 (Tlpn/WA)

Website

<https://penerbitkbm.com>

www.penerbitbukumurah.com

Email

naskah@penerbitkbm.com

Distributor

<https://penerbitkbm.com/toko-buku/>

Youtube

Penerbit KBM Sastrabook

Instagram

@penerbit.kbmindonesia

@penerbitbukujogja

ISBN: 978-634-202-387-7

Cetakan ke-1, Mei 2025

21 x 29 cm, x + 291 halaman

Isi buku diluar tanggungjawab penerbit

Hak cipta merek KBM Indonesia sudah terdaftar di DJKI-Kemenkumham dan isi buku dilindungi undang-undang.

Dilarang keras menerjemahkan, memfotokopi, atau memperbanyak sebagian atau seluruh isi buku ini tanpa seizin penerbit karena beresiko sengketa hukum

Sanksi Pelanggaran Pasal 113

Undang-Undang No. 28 Tahun 2014 Tentang Hak Cipta

- i. Setiap Orang yang dengan tanpa hak melakukan pelanggaran hak ekonomi sebagaimana dimaksud dalam Pasal 9 ayat (1) huruf i untuk Penggunaan Secara Komersial dipidana dengan pidana penjara paling lama 1 (satu) tahun dan/atau pidana denda paling banyak Rp 100.000.000 (seratus juta rupiah).
- ii. Setiap Orang yang dengan tanpa hak dan/atau tanpa izin Pencipta atau pemegang Hak Cipta melakukan pelanggaran hak ekonomi Pencipta sebagaimana dimaksud dalam Pasal 9 ayat (1) huruf c, huruf d, huruf f, dan/atau huruf h untuk Penggunaan Secara Komersial dipidana dengan pidana penjara paling lama 3 (tiga) tahun dan/atau pidana denda paling banyak Rp 500.000.000,00 (lima ratus juta rupiah).
- iii. Setiap Orang yang dengan tanpa hak dan/atau tanpa izin Pencipta atau pemegang Hak Cipta melakukan pelanggaran hak ekonomi Pencipta sebagaimana dimaksud dalam Pasal 9 ayat (1) huruf a, huruf b, huruf e, dan/atau huruf g untuk Penggunaan Secara Komersial dipidana dengan pidana penjara paling lama 4 (empat) tahun dan/atau pidana denda paling banyak Rp 1.000.000.000,00 (satu miliar rupiah).
- iv. Setiap Orang yang memenuhi unsur sebagaimana dimaksud pada ayat (3) yang dilakukan dalam bentuk pembajakan, dipidana dengan pidana penjara paling lama 10 (sepuluh) tahun dan/atau pidana denda paling banyak Rp 4.000.000.000,00 (empat miliar rupiah).

FOREWORD

Krisis lingkungan yang semakin masif, meningkatnya ketimpangan sosial, serta gejolak ekonomi global telah menciptakan tantangan baru bagi organisasi dalam menjalankan operasionalnya. Publik kini menunjukkan sikap yang lebih kritis terhadap perusahaan yang hanya mengejar keuntungan tanpa mempertimbangkan dampak sosial dan ekologis dari aktivitasnya. Kesadaran kolektif pun mulai tumbuh bahwa pembangunan berkelanjutan tidak dapat dicapai melalui pendekatan ekonomi semata. Tuntutan terhadap akuntabilitas sosial dan keterbukaan informasi menjadi semakin mengemuka dalam dinamika bisnis modern. Akuntansi sosial hadir sebagai jawaban atas perubahan ekspektasi ini terhadap fungsi akuntansi dalam masyarakat kontemporer. Fungsi akuntansi yang sebelumnya terbatas pada pencatatan dan pelaporan keuangan kini mulai diperluas menjadi sarana untuk menyampaikan nilai, etika, dan dampak sosial perusahaan.

Perkembangan ini menunjukkan bahwa pelaporan organisasi tidak cukup hanya berdasarkan neraca keuangan, melainkan harus mencerminkan kontribusinya terhadap keadilan sosial dan kelestarian lingkungan. Integrasi aspek sosial dan lingkungan dalam akuntansi mencerminkan paradigma baru dalam menilai kinerja organisasi. Dengan demikian, akuntansi sosial tampil sebagai wajah baru dalam pelaporan yang lebih etis dan bertanggung jawab. Perubahan ini bukan sekadar respons terhadap tekanan eksternal, tetapi juga bagian dari proses reflektif internal organisasi dalam menata ulang perannya di masyarakat. Pendekatan ini menempatkan organisasi bukan hanya sebagai entitas ekonomi, tetapi juga sebagai aktor sosial yang memiliki kewajiban moral. Maka, akuntansi sosial menjadi instrumen penting dalam merumuskan dan menyampaikan komitmen terhadap nilai-nilai keberlanjutan.

Dalam konteks global yang semakin menuntut keberlanjutan, organisasi dihadapkan pada keharusan untuk menunjukkan transparansi di semua lini operasionalnya. Laporan keuangan konvensional dinilai tidak lagi memadai untuk merepresentasikan dampak sosial dan lingkungan dari aktivitas ekonomi. Oleh sebab itu, akuntansi sosial menawarkan suatu kerangka pelaporan yang lebih komprehensif dan reflektif. Kerangka ini menggabungkan informasi ekonomi dengan nilai-nilai etika serta kepentingan pemangku kepentingan. Laporan keberlanjutan, pengungkapan hak asasi manusia, serta partisipasi pemangku kepentingan menjadi elemen penting dalam pendekatan ini. Akuntansi sosial tidak hanya menjadi alat metodologis, tetapi juga menjadi medium komunikasi yang membangun kepercayaan.

Perkembangan disiplin ini tidak terjadi dalam ruang hampa, melainkan merupakan bagian dari transformasi global dalam tata kelola organisasi. Meningkatnya perhatian terhadap *corporate social responsibility* (CSR) telah mendorong akuntansi sosial menjadi penghubung antara teori etika dan praktik manajerial. Organisasi yang menerapkan akuntansi sosial berupaya memperoleh legitimasi sosial sekaligus membangun reputasi jangka panjang. Dalam jangka waktu tertentu, pendekatan ini mampu meningkatkan daya saing organisasi secara berkelanjutan. Buku ini hadir sebagai respons terhadap dinamika tersebut, dengan menawarkan pemahaman konseptual dan pendekatan praktis yang aplikatif. Dengan demikian, buku ini diharapkan dapat menjadi sumber pembelajaran sekaligus alat refleksi yang bermanfaat bagi berbagai pihak.

Buku ini tidak hanya menyuguhkan teori, tetapi juga memperkenalkan metode, prinsip, dan praktik akuntansi sosial yang relevan dalam konteks global. Pemahaman mendalam tentang bagaimana pelaporan sosial dapat mengubah cara pandang organisasi terhadap keberhasilan menjadi bagian penting dari buku ini. Keberadaan studi kasus dari berbagai sektor memperkaya isi buku dan memberikan dimensi empiris yang aplikatif. Melalui pendekatan ini, pembaca diajak memahami keterkaitan antara kerangka teoritis dan penerapannya dalam praktik organisasi.

Hasilnya, buku ini menjadi panduan yang seimbang antara refleksi akademik dan kebutuhan praktis dunia usaha. Penulis mengangkat isu-isu penting seperti keadilan sosial, keberlanjutan lingkungan, serta transformasi digital dalam akuntansi.

Tema-tema tersebut bukan hanya mencerminkan tantangan kontemporer, tetapi juga membuka ruang bagi solusi inovatif. Dengan menggabungkan dimensi normatif dan praktis, buku ini memberikan jawaban terhadap persoalan konseptual sekaligus arah pelaksanaan di lapangan. Kontribusi seperti ini sangat dibutuhkan untuk memperkuat basis keilmuan akuntansi sosial di lingkungan akademik. Di saat yang sama, pendekatan ini juga menjadi panduan etis bagi organisasi dalam menjawab tuntutan zaman. Buku ini merupakan refleksi atas kebutuhan untuk melihat akuntansi tidak sekadar sebagai alat teknis, melainkan sebagai instrumen sosial yang membawa nilai. Maka dari itu, buku ini memiliki relevansi tinggi bagi dunia pendidikan, penelitian, dan praktik profesional.

Buku ini ditujukan bagi siapa pun yang ingin memahami akuntansi sebagai sarana transformasi sosial yang bermakna. Bagi kalangan mahasiswa dan peneliti, buku ini membuka peluang eksplorasi gagasan baru serta pengembangan kurikulum yang kontekstual. Sementara itu, bagi praktisi dan pembuat kebijakan, buku ini menyajikan panduan untuk membangun strategi organisasi yang adil dan berkelanjutan. Di tengah era disrupsi dan globalisasi, pendekatan sosial dalam akuntansi memberikan harapan akan pelaporan yang lebih inklusif dan manusiawi. Buku ini mencoba menjawab pertanyaan mendasar tentang cara mengukur apa yang benar-benar bernilai dalam kehidupan organisasi. Pada akhirnya, akuntansi sosial tidak hanya mendorong keterbukaan, tetapi juga membentuk kesadaran kolektif tentang pentingnya etika dalam pengambilan keputusan.

Lebih dari sekadar kumpulan teori, buku ini bertujuan untuk mendorong perubahan paradigma dalam dunia akuntansi. Dengan landasan nilai-nilai etika dan tanggung jawab sosial, akuntansi dapat menjadi kekuatan yang memperkuat demokrasi ekonomi dan keadilan sosial. Inilah semangat yang mendasari penulisan buku ini: menjadikan akuntansi sebagai alat perubahan yang berintegritas. Harapannya, masa depan akuntansi akan ditandai oleh kebijaksanaan, keberanian moral, dan komitmen terhadap keberlanjutan. Dalam angka, kita menemukan narasi; dalam pelaporan, kita menanamkan nurani. Semoga buku ini dapat menjadi sumber inspirasi bagi mereka yang ingin membangun organisasi yang lebih bertanggung jawab.

Ternate, 07 Mei 2025
Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Khairun

PREFACE

Perubahan zaman yang ditandai oleh krisis sosial dan lingkungan telah memaksa dunia akuntansi untuk melakukan transformasi mendasar. Fungsi akuntansi tidak lagi cukup sekadar mencatat dan melaporkan transaksi ekonomi secara teknis. Ia dituntut untuk merespons isu-isu keberlanjutan dan keadilan sosial yang semakin kompleks. Dalam konteks ini, muncul kebutuhan akan literatur yang tidak hanya bersifat teoritis, tetapi juga kontekstual dan aplikatif. Buku ini hadir sebagai jawaban atas tuntutan tersebut dengan menyajikan pendekatan yang integratif dan manusiawi. Ia menjadi jembatan antara ilmu akuntansi konvensional dan nilai-nilai kemanusiaan yang semakin relevan di era kini.

Struktur buku ini dirancang secara sistematis agar pembaca dapat memahami akuntansi sosial sebagai disiplin yang tengah berkembang. Buku ini terbagi ke dalam enam bagian utama yang mengantarkan pembaca dari konsep dasar hingga aplikasi nyata. Pendekatan ini mempermudah mahasiswa dan praktisi untuk melihat keterkaitan antara teori dan implementasi. Setiap bagian menyusun alur berpikir yang logis agar pembaca dapat membangun pemahaman secara menyeluruh. Tidak hanya menyampaikan informasi, buku ini juga mendorong refleksi kritis terhadap praktik akuntansi yang ada. Oleh karena itu, buku ini bersifat informatif sekaligus edukatif secara strategis.

Bagian awal buku ini difokuskan pada penguatan fondasi teoritis dari akuntansi sosial. Sejarah perkembangan, landasan filosofis, dan pendekatan metodologis disampaikan secara rinci dan terstruktur. Penjelasan ini membantu pembaca memahami pentingnya akuntansi sosial dalam merespons dinamika masyarakat. Lebih dari sekadar definisi, bagian ini menyuguhkan pemikiran kritis tentang arah perkembangan disiplin tersebut. Dengan demikian, pembaca tidak hanya mengenali istilah, tetapi juga mampu menangkap konteks dan relevansi sosialnya. Inilah pijakan penting dalam membentuk perspektif yang luas dan integratif.

Selanjutnya, buku ini mengeksplorasi penerapan akuntansi sosial dalam berbagai sektor organisasi. Sektor privat, publik, dan nirlaba masing-masing menghadapi tantangan yang berbeda dalam mengimplementasikan prinsip-prinsip keberlanjutan. Buku ini menyajikan contoh nyata dan analisis kritis yang mencerminkan keberagaman pendekatan dalam praktik. Pendekatan tersebut menekankan pentingnya kebijakan internal, pengukuran dampak, dan transparansi dalam pelaporan. Akuntansi sosial digambarkan bukan sebagai konsep seragam, melainkan sebagai sistem yang fleksibel dan kontekstual. Ini memberikan ruang adaptasi sesuai dengan karakteristik dan kebutuhan setiap organisasi.

Salah satu kekuatan utama buku ini terletak pada penekanan peran pemangku kepentingan dalam membentuk praktik akuntansi yang etis. Isu-isu seperti hak tenaga kerja, kesetaraan, dan akuntabilitas sosial menjadi fokus karena relevansinya di dunia organisasi modern. Pembaca juga diajak untuk memahami perkembangan standar global yang memengaruhi pelaporan keberlanjutan. Dengan mencermati dinamika tersebut, pembaca diajak untuk berpikir secara sistemik, kritis, dan adaptif. Buku ini mengajak kita untuk melampaui kepatuhan administratif menuju komitmen moral yang lebih substansial. Inilah bentuk transformasi nilai yang diperlukan dalam dunia bisnis kontemporer.

Bagian akhir buku ini mengajak pembaca menatap masa depan akuntansi sosial dalam konteks perubahan global. Peran teknologi digital dan isu krisis iklim dibahas sebagai faktor penting yang mengubah lanskap pelaporan. Transformasi digital melalui big data dan pelaporan terintegrasi telah membuka peluang dalam pengukuran dampak sosial dan lingkungan. Sementara itu, krisis iklim menuntut akuntansi turut serta dalam proses mitigasi dan adaptasi kebijakan. Perpaduan antara teknologi dan keberlanjutan menjadi ruang strategis bagi inovasi

dalam akuntansi sosial. Dengan demikian, buku ini tidak hanya membahas kondisi saat ini, tetapi juga mempersiapkan pembaca untuk tantangan masa depan.

Buku ini ditujukan terutama bagi mahasiswa dalam bidang akuntansi, ekonomi, serta manajemen. Namun, cakupannya jauh lebih luas dan mencakup auditor, akuntan profesional, hingga pembuat kebijakan. Materi yang disampaikan dapat digunakan sebagai bahan ajar maupun referensi praktis dalam membangun organisasi yang adil dan berkelanjutan. Penyajian yang sistematis, kontekstual, dan relevan menjadikan buku ini sebagai sumber daya yang inklusif dan multidimensi. Lebih dari sekadar buku teks, karya ini dimaksudkan sebagai alat refleksi kritis yang menginspirasi perubahan. Ia mendorong kita untuk memikirkan ulang cara kita menghitung, menilai, dan melaporkan nilai dalam masyarakat.

Harapan kami, buku ini dapat menumbuhkan kesadaran baru akan fungsi akuntansi sebagai instrumen moral yang membentuk masa depan yang lebih adil. Dalam dunia yang semakin kompleks dan terhubung, kontribusi semacam ini sangatlah penting dan mendesak. Melalui buku ini, kami ingin menunjukkan bahwa praktik bisnis dapat tumbuh secara etis dan bertanggung jawab. Prinsip-prinsip akuntansi sosial harus menjadi bagian integral dari tata kelola yang bijaksana. Dengan pemahaman ini, akuntansi tidak lagi sekadar alat teknis, melainkan kekuatan yang membentuk masyarakat yang lebih berkeadilan. Semoga buku ini dapat menjadi bagian dari gerakan menuju akuntansi yang lebih manusiawi dan berintegritas.

Ternate, 07 Mei 2025

Penulis

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Setiap karya ilmiah lahir dari proses kolaboratif yang tidak pernah berjalan sendiri. Demikian pula dengan buku ini, yang tumbuh dari pertukaran ide, kritik membangun, dan semangat kolektif berbagai pihak. Di balik setiap paragraf, terdapat percikan pemikiran, dukungan emosional, serta eksplorasi intelektual yang kami jalani bersama banyak kontributor. Penulisan ini menjadi perjalanan yang bermakna karena adanya dukungan luas dan tulus dari berbagai kalangan. Oleh karena itu, bagian ini ditulis sebagai ungkapan terima kasih kami yang mendalam dan tulus. Kami ingin menghargai setiap pihak yang telah memberi warna dalam proses penyusunan buku ini.

Kami menyampaikan apresiasi setinggi-tingginya kepada para akademisi dan peneliti di bidang akuntansi sosial dan keberlanjutan. Diskusi yang mereka fasilitasi telah memperkaya perspektif kami serta memperluas cakrawala referensi yang kami gunakan. Banyak di antara mereka yang, secara informal, menjadi mitra berpikir bahkan mentor dalam menyusun struktur argumentasi buku ini. Pengalaman dan riset mereka membantu kami memahami kompleksitas konsep secara lebih mendalam. Tanpa dukungan komunitas ilmiah ini, buku ini tidak akan memiliki kedalaman dan keluasan seperti yang tersaji sekarang. Mereka menjadi sumber inspirasi sekaligus pengarah dalam proses berpikir kritis kami.

Kontribusi penting juga datang dari mahasiswa dan praktisi yang secara langsung menguji konsep-konsep dalam buku ini. Melalui studi kasus, program *Corporate Social Responsibility*, dan kerja lapangan, mereka menunjukkan bagaimana teori dapat diwujudkan dalam praktik nyata. Refleksi empiris mereka sangat berarti dalam menyusun bagian aplikasi buku ini. Kami belajar banyak dari keterlibatan mereka yang penuh semangat dan kejujuran akademik. Temuan dan pengalaman mereka memperkuat keyakinan kami bahwa teori dan praktik harus berjalan beriringan. Dalam semangat tersebut, buku ini menjadi hasil sinergi antara dunia akademik dan praktik profesional.

Kami juga berterima kasih kepada para reviewer anonim yang telah memberikan masukan tajam dan membangun terhadap naskah awal buku ini. Komentar-komentar mereka membantu kami menyempurnakan substansi, memperjelas alur, dan memperkuat validitas ilmiah karya ini. Meskipun tanpa nama, kritik mereka mencerminkan kepedulian tinggi terhadap mutu dan relevansi akademik. Kami sangat menghargai peran mereka sebagai penjaga standar dalam literatur ilmiah. Tanpa masukan tersebut, buku ini tidak akan mencapai kualitas yang diharapkan. Mereka telah memberi kontribusi besar dalam membentuk integritas intelektual buku ini.

Tantangan pribadi dan profesional selama proses penulisan juga tidak bisa diabaikan. Dalam hal ini, keluarga dan kolega kami memainkan peran penting sebagai sumber kekuatan dan ketenangan. Mereka telah memberikan ruang, waktu, serta pengertian di tengah berbagai tuntutan pekerjaan dan kehidupan. Dukungan emosional yang mereka berikan menjadi sandaran kami dalam menghadapi kebuntuan dan kelelahan. Kepada mereka, kami menyampaikan terima kasih sedalam-dalamnya. Selain itu, kami juga ingin mengapresiasi tim editorial dan penerbit yang telah bekerja secara profesional dan teliti. Kualitas akhir buku ini sangat dipengaruhi oleh ketekunan mereka dalam menyunting dan memproduksi naskah.

Akhirnya, penyusunan buku ini telah menjadi pengalaman kolektif yang memperkaya secara intelektual maupun emosional. Proses ini mengajarkan kami bahwa menulis bukanlah kerja individu semata, melainkan hasil interaksi sosial yang mendalam. Setiap masukan, dukungan, dan semangat telah membentuk identitas buku ini secara utuh. Oleh sebab itu, ucapan terima kasih ini bukan sekadar formalitas, tetapi refleksi dari rasa hormat terhadap kolaborasi yang terjadi. Semoga semangat kebersamaan yang melandasi buku ini juga dapat dirasakan oleh para

pembaca. Kami percaya bahwa dalam setiap halaman, tersimpan jejak kerja kolektif dan cinta terhadap ilmu pengetahuan.

Ternate, 07 Mei 2025
Penulis

DAFTAR ISI

FOREWORD	i
PREFACE	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	v
DAFTAR ISI	vii
BAB 1 - SEJARAH DAN PERKEMBANGAN AKUNTANSI SOSIAL	1
1.1 Definisi dan Ruang Lingkup	1
1.2 Perkembangan Historis Akuntansi Sosial	3
1.3 Pentingnya Akuntansi Sosial dalam Masyarakat Kontemporer	4
1.4 Kerangka Teoretis Akuntansi Sosial: Landasan, Prinsip, dan Implikasinya	6
1.5 Pertimbangan Etis dalam Akuntansi Sosial	8
1.6 Ikhtisar	9
BAB 2 - TEORI DAN MODEL DALAM AKUNTANSI SOSIAL	11
2.1 Teori Legitimasi	11
2.2 Teori Pemangku Kepentingan	12
2.3 Teori Akuntabilitas	14
2.4 Teori Ekonomi Politik dalam Akuntansi Sosial	15
2.5 Pelaporan Terpadu dan Kerangka Keberlanjutan	16
2.6 Peran Kontrak Sosial dalam Akuntansi	17
2.7 Ikhtisar	19
BAB 3 - METODOLOGI PENELITIAN DALAM AKUNTANSI SOSIAL	21
3.1 Pendekatan Kuantitatif dalam Penelitian Akuntansi Sosial	21
3.2 Pendekatan Kualitatif dalam Penelitian Akuntansi Sosial	23
3.3 Penelitian Metode Campuran dalam Penelitian Akuntansi Sosial	25
3.4 Analisis Konten dalam Pelaporan Keberlanjutan	26
3.5 Pertimbangan Etis dalam Penelitian Akuntansi Sosial	27
3.6 Tren Metodologi Penelitian yang Berkembang	28
3.7 Ikhtisar	29
BAB 4 - CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY (CSR) DAN AKUNTANSI	31
4.1 Evolusi CSR dan Keterkaitannya dengan Akuntansi	31

4.2 Pengukuran dan Pelaporan CSR -----	32
4.3 Assurance dan Audit CSR -----	35
4.4 Alasan Bisnis dalam Implementasi CSR -----	36
4.5 Hubungan CSR dengan Kinerja Keuangan Perusahaan-----	38
4.6 Regulasi dan Standar CSR -----	40
4.7 Ikhtisar-----	41
BAB 5 - ENVIRONMENTAL ACCOUNTING -----	43
5.1 Konsep dan Pentingnya <i>Environmental Accounting</i> -----	43
5.2 Akuntansi Biaya Lingkungan -----	45
5.3 Akuntansi Karbon dan Pelaporan Emisi -----	46
5.4 <i>Sustainability Performance Metrics</i> -----	48
5.5 Studi Kasus <i>Environmental Accounting</i> -----	51
5.6 Tantangan dalam Implementasi -----	53
5.7 Ikhtisar-----	55
BAB 6 - PELAPORAN SOSIAL DAN PENGUNGKAPAN KEBERLANJUTAN -----	57
6.1 Pelaporan Sosial dan Standar Pelaporan Lingkungan -----	57
6.2 Pelaporan Terintegrasi dan Tantangannya -----	58
6.3 GRI, SASB, dan Kerangka Pelaporan Lainnya-----	59
6.4 <i>Greenwashing</i> dan Isu Etika -----	61
6.5 Dampak Pengungkapan Keberlanjutan terhadap Investor-----	62
6.6 Masa Depan Pelaporan Sosial dan Keberlanjutan-----	63
6.7 Ikhtisar-----	64
BAB 7 - AKUNTANSI SOSIAL DIPEREMINTAHAN DAN SEKTOR PUBLIK -----	67
7.1 Peran Akuntansi Sosial dalam Tata Kelola Publik -----	67
7.2 Pelaporan Keuangan dan Sosial di Sektor Publik -----	68
7.3 <i>Social Return on Investment (SROI)</i> -----	70
7.4 Pengukuran Kinerja dalam Akuntansi Sektor Publik-----	71
7.5 Studi Kasus dari Berbagai Negara -----	72
7.6 Tantangan dalam Akuntansi Sosial untuk Sektor Publik-----	73
7.7 Ikhtisar-----	75
BAB 8 - ORGANISASI NIRLABA DAN AKUNTANSI SOSIAL -----	77
8.1 Kinerja Keuangan vs. Kinerja Sosial dalam Nonprofit -----	77
8.2 Pengukuran Dampak dalam Organisasi Nonprofit -----	78
8.3 Akuntabilitas dan Transparansi dalam Akuntansi Nonprofit-----	80
8.4 Pendanaan dan Pelaporan kepada Donor -----	81
8.5 Tantangan Etika dalam Akuntansi Nonprofit-----	83
8.6 Studi Kasus Akuntansi Sosial dalam Organisasi Nonprofit -----	84
8.7 Ikhtisar-----	85

BAB 9 - KETERLIBATAN PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DAN AKUNTABILITAS SOSIAL -----	87
9.1 Definisi Pemangku Kepentingan dalam Akuntansi Sosial -----	87
9.2 Peran Akuntansi Sosial dalam Pengelolaan Pemangku Kepentingan -----	88
9.3 Transparansi dan Praktik Akuntabilitas -----	89
9.4 Dialog dan Kontrak Sosial dengan Pemangku Kepentingan -----	91
9.5 Pelaporan kepada Berbagai Kelompok Pemangku Kepentingan -----	92
9.6 Pengaruh Pemangku Kepentingan terhadap Praktik Akuntansi Sosial -----	94
9.7 Ikhtisar -----	95
BAB 10 - PERUBAHAN DAN HAK ASASI MANUSIA DALAM AKUNTANSI SOSIAL -----	97
10.1 Akuntansi Sosial untuk Praktik Tenaga Kerja -----	97
10.2 Kerangka Pelaporan Hak Asasi Manusia -----	98
10.3 Kesejahteraan Pekerja dan Akuntansi Sosial -----	100
10.4 Studi Kasus Pelanggaran Hak Tenaga Kerja dalam Akuntansi -----	101
10.5 Peran Serikat Pekerja dan Kelompok Advokasi -----	102
10.6 Tantangan dalam Mengukur Kinerja Sosial Terkait Tenaga Kerja -----	103
10.7 Ikhtisar -----	104
BAB 11 - STANDAR DAN PERATURAN INTERNASIONAL -----	107
11.1 <i>International Financial Reporting Standards</i> (IFRS) -----	107
11.2 <i>Global Reporting Initiative</i> (GRI) -----	108
11.3 Peran Tujuan Pembangunan Berkelanjutan PBB (SDGs) -----	110
11.4 Regulasi dan Variasi Spesifik Negara -----	111
11.5 Tantangan dalam Standardisasi Global -----	112
11.6 Studi Kasus Regulasi Akuntansi Sosial -----	113
11.7 Ikhtisar -----	115
BAB 12 - AKUNTANSI SOSIAL DINEGARA BERKEMBANG -----	117
12.1 Peran Akuntansi Sosial dalam Pembangunan Ekonomi -----	117
12.2 Tantangan Implementasi Akuntansi Sosial di Negara Berkembang -----	119
12.3 Peran Strategis Akuntansi Sosial dalam Ekonomi Informal Negara Berkembang -----	120
12.4 Inisiatif Pemerintah di Negara Berkembang dalam Mendorong Akuntansi Sosial -----	122
12.5 Perusahaan Sosial dan Dampaknya -----	124
12.6 Studi Kasus dari Pasar Berkembang -----	127
12.7 Ikhtisar -----	130
BAB 13 - TRANSFORMASI DIGITAL DALAM AKUNTANSI SOSIAL -----	133
13.1 Transformasi Akuntansi Sosial melalui Pemanfaatan Big Data -----	133
13.2 Kecerdasan Buatan dalam Pelaporan Keberlanjutan -----	135
13.3 Blockchain untuk Akuntansi Sosial yang Transparan -----	137
13.4 Pelaporan Akuntansi Sosial Berbasis <i>Cloud</i> dan Otomasi -----	139
13.5 Isu Etika dalam Akuntansi Berbasis Teknologi -----	140

13.6 Tren Masa Depan dalam Teknologi Akuntansi Sosial -----	142
13.7 Ikhtisar -----	144
BAB 14 - AKUNTANSI SOSIAL DAN PERUBAHAN IKLIM-----	145
14.1 Akuntansi untuk Risiko Iklim -----	145
14.2 Kerangka Pelaporan Perubahan Iklim -----	147
14.3 Pengukuran Jejak Karbon dalam Akuntansi -----	149
14.4 Studi Kasus dalam Pelaporan Iklim -----	151
14.5 Peran Akuntansi dalam Mitigasi Perubahan Iklim -----	153
14.6 Implikasi Kebijakan dan Arah Masa Depan -----	155
14.7 Ikhtisar -----	157
DAFTAR PUSTAKA -----	159
GLOSARIUM -----	235
SOAL-SOAL LATIHAN -----	236
LAMPIRAN -----	285
PROFIL PENULIS -----	291

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abad-Itoiz, N., Solórzano García, M., & Hernández-Marí, D. (2024). Innovative approaches to social impact measurement: a focus on the potential of artificial intelligence solutions. *Social Enterprise Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/sej-03-2024-0050>
- Abbas, Q., Alyas, T., Alghamdi, T. M., Alkhodre, A., Albouq, S., Niazi, M., & Tabassum, N. (2024). Redefining governance: A critical analysis of sustainability transformation in e-governance. *Frontiers in Big Data*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fdata.2024.1349116>
- Abbood, S. I., & Al-Mashkour, I. A. S. (2024). The Impact of Accounting Disclosure of Social Responsibility Costs on the Media Content of Financial Statements. *Journal of Lifestyle and SDGs Review*, 4(4), e04296. <https://doi.org/10.47172/2965-730x.sdgsreview.v4.n04.pe04296>
- Abdalla, Y. A., Nabiha, A. K. S., & Shahbudin, A. S. Md. (2014). Social and Environmental Accounting Research: The Way Forward. https://scispace.com/papers/social-and-environmental-accounting-research-the-way-forward-178kfaxdle?utm_source=scholar.google.com&utm_content=insights_citation
- Abdelhalim, A. M. (2023). How management accounting practices integrate with big data analytics and its impact on corporate sustainability. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jfra-01-2023-0053>
- Abdelhedi, I. T., & Zouari, S. (2020). Agriculture and Food Security in North Africa: a Theoretical and Empirical Approach. *Journal of The Knowledge Economy*, 11(1), 193–210. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S13132-018-0528-Y>
- Abdelraheem, A. A. E. (2023). The Effect of Corporate Social Responsibility Dimensions on Accounting Information Quality, Empirical Study in Saudia Arabia. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202312.1001.v1>
- Abdulhafedh, A. (2021). The Analysis of a Corporate Sustainability. 3(1), 6–11. <https://doi.org/10.12691/JCD-3-1-2>
- Abdul-Jabbar, J. (2022). The Theory of Accountability and Freedom in the View of the Judge, Abdul-Jabbar. *Govarî Qeîa*, 7(3). <https://doi.org/10.25212/lfu.qzj.7.3.32>
- Abrar, C. R., Reza, Md. S., & Sward, J. (2014). Internal Migrant Workers and the Construction Sector in Bangladesh: Tackling Informality and Exploitative Labour Practices. <https://opendocs.ids.ac.uk/opendocs/handle/20.500.12413/14953>
- Abreu, M. C. S. de, Albuquerque, S. C., & Oliveira, M. C. (2016). Institutional pressures on disclosure of carbon control issues by oil and gas companies. *Biotechnologie, Agronomie, Société et Environnement*, 13(1), 79–91. <https://doi.org/10.4013/BASE.2016.131.06>
- Abubakar, M., & Ahamad, S. (2023). Blockchain Based Platform for Carbon Credit. *NIET Journal of Engineering and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.62797/njet.vol.12.issue.01w.001>
- Abulkhair, M. F. (2025). Factors Affecting Sustainable Consumption in the Era of Consumerism: An Empirical Study in the Context of Circular Economy. *Journal of Informatics Education and Research*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.52783/jier.v5i1.2006>
- Achenbach, M. (2021). Transparency of Climate-Related Risks and Opportunities: Determinants Influencing the Disclosure in Line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures. <https://doi.org/10.5334/GLO.32>
- Ackers, B. (2015). Ethical considerations of corporate social responsibility - A South African perspective. *South African Journal of Business Management*, 46(1), 11–21. <https://doi.org/10.4102/SAJBM.V46I1.79>

- Ackers, B., & Grobbelaar, S. E. (2022). The impact of the integrated reporting framework on corporate social responsibility (CSR) disclosures – the case of South African mining companies. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 18(6), 1106–1127. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SRJ-12-2020-0508>
- Adams, S., Tweedie, D., & Muir, K. (2020). Social impact reporting in the public interest: The case of accounting standardisation. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QRAM-02-2019-0026>
- Adekanmi, A., & Apalowowa, O. D. (2023). Climate Risk Disclosure and Financial Reporting Quality of Non-Financial Firms in Nigeria. *Journal of Innovations*. <https://doi.org/10.62470/1a258217>
- Adelakun, B. O., Antwi, B. O., Ntiakoh, A., & Eziefule, A. O. (2024). Leveraging AI for sustainable accounting: Developing models for environmental impact assessment and reporting. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v6i6.1234>
- Adelakun, B. O., Antwi, B. O., Ntiakoh, A., & Eziefule, A. O. (2024). Leveraging AI for sustainable accounting: Developing models for environmental impact assessment and reporting. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v6i6.1234>
- Adelakun, B. O., Majekodunmi, T. G., & Akintoye, O. S. (2024). AI and ethical accounting: Navigating challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Advanced Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.51594/ijae.v6i6.1230>
- Adelle, C., & Russel, D. (2013). Climate Policy Integration: A Case of Déjà Vu? *Environmental Policy and Governance*, 23(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1002/EET.1601>
- Adhariani, D. (2022). Microfinance and the role of accounting in supporting family-resilience-based women's empowerment. *The Qualitative Report*. <https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2022.4249>
- Adigun, O. A., Falola, B. O., Esebre, S. D., Wada, I., Adewuyi, A. T., Olajide, T. D., & Oladokun, P. (2024). Enhancing carbon markets with fintech innovations: The role of artificial intelligence and blockchain. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.2.2387>
- Adow, A. H. (2024). Green accounting standards and environmental sustainability in Alkharj: Mediating role of social performance. *Uncertain Supply Chain Management*. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.uscm.2024.6.003>
- Advantages and problems of IFRS implementation within the framework of unification of the financial reporting process. (2022). 2, 305–312. <https://doi.org/10.46914/1562-2959-2022-1-2-305-312>
- Afifah, I., Febrianti, I., Amal, S., Said, D., Damayanti, R. A., & Syarifuddin. (2024). Green Accounting, Green Innovation, and Emission Carbon Disclosure: A Literature Study. *International Journal of Economics, Business Management and Accounting (IJBMA)*. <https://doi.org/10.59890/ijebma.v6i2.1972>
- Afolabi, A., Amosun, O. O., Okunade, S., & Agbor, M. N. (2024). Environmental Accounting Disclosures and Financial Performance of Listed Oil and Gas Companies in Nigeria: An Application of Driscoll-Kraay Standard Errors Approach. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202403.1553.v1>
- Afolabi, A., Galli, F., & Garengo, P. (2023). Accounting practitioners' perspectives on small- and medium-sized enterprises' environmental sustainability reporting. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 24(3), 456–472. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAAR-08-2023-0250>
- Afrindo, A. (2014). Strategi advokasi berjejaring terhadap tenaga kerja indonesia oleh serikat buruh migran indonesia (sbmi) malang. 1(2), 108719. <https://www.neliti.com/publications/108719/strategi-advokasi-berjejaring-terhadap-tenaga-kerja-indonesia-oleh-serikat-buruh>
- Agarwal, R., & Singh, S. (2021). An Impact Of Corporate Social Responsibility Initiatives On Organizational Performance In It Companies. *Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry*, 12(7), 5857–5868. <https://tojqi.net/index.php/journal/article/view/4760/3336>
- Agarwal, U., Rishiwal, V., Shiblee, M., Yadav, M., & Tanwar, S. (2024). Blockchain-based intelligent tracing of food grain crops from production to delivery. *Peer-to-Peer Networking and Applications*, 17, 3722–3749. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12083-024-01780-1>

- Aggarwal, S. (2024). Enhancing supply chain sustainability: The role of blockchain and digital technologies. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*. <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i05.28451>
- Agrawal, A., & Hockerts, K. (2019). Impact investing strategy: Managing conflicts between impact investor and investee social enterprise. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU11154117>
- Agrawal, A., & Jespersen, K. (2023). How do impact investors evaluate an investee social enterprise? A framework of impact investing process. *Journal of Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jeee-04-2022-0129>
- Agu, E. E., Abhulimen, A. O., Obiki-Osafiele, A. N., Osundare, O. S., Adeniran, I. A., & Efunniyi, C. P. (2024). Discussing ethical considerations and solutions for ensuring fairness in AI-driven financial services. *International Journal of Frontline Research in Multidisciplinary Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.56355/ijfrms.2024.3.2.0024>
- Aguilar-Agudo, A., Herruzo-Cabrera, J., Ochoa-Sepúlveda, J. J., & Pino-Osuna, M. J. (2019). Retorno social de la inversión (SROI) en tratamientos psicológicos basados en la evidencia. *Clínica y Salud*, 30(1), 13–20. <https://doi.org/10.5093/CLYSA2019A4>
- Aguirre, R. J. (2017). Contabilidad: entre la responsabilidad social y el interés público. 10(17), 219–234. <https://doi.org/10.18041/1900-0642/CRITERIOLIBRE.2012V10N17.1149>
- Agustina, I., Rahmanu, I., Saputri, H., & Tahang, M. (2024). The strategic role of environmental accounting in promoting business sustainability in Indonesia. *Jurnal ASIK: Jurnal Administrasi, Bisnis, Ilmu Manajemen & Kependidikan*. <https://doi.org/10.59639/asik.v2i3.71>
- Agustini, A., & Arifa, C. (2024). Climate Change Accounting and Disclosure: a Systematic Literature Review. *The Indonesian Accounting Review*. <https://doi.org/10.14414/tiar.v14i1.3829>
- Ahmad, V., Goyal, L., Arora, M., Kumar, R., Chythanya, K. R., & Chaudhary, S. (2023). The impact of AI on sustainability reporting in accounting. 2023 6th International Conference on Contemporary Computing and Informatics (IC3I). <https://doi.org/10.1109/IC3I59117.2023.10397863>
- Ahsan, M. J., & Khalid, M. H. (2024). Linking corporate social responsibility to organizational commitment: the role of employee job satisfaction. *Journal of Global Responsibility*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jgr-01-2023-0012>
- Aibar-Guzmán, B., & Aibar-Guzmán, C. (2023). Editorial for the Special Issue "Business Strategies Concerning the Sustainable Development Goals and the SDG Compass." *Sustainability*, 15(3), 2506. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032506>
- Aiello, M. A., & Angelico, C. (2022). Climate change and credit risk: the effect of carbon tax policy on Italian banks' business loan default. *Journal of Policy Modeling*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpolmod.2022.11.007>
- Akhtar, S., Baig, S. F., Saif, S., Mahmood, A., & Ahmad, S. (2017). Five Year Carbon Footprint of a Textile Industry: A Podium to Incorporate Sustainability. *Nature Environment and Pollution Technology*, 16, 125–132. Link
- Akpan, J. U., & Oluwagbade, O. (2024). Social and Environmental Responsibility in Accounting: Beyond Financial Metrics. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Management Research*. <https://doi.org/10.56201/ijssmr.v9.no9.2023.pg163.188>
- Al-'Aroud, S. F. (2014). The Impact of Adopting the International Financial Reporting Standards on the Disclosure of the Social Responsibility Accounting in the Jordanian Pharmaceutical Joint-Stock Public Companies. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 5(24), 28–43. <https://www.iiste.org/Journals/index.php/RJFA/article/download/18492/19037>
- Al-Adeem, K. (2020). Cultural challenges for countries implementing International Financial Reporting Standards without contributing to their creation. 1(1), 66. <https://doi.org/10.1504/AJAAF.2020.10028476>
- Aladwey, L., & Alsudays, R. A. (2024). Does Sustainability and Environmental disclosure matter in Saudi Arabia? Insights from a Stakeholders' Perspective. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4107918/v1>
- Alarco, G. (2020). Public policies promoting the informal sector: Effects on incomes, employment and economic growth. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*, 55, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.strueco.2020.06.004>

- Albertin, A. G. (2018). Legal Protection Towards Workers Under Collective Labor Agreement. 3(2), 88–96. <https://doi.org/10.22225/JN.3.2.745.88-96>
- Alfartoosi, A. M. H., Hatef, S. H., Negm, H. A. H., & Abdel Hussein, M. M. (2024). The Carbon Footprint and Its Impact on Sustainable Accounting: An Exploratory Study. *International Journal of Business and Management Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.55640/ijbms-04-05-17>
- Alfatlah, H., Othman, R., Basiruddin, R., & Almagtome, A. (2022). Taxation as a strategy for circular economy through sustainability reporting: The agency theory perspective. *Webology*. <https://doi.org/10.14704/web/v19i1/web19354>
- Ali, A. U., & Hasanah, U. (2024). Adaptation of organizational culture in supporting digital transformation in accounting. *JURNAL MUTIARA MANAJEMEN*. <https://doi.org/10.51544/jmm.v9i2.5580>
- Ali, M., FerdausurRahman, M., Bhuiyan, A., & Sina, A. (2014). Microfinancing impacts on socio-economic development: An empirical study of Grameen Bank in Bangladesh. *Advances in Environmental Biology*, 8, 554–562.
- Alim, M., & Destriana, U. (2019). Pengaruh kepemilikan institusional, komite audit dan ukuran perusahaan terhadap kinerja perusahaan. 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.31000/JMB.V5I1.1990>
- Alkayed, H. and Omar, B.F. (2023), "Determinants of the extent and quality of corporate social responsibility disclosure in the industrial and services sectors: the case of Jordan", *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, Vol. 21 No. 5, pp. 1206-1245. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRA-05-2021-0133>
- Alkhalil, M., Turkmani, R., Gharibah, M., Patel, P., & Mehchy, Z. (2024). Capturing sources of health system legitimacy in fragmented conflict zones under different governance models. A case study of northwest Syria. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3972661/v1>
- Alkhayareen, A. R. (2023). Evaluation of the International Labor Organization project in Qatar. *QScience Connect*, 2023(1). <https://doi.org/10.5339/connect.2023.spt.2>
- Allawi, M., & Mousto, N. I. (2017). The role of the government accounting system in assessing the response of the public budget to the social requirements. *Journal of Accounting Studies*, 2(1), 59–106.
- Allen, E. I. (2020). Financial Sustainability Strategies of Religious Nonprofit Organizations. <https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=9258&context=dissertations>
- Almadadha, R. (2024). Blockchain Technology in Financial Accounting: Enhancing Transparency, Security, and ESG Reporting. *Blockchains*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/blockchains2030015>
- Almadadha, R. (2024). Blockchain Technology in Financial Accounting: Enhancing Transparency, Security, and ESG Reporting. *Blockchains*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/blockchains2030015>
- Al-Mahdi, A., Rahman, O., & Karim, L. (2024). Sustainable Supply Chain Management and Its Impact On Corporate Performance. *International Journal of Economics and Management Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.61132/ijems.v1i1.323>
- Almannaee, R. (2014). Migrant Workers in the Construction Industry in United Arab Emirates (UAE): The role of the Ministries of Labour and Foreign Affairs in enforcing fair payments and minimum wages. <https://thesis.eur.nl/pub/17415/Reema-Almannaee.pdf>
- Al-Mutawa, B., & Al Mubarak, M. M. S. (2023). Impact of cloud computing as a digital technology on SMEs sustainability. *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/cr-09-2022-0142>
- Alonge, E. O., Dudu, O. F., & Alao, O. B. (2024). The impact of digital transformation on financial reporting and accountability in emerging markets. *International Journal of Science and Technology Research Archive*. <https://doi.org/10.53771/ijstra.2024.7.2.0061>
- Alotaibi, E., Khallaf, A., Abdallah, A. A., Zoubi, T. A., & Alnesafi, A. (2024). Blockchain-Driven Carbon Accountability in Supply Chains. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su162410872>
- Alowais, A. (2024). Strategic decisions between short-term profit and sustainability. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/349710443_Strategic_Decisions_between_Short-Term_Profit_and_Sustainability
- Alpana, A. (2014). CSR standards and guidelines: An Analytical review. *IOSR Journal of Economics and Finance*, 3(4), 52–60. <https://doi.org/10.9790/5933-0345260>

- Alsaifi, K., Elnahass, M., & Salama, A. (2020). Carbon disclosure and financial performance: UK environmental policy. *Business Strategy and The Environment*, 29(2), 711–726. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2426>
- Al-Shaer, H., & Zaman, M. (2018). Credibility of sustainability reports: The contribution of audit committees. *Business Strategy and The Environment*, 27(7), 973–986. <https://doi.org/10.1002/BSE.2046>
- Alshahrani, S. (2014). Environment for Social Responsibility and Standards for Business Transparency and Quality.
- Alshbili, I., Elamer, A. A., Elamer, A. A., & Moustafa, M. W. (2020). Social and Environmental Reporting, Sustainable Development and Institutional Voids: Evidence from a Developing Country. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.3732179>
- Alya, S., & Yuliati, Y. (2022). The effect of accounting information systems, internal control, and organizational culture on employee performance. *JASa (Jurnal Akuntansi, Audit dan Sistem Informasi Akuntansi)*. <https://doi.org/10.36555/jasa.v6i2.1862>
- Amaechi, E. P., & Nwankwoke, E. M. (2017). Must firms adopt environmental accounting? Adoption challenges in Nigeria. *Trends Economics and Management*, 11(30), 9–19. <https://doi.org/10.13164/TRENDS.2017.30.9>
- Amalia, M. M. (2023). Enhancing accountability and transparency in the public sector: A comprehensive review of public sector accounting practices. *The ES Accounting And Finance*. <https://doi.org/10.58812/esaf.v1i03.105>
- Amar, J., Demaria, S., & Rigot, S. (2022). Enhancing Financial Transparency to Mitigate Climate Change: Toward a Climate Risks and Opportunities Reporting Index. *Environmental Modeling & Assessment*, 27, 425–439. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10666-021-09800-7>
- Amazon Workers Demand Jeff Bezos Cancel Face Recognition Contracts With Law Enforcement. (2018). E-Traces. https://scispace.com/papers/amazon-workers-demand-jeff-bezos-cancel-face-recognition-lfyauaskj6?utm_source=scholar.google.com&utm_content=insights_citation
- Ambarsari, S. D., Ambarwati, S. D., & Hwihanus, H. (2024). The role of green accounting in promoting corporate sustainability. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce, and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.62951/ijecm.v1i3.106>
- Ameli, N., Drummond, P., Bisaro, A., Grubb, M., & Chenet, H. (2019). Climate finance and disclosure for institutional investors: Why transparency is not enough. *Climatic Change*, 160(4), 565–589. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-019-02542-2>
- Amin, F. B., & Uddin, S. (2018). Microfinance-economic growth nexus: A case study on Grameen Bank in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Islamic Economics and Finance*, 1(1), 25–38. <https://doi.org/10.18196/IJIEF.112>
- Amin, H., Kadri, M. H., & Raja Ahmad, R. A. (2024). The influence of sustainability reporting in enhancing firm value. *Information Management and Business Review*. [https://doi.org/10.22610/imbr.v16i3\(i\).3803](https://doi.org/10.22610/imbr.v16i3(i).3803)
- Amin, Md., Islam, Md. R., & Halim, Md. A. (2022). Sustainability Reporting Based on GRI Indicators. *Journal of Sustainable Business and Economics*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.30564/jsbe.v5i1.2>
- Amirabadi, M. (2023). Perspectives on implementing AI in resource management. *Journal of Resource Management and Decision Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.jrmde.2.3.3>
- Amis, J., Barney, J. B., Mahoney, J. T., & Wang, H. (2020). Why we need a theory of stakeholder governance-and why this is a hard problem. *Academy of Management Review*, 45(3), 499–503. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.2020.0181>
- An, E. (2023). Accelerating sustainability through better reporting. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/sampj-08-2022-0453>
- Anand, J., & Sundaram, N. (2025). The Impact of CSR-Induced Happiness on Sustainable Consumption Behavior (SDG 12): Exploring the Mediating Role of Customer-Company Identification. *Journal of Lifestyle and SDGs Review*, 5(1), e04209. <https://doi.org/10.47172/2965-730x.sdgsreview.v5.n01.pe04209>
- Andersson, T., & Rosén, M. (2021). Communicating climate accounting: Organizational identity and discursive struggles. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-05-2020-4610>

- Andreescu, N. A., & Popovici, M. (2016). The evolution of corporate social responsibility reporting in the european union. 2, 209–212. <https://doaj.org/article/fd7aaa6704d144678d4ddccae6e94579>
- Andreescu, N. A., & Popovici, M. (2016). The evolution of corporate social responsibility reporting in the european union. 2, 209–212. <https://doaj.org/article/fd7aaa6704d144678d4ddccae6e94579>
- Andres, S. E., Mills, C. H., Gallagher, R. V., & Adams, V. M. (2023). A framework for ecological restoration cost accounting across context and scale. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/82hev>
- Angel, E. F., & Sisdianto, E. (2024). Peran Akuntansi Lingkungan Dalam Meningkatkan Tanggung Jawab Sosial Perusahaan. *Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi Manajemen Bisnis Dan Akuntansi.*, 2(1), 176–184. <https://doi.org/10.61722/jemba.v2i1.605>
- Angela, O., & Odewuyi, O. M. (2024). Mitigating AI bias in financial decision-making: A DEI perspective. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews.* <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.24.3.3894>
- Anggraeni, A. A., & Dewi, H. P. (2022). Green Accounting and Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure: Financial Performance of Mining Companies in Indonesia. *Journal of Auditing, Finance, and Forensic Accounting.* <https://doi.org/10.21107/jaffa.v10i1.14034>
- Anke, C.-P., Hobbie, H., Schreiber, S., & Möst, D. (2020). Coal phase-outs and carbon prices: Interactions between EU emission trading and national carbon mitigation policies. *Energy Policy*, 144, 111647. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2020.111647>
- Anner, M., Bair, J., & Blasi, J. (2013). Toward joint liability supply chains: Addressing the root causes of labor violations in international subcontracting networks. *Comparative Labor Law and Policy Journal*, 35(1), 1–44. <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=4549298>
- Ansari, A. A. (2010). Environmental Accounting and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). *Social Science Research Network.* <https://scispace.com/papers/environmental-accounting-and-international-financial-2ihlezaexf>
- Anugerah, E. G., Saraswati, E., & Andayani, W. (2018). Quality of Disclosure and Corporate Social Responsibility Reporting Practices in Indonesia. *Jurnal Akuntansi*, 22(3), 337–353. <https://doi.org/10.24912/ja.v22i3.392>
- Aranoff, G. (2003). Improving Disclosure and Transparency in Nonprofit Accounting. *Management Accounting Quarterly*, 4(3), 15. <https://www.questia.com/library/journal/1G1-105997562/improving-disclosure-and-transparency-in-nonprofit>
- Aras, G., & Crowther, D. (2008). The Social Obligation of Corporations. 1(1). <https://dora.dmu.ac.uk/xmlui/handle/2086/2050>
- Areiqat, A., & Jaber, H. A. N. (2025). AI and sustainable accounting: Balancing innovation and responsibility. *Journal of Management World.* <https://doi.org/10.53935/jomw.v2024i4.1034>
- Arena, M., Azzone, G. F., Ratti, S., Urbano, V. M., & Vecchio, G. (2022). Sustainable development goals and corporate reporting: An empirical investigation of the oil and gas industry. *Sustainable Development*, 31(1), 12–25. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2369>
- Aridov, M., Becker, V., Liu, J., & Werner, A. (2014). CSR in the Textile Sector: European Fashion Firms and the Bangladesh Safety Accord. 2(1), 23–30. https://doi.org/10.15771/2199-1618_2014_2_1_4
- Ariss, S., Foster, A., Haywood, A., Akparibo, R., Mukuria, C., Thompson, J., Holding, E., & Cooper, R. (2019). Evaluation of the British Red Cross community connectors programme: final report, Social Return on Investment. <https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/161372/>
- Aronsson, T. (2008). Social accounting and the public sector. *International Economic Review*, 49(1), 349–375. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1468-2354.2008.00482.X>
- Aronsson, T. (2008). Social accounting and the public sector. *International Economic Review*, 49(1), 349–375. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1468-2354.2008.00482.X>
- Arraiano, I. G., Miralles-Quirós, M. del M., Miralles-Quirós, J. L., & Sánchez-Hernández, M. I. (2017). Sustainability reporting in Europe: differences in terms of legislation and valuation. 105–120. <https://repositorio.ipl.pt/bitstream/10400.21/8246/1/SUSTAINABILITY%20REPORTING.pdf>
- Arumugam, R., Ganesan, T., & Fatima, N. (2020). Informal economy and poverty dynamics: A review. *International Journal of Management*, 11(5), 34–45. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342477832_Informal_Economy_and_Poverty_Dynamics_A_Review

- Arunkumar, S. L., & Krishnaveni, V. D. (2022). Problems of migrant construction workers during COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, 5900–5907. <https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6ns4.9465>
- Arvidson, M., Lyon, F., McKay, S., & Moro, D. (2010). The ambitions and challenges of SROI. https://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/7104/1/the_ambitions_and_challenges_of_sroi.pdf
- Asari, A., Dian Sari, N. F., & Hwihanus, H. (2024). The Impact Of Corporate Social Responsibility On Companies Financial Performance. 1(3), 154–164. <https://doi.org/10.62951/ijecm.v1i3.105>
- Aşçı, M. S. (2016). Benefiting from the Collective Labor Agreement in Turkish Collective Bargaining System. 2(2), 61–70. http://ijcf.ticaret.edu.tr/index.php/ijcf/article/download/37/pdf_25
- Aslam, M., Kumar, S., & Sorooshian, S. (2019). Impact of microfinance on poverty: Qualitative analysis for Grameen Bank borrowers. *International Journal of Financial Research*, 11(1), 49–61. <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v11n1p49>
- Asmoro, A. A., F. L. N., & Shintadewi, M. (2024). Measurement of the social investment impact of the bahtera segara program using the social return on investment method (SROI). *Deleted Journal*, 3(2). <https://doi.org/10.55904/keynesia.v3i2.1293>
- AstraZeneca. (2022). Young Health Programme: Social Return on Investment (SROI) report. https://www.yhp.astrazeneca.com/content/dam/young-health/PDF/YHP_Social_Return_on_Investment_%28SROI%29_Report.pdf
- Astuti, A., & Bidabad, B. (2024). Implementation of the Transparency Principles and Accountability of Indonesian Zakat Management Organizations through Providing Information and Financial Reports on the Website. 2(2), 172–186. <https://doi.org/10.59535/sehati.v2i2.235>
- Astuti, H. M., & Ayinde, L. A. (2025). Uneven progress: Analyzing the factors behind digital technology adoption rates in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). *Data & Policy*, 7, e23. <https://doi.org/10.1017/dap.2024.89>
- Atif, M., Bottura, M., & Yasin, R. (2023). Public issues and public expectations: Disentangling responsibility discourse dimensions in CSR and sustainability books. *European Management Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2023.07.008>
- Atmaja, S. A. (2025). Ethical considerations in algorithmic decision-making: Towards fair and transparent AI systems. *Riwayat: Educational Journal of History and Humanities*. <https://doi.org/10.24815/jr.v8i1.44112>
- Au, J., & Karacaoglu, G. (2015). Using the living standards framework: Update and policy examples. *New Zealand Sociology*, 30(3), 27. <https://search.informit.com.au/documentSummary;dn=587781208755650;res=IELHSS>
- Auwal, M. A. (2010). Ending the Exploitation of Migrant Workers in the Gulf. *The Fletcher Forum of World Affairs*, 34(2), 89. <https://www.questia.com/library/journal/1P3-2105971851/ending-t-he-exploitation-of-migrant-workers-in-the>
- Aversa, D. (2023). Climate change and climate-related financial disclosures in the banking sector. *Risk Governance and Control: Financial Markets & Institutions*. <https://doi.org/10.22495/rgcv13i1p6>
- Avi, M. S. (2022). The Relationship Between Financial Reporting and Sustainability Report. The Exposure Draft: IFRS S1 General Requirement for Disclosure of Sustainability-Related Financial Information (From International Sustainability Standard Board) Overcomes the Duality of External Corporate Disclosure. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Studies*, 05(04). <https://doi.org/10.47191/jefms/v5-i4-11>
- Ayodele, F. O., Mustapa, S., & Ayodele, B. (2023). The potential of renewable energy green financing through carbon taxation to achieve net-zero emissions target. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.14670>
- Azeez, A. (2020). The impact of triple bottom line accounting on firms' sustainability in Nigeria. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*. <https://doi.org/10.7176/rjfa/11-9-06>
- Azmy, A., & Hutabarat, R. B. (2024). Evaluation of Planning and Strategic Management at Social Organization for Business Sustainability. *Journal of Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.21831/economia.v20i2.57302>
- Azzahra, B. F. (2022). Integrated reporting adoption: sustainable corporate strategy in achieving sdg 2030. *Atma Jaya Accounting Research*, 5(01), 117–132. <https://doi.org/10.35129/ajar.v5i01.267>

- Azzam, M. E. A. Y., Alsayed, M. S. H., Alsultan, A., & Hassanein, A. (2023). How big data features drive financial accounting and firm sustainability in the energy industry. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jfra-03-2023-0125>
- Ba, Y., Nair, S., & Kedia, M. (2024). Cross-sector collaboration, nonprofit readiness, and sustainability transitions. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2024.100933>
- Baba, H., Ishida, Y., & Aoki, T. (2015). Social Accounting for Nonprofit Organizations: Visualizing the Invisible Value of Social Impacts. 16(16), 1–22. <http://ci.nii.ac.jp/naid/120005689657>
- Babaei, A., Khedmati, M., Akbari Jokar, M. R., & Babaei Tirkolaee, E. (2023). A Decision Support Framework to Evaluate the Sustainability Performance of Urban Road Transportation. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3331012/v1>
- Babatunde, S. A., & Fofie, O. (2016). Equipping Public Servants with Accrual Accounting for Transparency, Accountability and Efficiency – Evidence from Nigeria and Ghana. 1(1), 01–10. [https://doi.org/10.35609/AFR.2016.1.1\(1\)](https://doi.org/10.35609/AFR.2016.1.1(1))
- Bahangulu, J. K., & Owusu-Berko, L. (2025). Algorithmic bias, data ethics, and governance: Ensuring fairness, transparency and compliance in AI-powered business analytics applications. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.25.2.0571>
- Bahram, D., & Serevetnyk, N. (2009). Hållbarhetsredovisning i svenska statliga och icke-statliga företag. <http://sh.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:293506/FULLTEXT01>
- Bai, E., Yan, G., & Yan, X. (2023). Sustainable Supply Chain of New Energy Vehicles: A Case Study of Tesla. *Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2754-1169/32/20231592>
- Bailey, C. D., & Scott, I. (2024). Accounting Researchers' Acceptance of Debatable Research and Authorship Practices, with Advice and Anecdotes from the Field. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4711555>
- Bakir, A. M. (2013). The Importance of Revealing Social Responsibility in the Generation of High-Quality Financial Reports. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 5(17), 111–119. <https://www.iiste.org/Journals/index.php/EJBM/article/download/6630/6773>
- Balabonienė, I., & Večerskienė, G. (2015). The aspects of performance measurement in public sector organization. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 213(1), 314–320. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SBSPRO.2015.11.544>
- Baldassarre, F., & Campo, R. (2015). Reporting Sustainability in the Oil Sector: Transparency or Greenwashing? 1. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ISIS-SUMMIT-VIENNA-2015-T1.3001>
- Balestrini, M., Kotsev, A., Ponti, M., & Schade, S. (2021). Collaboration matters: capacity building, up-scaling, spreading, and sustainability in citizen-generated data projects. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 8, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-021-00851-5>
- Ball, A., & Seal, W. (2005). Social justice in a cold climate: Could social accounting make a difference? *Accounting Forum*, 29(4), 455–473. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2005.08.001>
- Ballou, B., Heitger, D. L., & Landes, C. E. (2006). The Future of Corporate Sustainability Reporting: A Rapidly Growing Assurance Opportunity. *Journal of Accountancy*, 202(6), 65. <https://www.questia.com/library/journal/1G1-155871492/the-future-of-corporate-sustainability-reporting>
- Banke-Thomas, A., Madaj, B., Ameh, C., & van den Broek, N. R. (2015). Social Return on Investment (SROI) methodology to account for value for money of public health interventions: A systematic review. *BMC Public Health*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-015-1935-7>
- Barney, J. B., & Clark, D. (2007). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage (pp. 49–75). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780199277681.003.0003>
- Barrio Fraile, E. (2016). La gestión de la responsabilidad social corporativa: el caso Unilever España. <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/tesis?codigo=83642>
- Bartholo, L., Mostafa, J., & Osorio, R. G. (2018). Integration of administrative records for social protection policies: Contributions from the Brazilian experience. *Research Papers in Economics*. <https://www.econstor.eu/handle/10419/200610>
- Bartley, T. (2022). Incorporating Rights: Strategies to Advance Corporate Accountability. *Business and Human Rights Journal*, 8(1), 120–122. <https://doi.org/10.1017/bhj.2022.32>

- Basavaraj, C. D., & Prakash, S. K. J. (2024). What drives social accounting research? Insights from a bibliometric analysis. *Environmental Quality Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tqem.22317>
- Basu, P., Deb, P., & Singh, A. K. (2023). Blockchain and the carbon credit ecosystem: Sustainable management of the supply chain. *Journal of Business Strategy*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jbs-09-2022-0157>
- Battiston, S., Mandel, A., & Monasterolo, I. (2019). CLIMAFIN Handbook: Pricing Forward-Looking Climate Risks Under Uncertainty. *Microeconomics: Decision-Making under Risk & Uncertainty eJournal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3476586>
- BC Housing. (2023). Social Return on Investment (SROI) Report: Four affordable housing projects in British Columbia. Social Value Canada. https://socialvalueuk.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/BC_Housing_SROI_Report.pdf
- Begley, J. (2024). Rage-giving and the determinants of donations to nonprofit organizations. <https://doi.org/10.52295/dcc.6618>
- Belal, A. R. (2008). Corporate social responsibility reporting in developing countries: the case of Bangladesh. (Corporate Social Responsibility). Ashgate.
- Belal, A. R. (2015). Social and environmental accountability in developing countries (pp. 153–166). *Greenleaf*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351285568-10>
- Belal, A. R., & Cooper, S. M. (2011). The absence of corporate social responsibility reporting in Bangladesh. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 22(7), 654–667. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2010.06.020>
- Belkhir, L., Bernard, S., & Abdelgadir, S. (2017). Does GRI reporting impact environmental sustainability? A cross-industry analysis of CO₂ emissions. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, 28, 138–155. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEQ-10-2015-0191>
- Ben-Amar, W., Herrera, D. C., & Martinez, I. (2023). Do climate risk disclosures matter to financial analysts? *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbfa.12778>
- Benlemlih, M., Shaukat, A., Qiu, Y., & Trojanowski, G. (2018). Environmental and Social Disclosures and Firm Risk. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 152(3), 613–626. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10551-016-3285-5>
- Berardi, L., & Valentinetti, D. (2023). Digitalization of Social Impact for Social Economy Organizations. *Canadian Journal of Nonprofit and Social Economy Research*, 13(2). <https://doi.org/10.29173/cjnser617>
- Beretta, V., Demartini, C., & Trucco, S. (2023). Can audit firms be trusted (again)? <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3704644/v1>
- Berg, F. (2025). Research making a real difference. *Financial Times*. <https://www.ft.com/content/2d017fa8-03db-4987-848a-8604ce5d67e4>
- Bernstein, R. S., & Aulgur, J. (2017). Case Studies: What Went Wrong at the Wounded Warrior Project? 7(2), 28–46. <https://doi.org/10.18666/JNEL-2017-V7-SI2-8737>
- Best, E. A. (2023). The United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (pp. 58–73). Edward Elgar Publishing eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781802201130.00014>
- Beyond social prescribing—The use of social return on investment (SROI) analysis in integrated health and social care interventions in England and Wales: A protocol for a systematic review. (2023). *PLOS ONE*, 18(2), e0277386. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0277386>
- Bhadury, B. (2000). Managing the Workforce: Challenges for the Manufacturing Industry. <https://www.amazon.com/Managing-Workforce-Challenges-Manufacturing-Industry/dp/0761994130>
- Bhaskaran, R., Nathan, D., Phillips, N., & Choragudi, U. (2014). Vulnerable Workers and Labour Standards (Non-)Compliance in Global Production Networks: Home-Based Child Labour in Delhi's Garment Sector (pp. 172–190). Palgrave Macmillan, London. https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137377548_8
- Bhatia, V., & Kumar, S. (2024). The impact of ESG investing on portfolio performance: An empirical study of emerging markets. *Journal of Informatics Education and Research*. <https://doi.org/10.52783/jier.v4i3.1916>
- Bhattacharya, C., & Zaman, Md. M. (2023). The What, Why and How of ESG Dashboards. *NIM Marketing Intelligence Review*, 15(1), 32–39. <https://doi.org/10.2478/nimmir-2023-0005>

- Bhattacharyay, B. (2021). Managing Climate-Related Financial Risk: Prospects and Challenges. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S1572-832320210000029004>
- Bhuiyan, A., Siwar, C., & Talib, B. (2012). Grameen microcredit and poverty alleviation: A review of Grameen Bank's performance on poverty alleviation in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Sustainable Society*, 4(3), 300–315. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJSSOC.2012.047283>
- Bianchi, R., McCabe, S., Cisneros Martínez, J. D., & Domínguez Gómez, J. A. (2023). Contested discourses in social tourism: a relational political economy perspective. <https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/zq5k3>
- Biancone, P., Secinaro, S., & Brescia, V. (2018). The innovation of local public-sector companies: Processing big data for transparency and accountability. *African Journal of Business Management*, 12(17), 486–500. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJBM2018.8596>
- Bifaretti, M. C., & Catani, M. L. (2016). Contabilidad socio-ambiental: conceptos contables relevantes para la dimensión social y los marcos conceptuales normativos. 10(10), 31–54. <https://revistas.unlp.edu.ar/proyecciones/article/download/7509/6470>
- Biloblovsy, S. (2024). Data management and the concept of big data in sustainability reporting. *Odesa National University Herald. Economy*. <https://doi.org/10.32782/2304-0920/3-101-7>
- Bîltac, O. (2019). Company's Social Performance Reporting Based on International Standards: A Comparative Analysis across Central and Eastern Europe. *European Research Studies Journal*, 22(3), 149–167. <https://doi.org/10.35808/ERSJ/1463>
- Birner, K. (2015). One Click to Empowerment?: Opportunities and Challenges for Labour in the Global Value Chain of E-Commerce. 7, 55. https://scispace.com/papers/one-click-to-empowerment-opportunities-and-challenges-for-3t36rhhb524?utm_source=scholar.google.com&utm_content=insights_citation
- Blajer-Gołębiewska, A. (2014). Corporate Reputation and Economic Performance: the Evidence from Poland. *Economics & Sociology*, 7(3), 194–207. <https://doi.org/10.14254/2071-789X.2014/7-3/15>
- Blanco-Zaitegi, G., Álvarez Etxeberria, I., & Moneva Abadía, J. M. (2023). Biodiversity Reporting in the Energy and Utilities Sectors: An Assessment of Transparency in the Disclosure of Negative Events. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4642075>
- Block, J. H., Hirschmann, M., & Fisch, C. (2021). Which criteria matter when impact investors screen social enterprises? *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 66, 101813. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2020.101813>
- Bloom, R. (1997). International Accounting Controversies. *The Government Accountants Journal*, 46(3), 58. <https://www.questia.com/library/journal/1P3-15960778/international-accounting-controversies>
- Boakes, L., Ragnarsson, L., Rolin, C., & Adelman, C. (2024). Selection of Alternative Local Interconnect Metals: Beyond Traditional Criteria Towards Sustainable and Secure Supply Chains. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2401.02864>
- Boeger, N. (2017). Embedding society in the firm — The role of social and cooperative enterprise in shaping capitalism. *ERN: Markets as Institutions (Topic)*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3008987>
- Boersma, M. (2014). Global supply chains link us all to shame of child and forced labour. <https://opus.lib.uts.edu.au/bitstream/10453/128889/1/Global%20supply%20chains%20link%20us%20all%20to%20shame%20of%20child%20and%20forced%20labour.pdf>
- Boeva, B. (2022). Sustainable Global Supply Chains: The Human Rights Aspect. 1(2), 20–38. <https://doi.org/10.37075/bjiep.2021.2.02>
- Boikanyo, D. H. (2024). Utilization of 4IR technologies to enhance strategic intelligence and dynamic capabilities for a sustainable competitive advantage. *Business Excellence and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.24818/beman/2024.14.1-01>
- Booker, Z.-Z. (2020). A Revised Logic Model for Educational Program Evaluation. *Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods*, 18(2), 9. <https://doi.org/10.22237/JMASM/1604190300>
- Borra, P. (2024). The evolution and impact of Google Cloud Platform in machine learning and AI. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.48175/ijarsct-18908>
- Borzuzie, P. K., Kolog, E. A., & Suhenon, J. (2024). Exploring Youtube as a Collaborative Platform for Accounting Education in Developing Countries: A Social Capital Perspective. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4762329>

- Bosello, F., Carraro, C., & De Cian, E. (2013). Adaptation can help mitigation: An integrated approach to post-2012 climate policy. *Environment and Development Economics*, 18, 270–290. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355770X13000132>
- Bowen, F., & Aragón-Correa, J. A. (2014). Greenwashing in Corporate Environmentalism Research and Practice: The Importance of What We Say and Do. *Organization & Environment*, 27(2), 107–112. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1086026614537078>
- Boyd-Caine, T. (2010). For Social Good or Social Change?: Social Enterprise and the Community Sector. 4. <https://scispace.com/papers/for-social-good-or-social-change-social-enterprise-and-the-28125lzsuy?>
- Brandling, T., Pearson, H., & Mthombeni, M. (2023). Exploring accountability of individuals in the mining sector: A multi-level perspective. *South African Journal of Business Management*, 54(1), a3085. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajbm.v54i1.3085>
- Brans, M., Bloemberg, R., & Felder, F. (2024). Reporting under the 'E' of the CSRD. *European Energy and Environmental Law Review*. <https://doi.org/10.54648/eelr2024015>
- Breliastiti, R. (2020). Development of mandatory & voluntary instruments of sustainability reporting (SR) according to carrots & sticks 2006 - 2016. 10(1), 71–81. <https://doi.org/10.14414/TIAR.V10I1.1931>
- Breliastiti, R., Setiawan, T., & Herninta, T. (2023). Implementation of Global Reporting Initiatives (GRI) Standards in Service Sector Companies. <https://doi.org/10.38035/dijefa.v4i5.2074>
- Breton, M., & Aggeri, F. (2016). The regulation of CSR by means of transparency standards. *Research Papers in Economics*. <https://ideas.repec.org/p/hal/journal/hal-01368035.html>
- Briliana, R. M. N. (2015). Evaluation of corporate environmental reporting and disclosure: Case study in PT Unilever Indonesia, Tbk.
- Brønn, C., & Brønn, P. S. (2015). A Systems Approach to Understanding how Reputation Contributes to Competitive Advantage. *Corporate Reputation Review*, 18(2), 69–86. <https://doi.org/10.1057/CRR.2015.5>
- Brown, G. D. (2015). Effective Protection of Workers' Health and Safety in Global Supply Chains. 7, 35. https://www.ilo.org/jakarta/whatwedo/publications/WCMS_569523/lang--en/index.htm
- Brown, I. B. (2008). Interview with Jon Williams, Head of Group Sustainable Development, HSBC. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02580540810868087>
- Budiasih, Y. (2024). Integration of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Factors in Financial Reporting: A Global Perspective. *Productivity (New Delhi)*. <https://doi.org/10.62207/x7kmv093>
- Bueno, N., & Kaufmann, C. (2023). Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4530382>
- Bukłaha, E. (2018). Engagement of stakeholders based on CSR SA8000:2014 approach. 2(2), 7–17. <https://journalmmp.com/index.php/jmmp/article/view/41/41>
- Burden, A. (2016). Accounting for International Opportunities. Retrieved from <https://consensus.app/papers/accounting-for-international-opportunities-burden/4b59a6415504533199eec5e2dee93df0/>
- Burritt, R. (2010). Capacity Building in Accounting in an Australian University – Lessons for South East Asia. *CIE ECI*, 39(1), 10–17. <https://doi.org/10.5206/cie-eci.v39i1.9143>
- Busby, C., & Robson, W. B. P. (2016). Controlling the Public Purse: The Fiscal Accountability of Canada's Senior Governments. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.2765537>
- Busco, C., & Riccaboni, A. (2010). Performance measurement systems and organisational culture: Interpreting processes of unlearning and change. In *Performance Measurement and Management Control* (pp. 357–376). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-04800-5_23
- Busco, C., Consolandi, C., Eccles, R. G., & Sofra, E. (2020). A Preliminary Analysis of SASB Reporting: Disclosure Topics, Financial Relevance, and the Financial Intensity of ESG Materiality. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, 32(2), 117–125. <https://doi.org/10.1111/JACF.12411>
- Cahyati, A. D. (2012). Corporate social responsibility: perspektif akuntansi. 9(01). <http://www.ejournal-unisma.net/ojs/index.php/paradigma/article/view/614/557>
- Caldarelli, G. (2024). Cool, but what about oracles? An oracle-based perspective on blockchain integration in the accounting field. *arXiv*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2412.20447>

- Calderon, R. T., Gurtu, A., & Holly, M. A. (2024). Exploring Transparency–Sustainability Linkage: Analysis of CSR Disclosures. *IIMS Journal of Management Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0976030x231220298>
- Camara, N. D. (2006). The Relationship between Internal and External Stakeholders and Organisational Alignment. 18(1), 41–52. <https://doi.org/10.1177/174578660601800104>
- Camilleri, M. (2025). Sustainability accounting and disclosures of responsible restaurant practices in environmental, social and governance (ESG) reports. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2024.104051>
- Camilleri, M. A. (2017). Case Study 2: Environmental, Social and Governance Reporting in Europe (pp. 119–138). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46849-5_7
- Camilleri, M. A. (2017). International Policies and Regulatory Instruments for Non-financial Reporting (pp. 27–40). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46849-5_2
- Camilleri, M. A. (2022). Strategic corporate sustainability and responsible value creation. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SAMPJ-12-2021-0515>
- Campagnolo, L., Carraro, C., Davide, M., Eboli, F., Lanzi, E., & Parrado, R. (2013). Can climate policy enhance sustainability? *Climatic Change*, 137, 639–653. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-016-1701-6>
- Campos de Pacheco, S. A., Andrade, T. de, Barros Estivalet, V. de F., Costa, V. F., & Stefanan, A. A. (2014). Práticas de responsabilidade social corporativa e gestão de pessoas no contexto brasileiro: uma análise das empresas modelo em sustentabilidade e melhores para trabalhar. 8(2), 184–201. <https://doi.org/10.5902/1983465910607>
- Canagarajah, S., & Sethuraman, S. V. (2001). Social protection and the informal sector in developing countries: challenges and opportunities. <https://ideas.repec.org/p/wbk/hdnspu/24080.html>
- Cangiano, M., & Browne, C. (1996). Accountability and transparency in the public sector : the New Zealand experience. *Social Science Research Network*. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=883018
- Cannon, P., & Fry, T. (1992). Performance measurement in the public sector. 1. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/147391468914399390/Performance-measurement-in-the-public-sector>
- Cantú Rivera, H. F. (2015). La OCDE y los derechos humanos: el caso de las Directrices para Empresas Multinacionales y los Puntos de Contacto Nacional. *Anuario Mexicano de Derecho Internacional*, 15(1), 611–658. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AMDI.2014.09.011>
- Cao, S., Cong, L., Han, M., Hou, Q., & Yang, B. (2020). Blockchain architecture for auditing automation and trust building in public markets. *Computer*, 53(10), 20–28. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MC.2020.2989789>
- Cao, S., Xu, H., & Bryceson, K. (2023). Blockchain traceability for sustainability communication in food supply chains: An architectural framework, design pathway and considerations. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151813486>
- Cao, Y., Feng, M., & Wang, X. "Abby." (2016). Corporate Social Responsibility Reporting: A Descriptive Study across Industries. *THE JOURNAL OF APPLIED BUSINESS AND ECONOMICS*, 18(7), 11–22. <https://doi.org/10.33423/JABE.V18I7.885>
- Capasso, M., & Umbrello, S. (2021). Big Tech Corporations and AI: A Social License to Operate and Multi-Stakeholder Partnerships in the Digital Age.
- Cárcaba-García, A., López-Díaz, A., & Pablos-Rodríguez, J. L. (2002). Improving the Disclosure of Financial Information in Local Governments. *International Public Management Review*, 3(1), 22–40. <https://journals.sfu.ca/ipmr/index.php/ipmr/article/download/193/193>
- Carneiro, D., Carneiro, D., Pimenta, A., Neves, J., & Novais, P. (2017). Non-intrusive quantification of performance and its relationship to mood. 21(17), 4917–4923. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00500-016-2380-Y>
- Carolei, D. (2018). How is Oxfam being held accountable over the Haiti scandal? *British Politics and Politics Blog [London School of Economics]*. <https://abdn.pure.elsevier.com/en/publications/how-is-oxfam-being-held-accountable-over-the-haiti-scandal-britis>
- Carrilho, T. (2014). The reflection of partnership context on implementation and process outcomes in cross-sector social partnerships: the case of a local red cross in Portugal. 2, 51–72. <https://doi.org/10.15640/JMPP>

- Carvalho, F. de M., & Siqueira, J. R. M. (2008). Análise da utilização dos indicadores essenciais da global reporting initiative nos relatórios sociais de empresas latino-americanas. 9(38). <http://www.atena.org.br/revista/ojs-2.2.3-06/index.php/pensarcontabil/article/view/113/113>
- Castellanos Baquero, Y. P., Rivas Hernández, J. S., & Gálvez Saboga, J. F. (2019). La Formación de Emprendedores Sociales desde Algunos Programas de Contaduría Pública. 12(1), 165–185. <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/descarga/articulo/7883822.pdf>
- Castilla-Polo, F. (2022). A Critical Look at Social Reporting Evolution (pp. 2215–2235). IGI Global eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-5590-6.ch108>
- Castro, M. (2011). Defining and Using Performance Indicators and Targets in Government M and E Systems. Research Papers in Economics, 1–10. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/11061?show=full>
- Cecil, L. (2008). Corporate Social Responsibility Reporting in the United States. McNair Scholars Research Journal, 1(1), 6. <https://commons.emich.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1006&context=mcnair>
- Cernic, J. L. (2008). Corporate responsibility for human rights: A critical analysis of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises. Social Science Research Network. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1317263
- Cerully, J. L., Smith, M. L., Wilks, A., & Giglio, K. (2016). Strategic Analysis of the 2014 Wounded Warrior Project Annual Alumni Survey: A Way Forward. 5(4), 18. https://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/research_reports/RR900/RR963/RAND_RR_963.pdf
- Chae, Y., Choi, S. H., & Kim, Y. J. (2020). Climate change policy implications of sustainable development pathways in Korea at sub-national scale. Sustainability, 12(10), 4310. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12104310>
- Chakraborty, R. (2014). Corporate Socially Responsible Reporting and Accountability. International Journal of Economics and Management Sciences, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.4172/2162-6359.1000E102>
- Chang, C.-L., Ilomäki, J., & Laurila, H. (2024). Has the EU Emissions Trading System worked properly? Energies, 17(15), 3651. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en17153651>
- Charumathi, B. (2024). Developing a corporate social justice index. <https://doi.org/10.58178/246.1047>
- Chaudhary, G. (2024). Unveiling the black box: Bringing algorithmic transparency to AI. Masaryk University Journal of Law and Technology. <https://doi.org/10.5817/mujlt2024-1-4>
- Chavda, D., & Acharya, M. N. (2022). Social responsibility accounting and social responsibility reporting. International Journal of Management Public Policy and Research, 1(4), 18–20. <https://doi.org/10.55829/ijmpr.v1i4.76>
- Chejarla, K. C., & Vaidya, O. S. (2023). Expert System Models for Robust Mcdm Rank Generation: Cases of Sustainable Logistics Performance Evaluation. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4619398>
- Chelawat, H., & Trivedi, I. V. (2015). Does ESG Investment Enhance Investment Returns. Social Science Research Network. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2889379
- Chen, J. (2024). Blockchain technology in accounting: A paradigm shift in transparency and efficiency in the UK. Journal of Ecohumanism. <https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v3i8.5371>
- Chen, R. (2020). The stakeholder-communication continuum: An alternate approach to internal and external communications. Journal of Professional Communication, 6(1), 7–33. <https://doi.org/10.15173/JPC.V6I1.4350>
- Chen, S. (2024). The influence of artificial intelligence and digital technology on ESG reporting quality. International Journal of Global Economics and Management. <https://doi.org/10.62051/ijgem.v3n1.36>
- Chen, Y., Xu, Z., Zhang, Z., Ye, W., Yang, Y., & Gong, Z. (2022). Does the carbon emission trading scheme boost corporate environmental and financial performance in China? Journal of Cleaner Production. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.133151>
- Cheng, Y., Sinha, A., Ghosh, V., Sengupta, T., & Luo, H. (2021). Carbon tax and energy innovation at crossroads of carbon neutrality: Designing a sustainable decarbonization policy. Journal of Environmental Management, 294, 112957. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112957>

- Chesley, N. (2014). Workplace technology use may increase both employees' distress and productivity. <https://eprints.lse.ac.uk/58816/>
- Chetty, K. R. (2011). From responsibility to accountability—Social accounting, human rights and Scotland. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 22(8), 759–761. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CPA.2011.07.006>
- Chinnappa, T. B., & Karunakaran, N. (2022). Social and Ethical Accounting: Giving a Social Face to Business. *SJCC Management Research Review*, 54–59. <https://doi.org/10.35737/sjccmrr/v11/i3/2021/145>
- Chirata, C., Cornelia, D., Iuliana, L. C., & Raluca, G. (2009). Innovations in social and environmental reporting based on the knowledge of stakeholders' information needs. *Annals of Faculty of Economics*, 3(1), 979–985. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/ora/journl/v3y2009i1p979-985.html>
- Chiu, H. (2017). Unpacking the Reforms in Europe and UK Relating to Mandatory Disclosure in Corporate Social Responsibility: Instituting a Hybrid Governance Model to Change Corporate Behaviour? *European Company Law*, 14(5), 193–208. <https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/id/eprint/1566612/>
- Cho, C. H., Michelon, G., Patten, D. M., & Roberts, R. W. (2015). CSR disclosure: the more things change...? *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 28(1), 14–35. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-12-2013-1549>
- Cho, S., Hsiao, D., & Liao, Q. (2024). Do financial analysts see through the cloud of carbon emissions? *Accounting Horizons*. <https://doi.org/10.2308/horizons-2022-031>
- Chopra, S. S., Senadheera, S., Dissanayake, P. D., Withana, P. A., Chib, R., Rhee, J. H., & Ok, Y. S. (2024). Navigating the challenges of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) reporting: The path to broader sustainable development. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16020606>
- Chowdhury, R. H. (2024). Automating supply chain management with blockchain technology. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.22.3.1895>
- Chowdhury, R. H. (2024). Blockchain and AI: Driving the future of data security and business intelligence. *World Journal Of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 23(1), 2559–2570. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.1.2273>
- Choy, L. Y. (2023). Application of the Global Reporting Initiative Standards and Sustainability Disclosure Performance: An Analysis of the Largest Chinese Companies Listed in Hong Kong. 0. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ael-2022-0082>
- Christensen, L. (2009). Transparency as Societal Accountability: A Critical Analysis of Transparency as a Corporate Account Technology. https://cms.mngt.waikato.ac.nz/webdocs/research/docs/2009_sem/larschristensen_180309.pdf
- Christiansen, N. (2014). Business Initiatives That Overcome Rural Poverty and Marginality Through Creating Shared Value (pp. 353–364). Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-7061-4_21
- Cimpoeru, M. V., & Cimpoeru, V. (2015). Budgetary Transparency – An Improving Factor for Corruption Control and Economic Performance. *Procedia. Economics and Finance*, 27, 579–586. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671\(15\)01036-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(15)01036-9)
- Cindea, D. M. (2014). Measuring Performance In The Public Sector: Between Necessity And Difficulty. *Studies in Business and Economics*, 9(2), 40–50. <http://eccsf.ulbsibiu.ro/articole/vol92/925mihaiu.pdf>
- Citaristi, I. (2022). World Food Programme—WFP (pp. 302–306). Routledge eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003292548-62>
- Ciza, T. B., Kamdjoug, J. R. K., Diambeidou, M. B., Tchokoté, I. D., & Kibekenge, G. B. (2024). Accounting Information and Smes Performance: The Mediating Role of Bank and Informal Financing. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4761265>
- Clausen, U., Erdmann, M.-K., & Schmidt, K. (2003). Balanced Scorecard für Güteverkehrsunternehmen. *INT VERKEHRSWES*, 55(6), 274–277.
- Clifford, Z., Solomon-Moore, E., Kimberlee, R., Biggs, O., Weld, S., Blackburn, K., & Jones, M. (2015). Social Return on Investment Report (SROI) final report on a type 2 diabetes prevention and management programme delivered by Westbank CHC. <https://uwe-repository.worktribe.com/output/841398>

- Cocozza, G. (2019). Social Report of Public Administrations and Principle of Transparency. <https://doi.org/10.22364/ISCFLUL.7.40>
- Cojocar, A.-C., & Mihăilă, S. (2024). Implementation of ifrs sustainability disclosure standards into integrated reporting: a journey of future-oriented companies. *Revista de Studii Financiare*, 9(17), 39–55. <https://doi.org/10.55654/jfs.2024.9.17.03>
- Colares, A. C. V., Bressan, V. G. F., Lamounier, W. M., & Borges, D. L. (2012). O balanço social como indicativo socioambiental das empresas do índice de sustentabilidade empresarial da bm&f bovespa. 17, 83–100. <https://doi.org/10.12979/RCMCCUERJ.V17I0.5386>
- Cole, M. A., Elliott, R., Liu, B., Vu, T. V., & Shi, Z. (2023). Evaluating China's Central Environmental Inspection Policy Using Machine Learning and Augmented Synthetic Control Methods. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4527869>
- Collopy, B. (1998). Health-care performance measurement systems and the ACHS Care Evaluation Program. Australian Council on Healthcare Standards. *Journal of Quality in Clinical Practice*, 18(3), 171–176. <https://europepmc.org/article/MED/9744655>
- Comite, U. (2013). The Introduction Of Accountability Into Political Parties By Way Of A Social Budget: The Italian Experience. [http://www.businessjournalz.org/articlepdf/BMR-3413July3\(05\)13b.pdf](http://www.businessjournalz.org/articlepdf/BMR-3413July3(05)13b.pdf)
- Comoli, M., Tettamanzi, P., & Murgolo, M. (2023). Accounting for 'ESG' under disruptions: A systematic literature network analysis. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15086633>
- Compa, L. A. (2001). Free Trade, Fair Trade, and the Battle for Labor Rights. <http://digitalcommons.ilr.cornell.edu/articles/371/>
- Connor, T., & Dent, K. (2006). Offside! Labour Rights and Sportswear Production in Asia. *Social Science Research Network*. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2545713
- Cooney, K., & Lynch-Cerullo, K. (2014). Measuring the social returns of nonprofits and social enterprises: The promise and perils of the SROI. *Nonprofit Policy Forum*, 5(3), 367–393. <https://doi.org/10.1515/npf-2014-0017>
- Corazza, L., Zhang, J., Arachchilage, D. K., & Scagnelli, S. (2022). Blockchain and sustainability disclosure: A scenario-based application for supply chains. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15010571>
- Correia, A., & Água, P. B. (2024). Harnessing artificial intelligence for enhanced environmental, social, and governance reporting: A new paradigm in corporate transparency. *Corporate Governance: Research and Advanced Practices*. <https://doi.org/10.22495/cgrapp15>
- Corvino, A., Doni, F., & Martini, S. B. (2020). Corporate Governance, Integrated Reporting and Environmental Disclosure: Evidence from the South African Context. *Sustainability*, 12(12), 4820. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12124820>
- Costa, E., & Pesci, C. (2022). Putting Stakeholders at the Centre: Multi-Stakeholder Approaches to Social Impact Measurement. 129–144. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-83152-3_7
- Coulson-Thomas, C. (2018). Social performance and productivity. <https://gala.gre.ac.uk/id/eprint/19551>
- Craver, C. B. (2011). The Impact of Labor Unions on Worker Rights and on Other Social Movements. *Social Science Research Network*. https://scholarship.law.gwu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1520&context=faculty_publications
- Cretu, C., Gheonea, V., & Ivan, A. (2015). Balanced Scorecard – Strategic Management Tool of Performance in Public Institutions. 11(1), 137–148. <https://doaj.org/article/82a5c8a280b4438e9f377f0d05d75611>
- Crişan-Mitra, C., & Borza, A. (2015). How measuring csr performance impacts csr results. *Interdisciplinary Management Research*, 11, 869–879. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/osi/journal/v11y2015p869-879.html>
- Crocco, E., Broccardo, L., Alofaysan, H., & Agarwal, R. (2024). Sustainability reporting in carbon-intensive industries: Insights from a cross-sector machine learning approach. *Business Strategy and the Environment*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3850>
- Crothers, C. (2021). Well-Being Indicators in Aotearoa/New Zealand: the 2021 'state of the play.' 1, 28. <https://doi.org/10.24135/ANZJSI.V1I.53>
- Crothers, C., & Yeung, S. (2017). The Social Condition of the New Zealand People: A Pre-election Review of Social Indicator Information. *Kotuitui: New Zealand Journal of Social Sciences Online*, 12(2), 132–146. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1177083X.2017.1373682>

- CSR Reporting and Blockchain Technology. (2022). IntechOpen eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.105512>
- Čufar, M., & Belak, J. (2024). Beyond Financials: Understanding the Implications of NFRD and CSRD on Non-financial Reporting. <https://doi.org/10.18690/um.epf.5.2024.18>
- Cullen, H. (2016). The Irresistible Rise of Human Rights Due Diligence: Conflict Minerals and Beyond. *The George Washington International Law Review*, 48(4), 743–780. [https://research-repository.uwa.edu.au/en/publications/the-irresistible-rise-of-human-rights-due-diligence\(7b660a92-9dc9-45e0-9190-28023ebfc91a\).html](https://research-repository.uwa.edu.au/en/publications/the-irresistible-rise-of-human-rights-due-diligence(7b660a92-9dc9-45e0-9190-28023ebfc91a).html)
- Curráz Pérez, R., Alvarado-Herrera, A., & Vera-Martínez, J. (2023). Comparing the effects of consumers' perceptions of companies' corporate social responsibility initiatives in emerging and developed markets. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/apjml-09-2022-0761>
- Cusimayta-Quispe, M. A., & Delgado-Pizarro, C. A. (2021). Social responsibility in professional accounting training. 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.35429/EJS.2021.14.8.1.7>
- D'Andrea, A. (2017). Applying GRI Sustainability Reporting in the Water Sector: Evidences from an Italian Company. *International Journal of Business Administration*, 8(3), 10. <https://doi.org/10.5430/IJBA.V8N3P10>
- D'souza, S. (2014). Social accounting – an analysis. *Sai Om Journal of Commerce & Management: A Peer Reviewed International Journal*, 1(4), 11–15. <https://scispace.com/papers/social-accounting-an-analysis-5512b519lr>
- Dabhadkar, G. (2015). Incorporating Environmental and Social Factors into Decision-making of an Oil and Gas Industry to Improve Sustainability. <https://scholarworks.uark.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2074&context=etd>
- Dalziel, P. C., & Saunders, C. M. (2014). Wellbeing economics: A policy framework for New Zealand. https://www.nzae.org.nz/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/Dalziel_and_Saunders_Wellbeing_Paper.pdf
- Damayanti, T. W. (2009). Audit Pertanggungjawaban Sosial Perusahaan: Pendekatan Literatur. 11(2), 101–108. <https://doi.org/10.9744/JAK.11.2.PP>
- Danso, A., Adomako, S., Lartey, T., Amankwah-Amoah, J., & Owusu-Yirenkyi, D. (2020). Stakeholder integration, environmental sustainability orientation and financial performance. *Journal of Business Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JBUSRES.2019.02.038>
- Dao, T. T. H., & Dao, Q. T. (2024). Factors Influencing Employee Life Well-being: A Case Study of Banks in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. *Türk Turizm Araştırmaları Dergisi*. <https://doi.org/10.26677/tr1010.2024.1449>
- Darmawan, A., & Purwaningsih, E. (2019). Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Penilaian Kinerja Karyawan Dengan Metode Simple Additive Weighting Pada PT. Anggada Perkasa Teknik. 23–32. <https://repository.bsi.ac.id/index.php/repo/viewitem/19328>
- Dasila, R. A. (2025). Analysis of Alternative Financial Reporting Integration with Traditional Financial Reporting for Corporate Transparency. *Advances in Applied Accounting Research*. <https://doi.org/10.60079/aaar.v3i1.430>
- Dasinapa, M. B. (2024). The integration of sustainability and ESG accounting into corporate reporting practices. *Advances in Applied Accounting Research*. <https://doi.org/10.60079/aaar.v2i1.167>
- Daud, D., Kishan, K., & Azhar, Z. (2024). A systematic review on ethical challenges of emerging AI in accounting using the Ado model. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*. <https://doi.org/10.47772/ijriss.2024.icame2407>
- Daud, Z., Husin, F. Mohd., & Bakar, M. S. (2017). Improving employees' welfare. <http://repo.uum.edu.my/23447/>
- David, B., & Giordano-Spring, S. (2021). Climate reporting related to the TCFD framework: An exploration of the air transport sector. *Social and Environmental Accountability Journal*, 42(1), 18–37. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969160X.2021.2007784>
- Davis, P. (2010). The Role of NGOs in Promoting Responsible Business Practice. 175–186. https://ideas.repec.org/h/pal/palchp/978-0-230-28313-8_13.html
- de Groot, C., Koster, H., & van Oostrum, C. H. A. (2022). Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive: naar herziening van de Europese duurzaamheidsverslaggeving. *Maandblad Voor Accountancy En Bedrijfseconomie*, 96(3/4), 61–73. <https://doi.org/10.5117/mab.96.82479>

- de Leth, D. O., & Ros-Tonen, M. A. F. (2021). Creating Shared Value Through an Inclusive Development Lens: A Case Study of a CSV Strategy in Ghana's Cocoa Sector. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10551-021-04808-1>
- de Lima Voss, B., Carter, D. B., & Salotti, B. M. (2017). Hegemonies, politics, and the Brazilian academy in social and environmental accounting: A post-structural note. *Advances in Environmental Accounting & Management*, 6, 13–68. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S1479-359820160000006001>
- De Nocker, L., Liekens, I., Beckx, C., & Broekx, S. (2022). Valuation of health benefits of green-blue areas for the purpose of ecosystem accounting: a pilot in Flanders, Belgium. <https://doi.org/10.3897/arphapreprints.e89894>
- De Silva, P., Gunarathne, N., & Kumar, S. (2024). Exploring the impact of digital knowledge, integration and performance on sustainable accounting, reporting and assurance. *Meditari Accountancy Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/medar-02-2024-2383>
- Defourny, J., & Nyssens, M. (2023). "Chapter 21: Social enterprises". In *Encyclopedia of the Social and Solidarity Economy*. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing. Retrieved Apr 20, 2025, from <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781803920924.00034>
- Degregori, G., Brescia, V., Calandra, D., & Secinaro, S. (2025). Evaluating sustainability reporting in SMEs: Insights from an ethical cooperative bank's approach. *Journal of Global Responsibility*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jgr-10-2024-0197>
- Del Sarto, N., Bocchialini, E., Gai, L., & Ielasi, F. (2023). Microfinance, microcredit, and women's empowerment: An exploration of the Grameen Bank experience for social inclusion. *Journal of Accounting and Finance*. <https://doi.org/10.33423/jaf.v23i5.6590>
- Delgado, G. (2013). Contabilidad para la internacionalización y la globalización: hegemonía y disidencias. *Revista de Contabilidad Internacional*. Link
- Deng, B. (2024). Examining the influence of ESG reporting on investment strategies: The crucial role of accounting information. *Environment, Social and Governance*. <https://doi.org/10.70267/0v8bsc94>
- DePatie, T., Nolan, K., Billotti, B., & Ha, J. J. (2023). Discounting person-organization misfit and pursuing prestige: Imagined benefits as a needs-meeting mechanism. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/zghdq>
- Derun, I., & Mysaka, H. (2024). Drivers of expansion of social accounting and reporting: Indication of bibliometric trends. *Bulletin of Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv. Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.17721/1728-2667.2024/224-1/9>
- Dewi, V. I. (2012). Socially Responsible Investment Sebagai Motif Penerapan Sustainable Corporate Social Responsibility. *Research Report - Engineering Science*, 16(1), 13033. <https://doi.org/10.26593/BE.V16I1.793.%P>
- Dhamayanti, Y., & Restuningdiah, N. (2022). Content Analysis: Sustainability Report At Pt Unilever Indonesia Tbk. *International Journal of Humanities Education and Social Sciences*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.55227/ijhess.v2i1.236>
- Dhiman, R., Miteff, S., Wang, Y., Ma, S.-C., Amirikas, R., & Fabian, B. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and Sustainability—A Review. *Analytics*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/analytics3010008>
- Di Vaio, A., Varriale, L., Di Gregorio, A., & Adomako, S. (2022). Corporate social performance and non-financial reporting in the cruise industry: Paving the way towards UN Agenda 2030. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 29(6), 1931–1953. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2292>
- Dias, A. I., Pinheiro, P., & Fernandes, S. (2024). Gender diversity and climate disclosure: A TCFD perspective. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-024-05203-2>
- Digitemie, W. N., & Ekemezie, I. O. (2024). Assessing the role of carbon pricing in global climate change mitigation strategies. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.30574/msarr.2024.10.2.0040>
- Dikgwatlhe, P., & Mulenga, M. (2022). Perceptions of local communities regarding the impacts of mining on employment and economic activities in South Africa. *Energy Policy*, 163, 112–119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2022.112119>
- Dillard, J., Yuthas, K., & Baudot, L. (2016). Dialogic framing of accounting information systems in social and environmental accounting domains: Lessons from, and for, microfinance.

- International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 23, 14–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accinf.2016.10.001>
- Dilling, P. F. A., Harris, P., & Caykoylu, S. (2024). The Impact of Corporate Characteristics on Climate Governance Disclosure. Sustainability. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16051962>
- Dimitrieska, S., Stankovska, A., & Efremova, T. (2017). The Six Sins Of Greenwashing. Economics and Management, 13(2), 82–89. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/neo/journal/v13y2017i2p82-89.html>
- Ding, R., Jacoby, G., Liu, M., Wang, T., & Wu, Z. (2024). Does climate risk shape firms' accounting conservatism? Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intfin.2024.102081>
- Dingli, D. J. (2012). The Manufacturing Industry – Coping with Challenges. Research Papers in Economics. <https://ideas.repec.org/p/msm/wpaper/2012-05.html>
- Dinu, V. (2011). Corporate Social Responsibility – Opportunity for Reconciliation between Economical Interests and Social and Environmental Interests. The AMFITEATRU ECONOMIC Journal, 13(29), 6–7. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/aes/amfeco/v13y2011i29p6-7.html>
- Diop, A., Le, K., & Ewers, M. (2016). Working and living conditions of migrant workers in the GCC. 97–111. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315443409-15>
- Dissanayake, D. (2021). Sustainability key performance indicators and the global reporting initiative: usage and challenges in a developing country context. Meditari Accountancy Research, 29(3), 543–567. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-08-2019-0543>
- Disyon, H., Widianingsih, W., & Rio, F. (2022). Corporate Social and Environmental Responsibility in SOE Mining Sector in Indonesia: Commitment of Social-legal Awareness. JSW (Jurnal Sosiologi Walisongo), 6(2), 159–172. <https://doi.org/10.21580/jsw.2022.6.2.12423>
- Dong, Q., Zhong, C., Geng, Y., Dong, F., Chen, W., & Zhang, Y. (2024). A bibliometric review of carbon footprint research. Carbon Footprints. <https://doi.org/10.20517/cf.2023.45>
- Dong, W. (2023). Examining Relationships Between Nonprofit Organizations and Board Member Affiliations: A Social Network Study. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3739813/v1>
- Doni, F., NAZIR, S., Capocchi, A., & Sarfraz, A. (2023). Exploring How Artificial Intelligence and Circular Economy Activities Enable Integrated Reporting Practices. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4583344>
- Dowla, A. (2006). In credit we trust: Building social capital by Grameen Bank in Bangladesh. Journal of Socio-Economics, 35(1), 102–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socec.2005.12.006>
- Dowling, G. R. (2016). Winning the Reputation Game: Creating Stakeholder Value and Competitive Advantage. <https://www.amazon.com/Winning-Reputation-Game-Stakeholder-Competitive/dp/0262034468>
- Downie, J., & Stubbs, W. (2012). Corporate Carbon Strategies and Greenhouse Gas Emission Assessments: The Implications of Scope 3 Emission Factor Selection. Business Strategy and the Environment, 21, 412–422. <https://doi.org/10.1002/BSE.1734>
- Doyle, J. (2011). Where has all the oil gone? BP branding and the discursive elimination of climate change risk. https://consensus.app/papers/where-has-all-the-oil-gone-bp-branding-and-the-discursive-doyle/12691c7de798500f85b3b156973a6385/?utm_source=chatgpt
- Drozd, I., Drozd, N., & Zaiets, N. (2014). Features of Accounting and Reporting Reform in the Public Sector of Ukraine. Zeszyty Teoretyczne Rachunkowości, 2014, 67–86. <https://doi.org/10.5604/16414381.1122864>
- Dubey, R., Gunasekaran, A., Childe, S. J., Papadopoulos, T., Luo, Z., Wamba, S. F., & Roubaud, D. (2017). Can big data and predictive analytics improve social and environmental sustainability? Technological Forecasting and Social Change. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2017.06.020>
- Dudina, I. M., Efimova, E. I., & Prostova, D. (2022). Socially-Oriented Non-Profit Organizations in the Structure of the "Third Sector" of the Economy in Russia. Logos et Praxis, 4, 95–109. <https://doi.org/10.15688/lp.jvolsu.2022.4.9>
- Dwiharto, A., Irmaningsih, E. S., Nawangsari, L. C., Lo, S. J., & Zainal, V. R. (2023). The Answer Social Challenges: Social Accounting and Social Accounting Measurement. International Journal of Social Service and Research. <https://doi.org/10.46799/ijssr.v3i1.195>
- Dwiputra, S. N. A. (2012). The implementation of creating shared value (csv) as a reference for csr development based on social entrepreneurship (case study at pt nestle indonesia). 1(2). <https://jimfeb.ub.ac.id/index.php/jimfeb/article/view/435>

- Ebimobowei, A. (2011). A Study of Social Accounting Disclosures in the Annual Reports of Nigerian Companies. Retrieved from <https://consensus.app/papers/a-study-of-social-accounting-disclosures-in-the-annual-ebimobowei/b9fbfe23f6ad59f5834bc06edce0c03a/>
- Ebrahim, A., Battilana, J., & Mair, J. (2014). Governance in social enterprises. In M. Wright, D. Siegel, K. Keasey, & I. Filatotchev (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of corporate governance* (pp. 705–729). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198866367.003.0008>
- Eccles, R. G., & Krzus, M. P. (2014). *The Integrated Reporting Movement: Meaning, Momentum, Motives, and Materiality*. Wiley.
- Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). The impact of corporate sustainability on organizational processes and performance. *Management Science*, 60(11), 2835–2857. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2014.1984>
- Eccles, R. G., Serafeim, G., & Andrews, P. (2011). Mandatory Environmental, Social, and Governance Disclosures in the European Union. Social Science Research Network. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1993091
- Edilsultanova, L., Bolotkhanov, E., & Markha, I. (2022). Digital technologies in the management of socio-economic systems. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 141, 01016. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/202214101016>
- Edralin, D. M., & Pastrana, R. (2022). Advancing the pursuit of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals: Initiatives of selected publicly listed companies in the Philippines. 7(1), 1–47. <https://doi.org/10.58870/berj.v7i1.31>
- Edwards, K., & Burr, H. (2014). KPI's, leadership and psychosocial work environment. <https://doi.org/10.22007/DTU:2301>
- Efunniyi, C. P., Abhulimen, A. O., Obiki-Osafiele, A. N., Osundare, O. S., Agu, E. E., & Adeniran, I. A. (2024). Strengthening corporate governance and financial compliance: Enhancing accountability and transparency. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v6i8.1509>
- Ekwaru, J. P., Ohinmaa, A., & Veugelers, P. J. (2020). An Enhanced Approach for Economic Evaluation of Long-Term Benefits of School-Based Health Promotion Programs. *Nutrients*, 12(4), 1101. <https://doi.org/10.3390/NU12041101>
- Ekwaru, J. P., Ohinmaa, A., Dabravolskaj, J., Maximova, K., Maximova, K., Maximova, K., & Veugelers, P. J. (2021). Cost-effectiveness and return on investment of school-based health promotion programmes for chronic disease prevention. *European Journal of Public Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/EURPUB/CKAB130>
- El Geneidy, S., Baumeister, S., Peura, M., & Kotiaho, J. S. (2023). Value-transforming financial, carbon and biodiversity footprint accounting. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2309.14186>
- Elgaitarova, N. T., Bayramkulova, M. A., & Edilsultanova, L. A. (2024). Application of ifrs in small and medium-sized businesses: challenges and opportunities. *Ėkonomika i Upravlienie: Problemy, Rešeniâ*, 12/14(153), 67–72. <https://doi.org/10.36871/ek.up.p.r.2024.12.14.009>
- Elkington, J (1997). *Cannibals With Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business*. First published by Capstone Publishing Limited. Oxford Centre for Innovation.
- Elmogla, M. (2009). Corporate social reporting in a transition economy: the case of Libya. http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/11933/1/FEGREG_WP_11-01.pdf
- Elving, W. J. L., & Postma, R. M. (2017). *Social media: The dialogue myth? How organizations use social media for stakeholder dialogue* (Vol. 2). Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S2398-391420170000002011>
- Emborg, M., & Olsen, S. I. (2024). Meeting Increasing Demands for Upstream Scope 3 Reporting: A Framework for the Drilling Industry. *SPE Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2118/217701-pa>
- Emmanuel, T., da Silva, A. C., & Avila, M. (2012). Does social responsibility matter for firm performance? Evidence from Brazil. *Corporate Ownership and Control*, 9(3), 132–141. <https://doi.org/10.22495/COCV9I3ART11>
- Eriyanti, Y. (2022). THE EFFECT OF DISCLOSURE OF SUSTAINABILITY REPORTING BASED ON GRI STANDARDS ON COMPANY PERFORMANCE (Study on Non-Financial Companies Listed on the SRI-KEHATI Index 2017-2019). *Jurnal Akuntansi Trisakti (e-Journal)*, 9(1), 145–154. <https://doi.org/10.25105/jat.v9i1.10272>

- Ertz, M., Latrous, I., Dakhlaoui, A., & Sun, S. (2024). The impact of Big Data Analytics on firm sustainable performance. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2990>
- Esa, E., Mohamad, N. R., & Zahari, A. R. (2024). Balancing Green and Gold: The Link Between Sustainability Reporting and Corporate Reputation in Malaysia. *European Journal of Sustainable Development*. <https://doi.org/10.14207/ejsd.2024.v13n1p12>
- Esawi, K. A. M., Shehab, L. S., & Benzerrouk, Z. (2024). The impact of cloud computing on achieving the quality of financial reports: A case study of Egypt Bank. *WSEAS Transactions on Business and Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.37394/23207.2025.22.5>
- Espahbodi, L., Espahbodi, R., Juma, N., & Westbrook, A. (2019). Sustainability priorities, corporate strategy, and investor behavior. *Review of Financial Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/RFE.1052>
- España, S., Thórsteinsdóttir, G., Ramautar, V., & Pastor, Ó. (2023). Investigating the links between ethical, social and environmental accounting and strategic management practices through enterprise modelling: technical report. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/xv529>
- Evangelinos, K., Nikolaou, I., & Filho, W. (2015). The effects of climate change policy on the business community: A corporate environmental accounting perspective. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 22(5), 257–270. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.1342>
- Eyo-Udo, N. L., Apeh, C. E., Bristol-Alagbariya, B., Udeh, C. A., & Ewim, C. P.-M. (2025). The evolution of blockchain technology in accounting: A review of its implications for transparency and accountability. *Account and Financial Management Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.47191/afmj/v10i1.04>
- Fahdil, H. N., Hassan, H. M., Subhe, A., & Hawas, A. T. (2024). Blockchain technology in accounting: Transforming financial reporting and auditing. *Journal of Ecohumanism*. <https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v3i5.3903>
- Faiz, F., Ninduwezuor-Ehiobu, N., Adanma, U. M., & Solomon, N. O. (2024). Blockchain for sustainable waste management: Enhancing transparency and accountability in waste disposal. *Comprehensive Research and Reviews in Science and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.57219/crrst.2024.2.1.0032>
- Fang, M., Tan, K. S., & Wirjanto, T. (2018). Sustainable portfolio management under climate change. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 9(1), 45–67. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2018.1522583>
- Farazi, M. Z. R. (2024). Designing circular supply chains with digital technologies for competitive sustainability: An operation management perspective. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*. <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2024.13.1.1928>
- Farinós, J. M. (2017). Sustainability as an object of corporate social responsibility. 2(1), 13–22. <https://doi.org/10.4995/VITRUVIO-IJATS.2017.7649>
- Farooq, U. (2023). Corporate Transparency and Environmental Reporting: Trends and Benefits. *Advances*, 1(6). <https://doi.org/10.60079/ajeb.v1i6.249>
- Fathirah, D., Nirwana, N., & Haliah, H. (2024). Budget Transparency and Accountability in Local Government. <https://doi.org/10.55927/ajma.v3i4.11878>
- Fatmawati, I., & Fauzan, N. (2021). Building Customer Trust through Corporate Social Responsibility: The Effects of Corporate Reputation and Word of Mouth. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 8(3), 793–805. <https://doi.org/10.13106/JAFEB.2021.VOL8.NO3.0793>
- Fauziah, H. (2015). The Influence of Company Characteristics toward Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure. <http://scholar.unand.ac.id/1769/>
- Fauziah, H. (2015). The Influence of Company Characteristics toward Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure. <http://scholar.unand.ac.id/1769/>. https://scispace.com/papers/the-influence-of-company-characteristics-toward-corporate-y4b8fdk1tw?utm_source=scholar.google.com&utm_content=insights_citation
- Feeney, O. (2021). Accounting in a social context. In *21st Century Approaches to Management and Accounting Research [Working Title]*. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.99911>
- Feeney, O. (2021). Accounting in a social context. In *21st Century Approaches to Management and Accounting Research (Working Title)*. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.99911>

- Feil, A. A., & Traesel, E. G. (2024). Sustainability indicators employed in the performance evaluation of the beverage industry in Brazil. *Revista de Estudos Interdisciplinares*, 6(1), 01–23. <https://doi.org/10.56579/rei.v6i1.681>
- Feng, N. C., & Neely, D. G. (2023). Walden Conservatory of Music: A Case of Nonprofit Financial Analysis and Strategic Planning. *Issues in Accounting Education*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.2308/issues-2020-052>
- Fernández, Y., Fernández López, M. Á., González Hernández, D., & Blanco, B. O. (2018). Institutional change and environment: Lessons from the European Emission Trading System. *Energies*, 11(4), 706. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en11040706>
- Fernández-Ardavín, A. (2003). Es necesaria una regulación de la Responsabilidad Social en Europa. 2775, 33–44. <https://doi.org/10.32796/BICE.2003.2775.3289>
- Fernández-Guadaño, J., & Sarria-Pedroza, J. (2018). Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility on Value Creation from a Stakeholder Perspective. *Sustainability*, 10(6), 2062. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU10062062>
- Ferrada, L. M., Soza-Amigo, S., & Mancilla, C. (2020). Patagonia chilena: características de la conmutación y funcionalidad del espacio geográfico. 60(2), 342–355. <http://erevistas.saber.ula.ve/index.php/regeoven/article/view/16007>
- Ferrero-Ferrero, I., Fernández-Izquierdo, M. Á., Muñoz-Torres, M. J., Rivera-Lirio, J. M., León-Soriano, R., & Escrig-Olmedo, E. (2014). Is Corporate Governance Related to Corporate Social Responsibility? The Case of South Africa. *Research Papers in Economics*, 437–473. https://econpapers.repec.org/RePEc:wsu:wschap:9789814520386_0016
- Ferrero-Ferrero, I., Muñoz-Torres, M. J., Rivera-Lirio, J. M., Escrig-Olmedo, E., & Fernández-Izquierdo, M. Á. (2023). SDG reporting: an analysis of corporate sustainability leaders. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 41(4), 457–472. <https://doi.org/10.1108/mip-07-2022-0332>
- Figge, F., & Hahn, T. (2005). The cost of sustainability capital and the creation of sustainable value by companies. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.1162/108819805775247936>
- Figueirêdo, S. da S. M., Filho, J. G. de A., Rocha, T. J. M., Filho, F. J. de P., & Cavalcanti, M. G. dos S. (2024). Sustainability Indicators in the Integrated Management of Industries with Galvanic Activities. *Journal of Management and Sustainability*, 14(2), 53. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jms.v14n2p53>
- Filho, W. L., Salvia, A. L., de Vasconcelos, C. R. P., Anholon, R., Rampasso, I. S., Eustachio, J. H. P. P., Liakh, O., Dinis, M. A. P., Olpoc, R. C., Bandanaa, J., Aina, Y. A., Lukina, R. L., & Sharifi, A. (2022). Barriers to institutional social sustainability. *Sustainability Science*, 17(6), 2615–2630. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-022-01204-0>
- Filipi, G., & Karapici, V. (2014). Social accounting in Albania. *The Romanian Economic Journal*, 17, 191–208. Retrieved from <https://consensus.app/papers/social-accounting-in-albania-filipi-karapici/b59ea4817d9b5ff08ef5eab6f0c1f09a/>
- Firoz, M., & Ansari, A. A. (2010). Environmental Accounting and IFRS. *Social Science Research Network*. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1715436
- Fischer, M. J., & Fischer, C. M. (2017). Corporate Social Responsibility Reports: A Review of the Recent Accounting Literature. 7(1), 73–98. <https://doi.org/10.15239/J.BRCACADJB.2017.07.01.JA04>
- Fitriani, A. P. (2024). Transforming accounting system with blockchain technology implementation. *Etihad: Journal of Islamic Banking and Finance*. <https://doi.org/10.21154/etihad.v4i2.10069>
- Fleaca, B., Fleaca, E., & Corocaescu, M. (2023). Sustainability information – analysis of current trends in sustainability monitoring & reporting. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 10(3), 274–287. [https://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2023.10.3\(18\)](https://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2023.10.3(18))
- Flynn, A. (2019). Determinants of corporate compliance with modern slavery reporting. *Supply Chain Management*, 25(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-10-2018-0369>
- Fobbe, L., Lemke, J., & Quarmyne, E. T. (2016). Implementing Social Sustainability : A Case Study of a Multinational Company. <http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2:940468>
- Foster, D., & Jonker, J. (2006). Stakeholder Engagement: In and Beyond the Organization (pp. 115–130). Hampshire : Palgrave. https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230626355_8

- Franca, O., Ayinla, B. S., Ndubuisi, N. L., Atadoga, A., Asuzu, O. F., Ugochukwu, C., & Adeleye, R. A. (2024). Enhancing accounting operations through cloud computing: A review and implementation guide. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.2.0441>
- Fraser, M. (2011). Social Accounting and Organisational Change: An Exploration of the Sustainability Assessment Model. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAOC.2011.31507BAA.001>
- Freeman, R. B. (2015). Who owns the robots rules the world. *The IZA World of Labor*, 5, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.15185/IZAWOL.5>
- Friedrich, J. O., & Kunkel, T. (2023). Reimagining the Conceptual Foundation of Accounting: Environmental Wealth and the Society at Large. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4530927>
- Friske, W., Nikolov, A. N., & Morgan, T. (2023). Making the grade: An analysis of sustainability reporting standards and Global Reporting Initiative adherence ratings. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2686>
- Frostenson, M. (2019). SDGs and accounting : A theoretical explanation for why the SDGs are integrated into corporate operations—or not. 1–15. <http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2:1352733>
- Fu, R. (2024). Innovative Business Analysis and Marketing Strategies: Unraveling Tesla's Success in the Digital Age. *Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2754-1169/2024.18597>
- Fuentes-Pardo, A. P. (2022). Beyond social prescribing - the use of social return on investment (SROI) analysis in integrated health and social care interventions in England and Wales: a protocol for a systematic review. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.28.22281599>
- Gacek, J. R. (2020). Corporate Greenwashing and Canada Goose: Exploring the Legitimacy–Aesthetic Nexus. *International Journal for Crime, Justice and Social Democracy*, 9(4), 148–162. <https://doi.org/10.5204/IJCJSD.V9I2.1385>
- Galdino, M., Mondo, B. V., Sakai, J., & de Paiva, N. V. M. (2023). The Civil Society Organizations effect: A mixed-methods analysis of bottom-up accountability in Brazilian public policy. <https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/s82dn>
- Galeazzo, A., Miandar, T., & Carraro, M. (2023). SDGs in corporate responsibility reporting: a longitudinal investigation of institutional determinants and financial performance. *Journal of Management & Governance*, 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10997-023-09671-y>
- Galeotti, M., Lombardi, R., Paoloni, P., & Roberto, F. (2022). Big data and sustainability reports: The current approach to non-accounting data management. *Management Control*. <https://doi.org/10.3280/maco2022-002-s1005>
- Gallagher, A. A. T. (2015). Exploitation in Migration: Unacceptable but Inevitable. *Journal of International Affairs*, 68(2), 55. <https://www.questia.com/library/journal/1G1-413170062/exploitation-in-migration-unacceptable-but-inevitable>
- Gambhir, V., & Bhatt, D. (2022). Study on achieving sustainability through artificial intelligence. *International Journal of Health Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6ns2.8144>
- Gao, X. (2023). A scenario analysis of TCFD implementation—As an example of H&M. *Academic Journal of Business & Management*. <https://doi.org/10.25236/ajbm.2023.051610>
- Garcia-Fronti, I. (2007). Corporate social and environmental reporting in developing countries: The case of Argentina. <https://ideas.repec.org/p/pramprapa/35583.html>
- García-Muiña, F. E., Medina-Salgado, M. S., González-Sánchez, R., Huertas-Valdivia, I., Ferrari, A. M., & Settembre-Blundo, D. (2022). Social Organizational Life Cycle Assessment (SO-LCA) and Organization 4.0: An easy-to-implement method. *MethodsX*, 9, 101692. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2022.101692>
- Garcia-Rodriguez, I., & Romero-Merino, M. E. (2020). Financing Nonprofit Organizations. 1–193. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429265419>
- García-Sánchez, I.-M., Aibar-Guzmán, C., Serrano-Valdecillos, C., & Aibar-Guzmán, B. (2022). Analysis of the Dialogue with Stakeholders by the IBEX 35 Companies. *Sustainability*, 14(3), 1913. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031913>
- Garcia-Torea, N., Giordano-Spring, S., Larrinaga, C., & Rivière-Giordano, G. (2021). Accounting for Carbon Emission Allowances: An Empirical Analysis in the EU ETS Phase 3. *Social and Environmental Accountability Journal*, 42(2), 93–115. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969160X.2021.2012496>

- Gen, S., & Wright, A. C. (2013). Policy advocacy organizations: A framework linking theory and practice. *Journal of Policy Practice*, 12(3), 163–193. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15588742.2013.795477>
- Genoud, J., & Vignau, C. (2017). The impact of stakeholders' influence on transparency of sustainability report in Sweden within the GRI framework: A quantitative study. <http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2:1114774>
- Gentil, D. L., Araújo, E., Puty, C. A. C. B., & Silva, C. P. A. (2020). Outro olhar para o financiamento da previdência social no brasil: a centralidade da formalização do trabalho, aumento de produtividade e gestão de receitas // another look at the financing of social security in brazil: the key role of formalizing work, increasing productivity and enhancing revenue management. *Revista de Economia Contemporânea*, 24(3). <https://revistas.ufrj.br/index.php/rec/article/download/39658/21585>
- George, C., & Olan'g, L. (2020). Taxing the Informal Sector: A Case of Dar es Salaam Street Vendors. <https://www.africaportal.org/publications/taxing-informal-sector-case-dar-es-salaam-street-vendors/>
- Georgescu, D., & Cotlet, B.-P. (2017). The Challenges Of Globalization On The Accounting Information. 69(5), 45–54. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/blg/reveco/v69y2017i5p45-54.html>
- Gertner, R. H. (2023). The organization of social enterprises. *Annual Review of Economics*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-economics-082222-075249>
- Gessendorfer J, Kludza KE, Smith H. Presenting the informal economy in the macroeconomic accounts. *Statistical Journal of the IAOS*. 2025;40(4):800-812. <https://doi.org/10.1177/18747655241299069>
- Gholami, S., Parvizi, M., TamriNeia, A., Nemati, S., Abgineh, M., Emami, M., & Branch, E.-G. (2012). Social Responsibility Accounting: From Theory to Practice.
- Giancotti, M., Ciconte, V., & Mauro, M. (2022). Social Reporting in Healthcare Sector: The Case of Italian Public Hospitals. *Sustainability*, 14(23), 15940. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142315940>
- Giang, N. P., & Tam, H. T. (2023). Impacts of blockchain on accounting in the business. *SAGE Open*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440231222419>
- Gibassier, D. (2025). Accounting for Social and Environmental Sustainability. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003537533>
- Gibru, A., & Aynalem, M. (2019). The Possible Challenges of Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards: A Qualitative Meta-analysis Approach. *Journal of Investment Management*, 8(6), 86. <https://doi.org/10.11648/J.JIM.20190806.11>
- Gibson, B., & Flaherty, D. (2020). Employment Impact Assessments: Integrating the informal sector into social accounting matrices and computable general equilibrium models. *Research Papers in Economics*. https://www.ilo.org/employment/Whatwedo/Projects/sector-trade-policies/WCMS_735672/lang--en/index.htm
- Gilbert, D. U., & Behnam, M. (2012). Trust and the United Nations Global Compact: A Network Theory Perspective. *Social Science Research Network*. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2132447
- Gilbert, D. U., & Rasche, A. (2008). A discourse ethical perspective on social accounting - the case of "global eight." *Gabler*. <http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/id/eprint/45172>
- Gilbert, D., & Rasche, A. (2008). A critical perspective on social accounting – The contribution of discourse philosophy. In *Corporate Social Responsibility and Globalization* (pp. 175–197). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-79472-1_7
- Glachant, M., & Moineville, G. (2012). The informational role of nongovernmental organizations to induce self-regulation: Cheering the leaders or booing the laggards? *Research Papers in Economics*. <https://ideas.repec.org/p/hal/wpaper/hal-00716864.html>
- Goel, A., Raut, G., Sharma, A., & Taneja, U. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and Sustainable Business: A Review. *South Asian Journal of Business and Management Cases*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/22779779241302146>
- Goel, S., Dadhich, P. K., & Saini, S. (2024). Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Communication and Its Role in Image Building for Companies in NCR. *Research Review International Journal of Multidisciplinary*, 9(11), 26–33. <https://doi.org/10.31305/rrijm.2024.v09.n11.005>

- Goldsmith, L. J., & Hutchison, B. (2004). Economic evaluation across the four faces of prevention: a canadian perspective. *Research Papers in Economics*. https://evaluationcanada.ca/distribution/200405_goldsmith_laurie_hutchison_brian_hurley_jeremiah.pdf
- Gomez, A. D. (2011). Trade Unions and Occupational Health and Safety. https://opus.bibliothek.uni-wuerzburg.de/files/4765/dissertation_donado.pdf
- Gompel, A. (2023). Guiding Principle 2: Expecting Business to Protect Human Rights (pp. 20–27). Edward Elgar Publishing eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800375673.00013>
- Gong, X., Liu, Z., Tian, J., Wang, Q., Li, G., An, Z., & Han, Y. (2024). Global carbon emission accounting: national-level assessment of wildfire CO2 emission – a case study of China. <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2024-1684>
- González, C. C., & Mendoza, K. H. (2020). Green accounting in Colombia: A case study of the mining sector. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 23, 6453–6465. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-020-00880-1>
- González, S. G. (2019). La reputación como ventaja competitiva sostenible. 45, 203–211. <https://doi.org/10.18682/CDC.VI45.1845>
- González-Castillo, F., Lobatón-Polo, D., & Polo de Lobatón, G. (2017). Indicadores de contabilidad social con miras a diseñar políticas de mejoramiento de calidad de vida. 17(44), 493–518. <https://doi.org/10.11144/JAVERIANA.CC17-44.ICSM>
- Gonzalez-Perez, M. A., & Leonard, L. (2015). The UN Global Compact: Fair Competition and Environmental and Labour Justice in International Markets. <https://www.amazon.com/Global-Compact-Environmental-International-Sustainability/dp/1784412953>
- Gopathoti, K. (2024). Trustworthy and open supply chain management with blockchain and internet of things. *International Journal of Scientific Methods in Intelligence Engineering Networks*. <https://doi.org/10.58599/ijsmien.2024.2305>
- Gordon, J. (2021). The international governance of refugee work: reflections on the Jordan compact. 1(3), 239–255. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S43508-021-00019-6>
- Gorski, A., & Dumitrascu, D. L. (2024). The Convergence of Corporate Sustainability and Social Responsibility in Modern Business Contexts: A Bibliometric Analysis. *Studies in Business and Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.2478/sbe-2024-0004>
- Goswami, K., Islam, M. K. S., & Evers, W. (2023). A case study on the blended reporting phenomenon: A comparative analysis of voluntary reporting frameworks and standards—GRI, IR, SASB, and CDP. *The International Journal of Sustainability Policy and Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.18848/2325-1166/cgp/v19i02/35-64>
- Goyal, M. (2014). Good Human Resource Practices make Best places to work for. *TIJ's Research Journal of Social Science & Management - RJSSM*, 3(10). <https://www.theinternationaljournal.org/ojs/index.php?journal=tij&page=article&op=view&path%5B%5D=2655>
- Graham, D., & Woods, N. (2006). Making corporate self-regulation effective in developing countries. *World Development*, 34(5), 868–883. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WORLDDEV.2005.04.022>
- Gray, R., O'Dochartaigh, A., & Rannou, C. (2016). Organisational Effectiveness and Social and Environmental Accounting: Through the Past Darkly (pp. 53–71). Palgrave Macmillan, London. https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-54212-0_4
- Green, K. (2017). Slavery and Human Trafficking statement. https://www.alzheimers.org.uk/site/scripts/documents_info.php?documentID=651#content
- Greenstone, M., Leuz, C., & Breuer, P. (2023). Mandatory disclosure would reveal corporate carbon damages. *Science*, 381(6657), 837–840. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.add6815>
- Greenwashing in business: examining the impact of deceptive environmental claims on consumer behavior and corporate accountability. (2023). *International Journal of Social Science and Economic Research*, 08(04), 908–920. <https://doi.org/10.46609/ijsser.2023.v08i04.024>
- Greenwood, M. (2006). Trust and the organisation-stakeholder relationship. 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.4225/03/5934CDCA2F3C3>
- Gregory, R., & Zirker, D. (2013). Clean and green with deepening shadows? a non-complacent view of corruption in New Zealand (Vol. 23). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. [https://doi.org/10.1108/S0732-1317\(2013\)0000023005](https://doi.org/10.1108/S0732-1317(2013)0000023005)

- Grewal, J., Hauptmann, C., & Serafeim, G. (2017). Stock Price Synchronicity and Material Sustainability Information. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.2966144>
- Grigorescu, A., & Saseanu, A. S. (2010). The social responsibility influence of corporations on sustainable development. *Research Papers in Economics*. <https://mpa.ub.uni-muenchen.de/25134/>
- Grigoris, G. (2016). The Challenges of Corporate Social Responsibility Assessment Methodologies. *Journal of Economics and Business Administration*, 1, 39–55. <https://doi.org/10.35808/IJEBA/91>
- Grimes, A. (2015). Recent Quantitative Approaches to Measuring Wellbeing in New Zealand. *New Zealand Sociology*, 30(3), 112. <https://www.questia.com/library/journal/1P3-3877801761/recent-quantitative-approaches-to-measuring-wellbeing>
- Grimmelikhuijsen, S., Weske, U., Bouwman, R., & Tummers, L. (2017). *Public Sector Transparency* (pp. 291–312). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316676912.014>
- Groot, A., de Bruin, K., Bolt, J., Verwey, P., Daniels, E., & Hutjes, R. (2019). Climate risk assessment services for agri-food sector. <https://doi.org/10.18174/471420>
- Grossi, G., & Argento, D. (2022). The fate of accounting for public governance development. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/aaaj-11-2020-5001>
- Grossi, G., Laguerre, A., Ferry, L., & Tucker, B. P. (2024). Accounting and Accountability in Hybrid Organisations: A Micro-Level Perspective. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4804019>
- Gu, S., Prah, G. J., & Tenkorang, K. K. (2019). Consequences of International Financial Reporting Standards Adoption in Africa: Evidence From Foreign Direct Investment. *European Scientific Journal*, ESJ, 15(34), 67. <https://doi.org/10.19044/ESJ.2019.V15N34P67>
- Guastella, G., Pareglio, S., & Schiavoni, C. (2023). An empirical approach to integrating climate reputational risk in long-term scenario analysis. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15075886>
- Guidi, G. M., Mastrandrea, R., Facchini, A., Squartini, T., & Kennedy, C. (2023). Tracing two decades of carbon emissions using a network approach. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2308.02325>
- Gulluscio, C. (2020). Sustainability accounting. *Encyclopedia of the UN Sustainable Development Goals*.
- Gulluscio, C., Puntillo, P., Luciani, V., & Huisinigh, D. (2020). Climate change accounting and reporting: A systematic literature review. *Sustainability*, 12(13), 5455. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12135455>
- Gunarsih, T., Saleh, C., Syukron, D. W. N., & Deros, B. M. (2016). A hybrid balanced scorecard and system dynamics for measuring public sector performance. *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, 11, 65–86. <https://doaj.org/article/6dfa4060366a4741b5c81c3f20e55653>
- Guo, Y., & Yang, D. C. (2017). Does the 2010 SEC Climate Change Disclosure Guidance Change Firms' Corporate Social Responsibility Reporting? *International Journal of Business*, 22, 25. (DOI not available)
- Gurvits-Suits, N. A., & Sidorova, I. (2022). Decade of Sustainability Reporting in EU: Main Changes and Trends. Case of Companies Listed on NASDAQ OMX Baltic Main List. *European Integration Studies*, 16, 78–86. <https://doi.org/10.5755/j01.eis.1.16.31241>
- Guter-Sandu, A. (2022). Accounting infrastructures and the negotiation of social and economic returns under financialization: The case of impact investing. *Competition & Change*, 27(2), 205–223. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10245294221085636>
- Habibikilak, F. (2024). Examination of the role of ethics-based auditing in the development of trustworthy artificial intelligence. *International Journal of Innovation Management and Organizational Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.ijimob.4.4.27>
- Haider, M. B. (2009). A Review of Literatures on Corporate Social and Environmental Reporting in Some Selected Asian Countries. *Social Science Research Network*. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1372058
- Haini, S. I., Ab. Rahim, N. Z., Zainuddin, N. M. Mohd., & Ibrahim, R. (2020). Factors Influencing the Adoption of Open Government Data in The Public Sector: A Systematic Literature

- Review. *International Journal on Advanced Science, Engineering and Information Technology*, 10(2), 611–617. <https://doi.org/10.18517/IJASEIT.10.2.9488>
- Hájek, M., Zimmermannová, J., Helman, K., & Rozenský, L. (2019). Analysis of carbon tax efficiency in energy industries of selected EU countries. *Energy Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.110955>
- Haladu, A., Bin-Nashwan, S. A., & Idris, L. (2024). Interplay between sustainable development and socio-economic sanctuary from a developing economy's voluntary social disclosure. *Social Responsibility Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/srj-05-2024-0348>
- Hall, D. (2019). New Zealand's Living Standards Framework: what might Amartya Sen say? 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.26686/PQ.V15I1.5294>
- Hallama, M., Montlló Ribo, M., Rofas Tudela, S., & Ciutat Vendrell, G. (2011). El fenómeno del Greenwashing y su impacto sobre los consumidores. <https://philpapers.org/rec/HALEFD>
- Hamawandy, N. M. (2021). Adopting and Implementation of International Financial Reporting Standard In Iraq Atheoretical Perspective. 12(2), 312–318. <https://doi.org/10.17762/TURCOMAT.V12I2.716>
- Hamzah, A., Suhendar, D., & Arifin, A. Z. (2023). Factors affecting cloud accounting adoption in SMEs. *Jurnal Akuntansi*. <https://doi.org/10.24912/ja.v27i3.1520>
- Han-You, X. (2004). A review on coordination between government audit and social audit. *Economy & Audit Study*. <https://consensus.app/papers/a-review-on-coordination-between-government-audit-and-han-you/4cd52db6c8e853adab00ff54e8e153ca/>
- Harahap, N. (2018). Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Sebagai Tanggung Jawab Sosial. 17(2), 57–62. <https://doi.org/10.30743/JHK.V17I2.352>
- Harjanto, C., Clarissa, & Jullyeta, N. F. (2025). The impact of Internet of Things (IoT) on integrated sustainability accounting monitoring and reporting. *AT-TAKLIM: Jurnal Pendidikan Multidisiplin*. <https://doi.org/10.71282/at-taklim.v2i1.56>
- Harling, A.-S., Henesy, D., & Simmance, E. B. (2023). Transparency Reporting: The UK Regulatory Perspective. *Journal of Online Trust and Safety*, 1(5). <https://doi.org/10.54501/jots.v1i5.108>
- Haron, A. (2015). KPIs in a Management Measurement System Must be Supportive. *Business and Economics Journal*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.4172/2151-6219.1000130>
- Hashempour Abandansari, M., Abbasian, M. M., Pouraghajan, A. A., Ramezani, A., & Modanlo Joibary, A. (2025). The Impact of Social Responsibility on Environmental Accounting (Companies Listed on the Tehran Stock Exchange). 5(1), 184–188. <https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.ijimob.5.1.19>
- Hassan, A. (2022). Social and environmental accounting research in vulnerable and exploitable less-developed countries: A theoretical extension. *Accounting Forum*, 46(1), 63–84. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01559982.2022.2051685>
- Haupt, M., & Ismer, R. (2013). The EU Emissions Trading System under IFRS – Towards a 'True and Fair View'. *Accounting in Europe*, 10(1), 71–97. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17449480.2013.772726>
- Hawkins, J., & Karki, S. (2024). The U.S. Economy as a Network: Comparison Across Economic and Environmental Metrics. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4701574>
- Hawrysz, L., & Maj, J. (2017). Identification of Stakeholders of Public Interest Organisations. *Sustainability*, 9(9), 1609. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU9091609>
- Hayashi, D. (1992). Preventing Human Rights Abuses in the U.S. Garment Industry: A Proposed Amendment to the Fair Labor Standards Act. *Yale Journal of International Law*, 17(1), 8. <https://digitalcommons.law.yale.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1591&context=yjil>
- Hayat, M., & Winkler, H. (2023). Blockchain adoption in product lifecycle management: Challenges and potential directions. *Procedia CIRP*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2023.08.022>
- He, Y., Wang, S., Zhou, Z., Xiao, K., Xie, A., & Wu, B. (2024). A Blockchain-based Carbon Emission Security Accounting Scheme. *Computer Networks*, 243, 110304. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2024.110304>
- Hearit, L. B. (2018). JPMorgan Chase, Bank of America, Wells Fargo, and the financial crisis of 2008. *International Journal of Business Communication*, 55(2), 237–260. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2329488417753952>

- Hechler, H. (2010). An ambassador's guide to the United Nations Convention against Corruption. <https://www.cmi.no/publications/file/3907-an-ambassadors-guide-to-the-united-nations.pdf>
- Hechler, H. (2010). UNCAC in a nutshell. A quick guide to the United Nations Convention against Corruption for embassy and donor agency staff. <https://www.cmi.no/publications/file/3769-uncac-in-a-nutshell.pdf>
- Heery, E., Williams, S., & Abbott, B. (2009). Worker representation through civil society organizations: evidence from Britain. http://www.ilera-directory.org/15thworldcongress/files/papers/Track_2/Thur_W4_HEERY.pdf
- Heikkinen, A., Kujala, J., & Lehtimäki, H. (2013). Managing Stakeholder Dialogue: The Case of Botnia in Uruguay. *South Asian Journal of Business and Management Cases*, 2(1), 25–37. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2277977913480594>
- Heiss, J., Oegel, T., Shakeri, M., & Tai, S. (2024). Verifiable Carbon Accounting in Supply Chains. *IEEE Transactions on Services Computing*, 17, 1861–1874. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSC.2023.3332831>
- Helwig, L. L., & Swinkels, L. (2015). Accounting for Market Risk in Microfinance Investments. *ERP: Social Entrepreneurial Activities/Behavior (Topic)*. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJSE.2015.072202>
- Henrique, M. R., Cirino, J. S., Cirino, J. S., & Saporito, A. (2023). Análise do Nível de Adesão da Global Reporting Initiative (GRI): estudo do Relatório de Sustentabilidade das Empresas listadas no Índice de Sustentabilidade Empresarial da B3. *Revista AOS - Amazônia, Organizações e Sustentabilidade*, 12(1), 83. <https://doi.org/10.17648/aos.v12i1.2567>
- Herath, S. K., & Woods, D. (2021). Impacts of big data on accounting. *The Business and Management Review*, 12(2). <https://doi.org/10.24052/bmr/v12nu02/art-15>
- Hernández Rodríguez, D. R., & Escobar Castillo, A. E. (2017). Modelo de contabilidad social como herramienta de gestión para la responsabilidad social empresarial. *The International Journal of Management*, 2(1), 44–56. <http://ijmsoridi.com/index.php/ijmsor/article/download/86/76>
- Hess, D. (1999). Social Reporting: A Reflexive Law Approach to Corporate Social Responsiveness. *The Journal of Corporation Law*, 25(1), 41. <https://www.questia.com/library/journal/1P3-48159222/social-reporting-a-reflexive-law-approach-to-corporate>
- Hess, D. (2005). Social Reporting and New Governance Regulation: The Prospects of Achieving Corporate Accountability through Transparency. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.818544>
- Hess, D. (2007). Social Reporting and New Governance Regulation: The Prospects of Achieving Corporate Accountability Through Transparency. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 17(3), 453–476. <https://doi.org/10.5840/BEQ200717348>
- Hettiarachchi, C. (2019). The Labour and Human Rights issues in Sri Lankan global supplier companies of UK multinationals: The influencing factors. <https://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/26894/>
- Hidayat, M., Afifah, J., & Ukriyawati, C. F. (2022). Analisis Pengungkapan Sustainability Report Berdasarkan GRI Indeks pada PT Indofood CBP Sukses Makmur dan PT Unilever Indonesia. *Measurement Jurnal Akuntansi*. <https://doi.org/10.33373/mja.v16i2.4754>
- Higa, C., Cunha, M., & Silveira, S. (2020). Coalitions towards the carbon tax in the Swedish heating sector. *Sustainability*, 12(20), 8530. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12208530>
- Hladika, M., Lacković, E., & Lučića, I. (2019). Analysis of effects of changes in regulatory requirements for disclosures on the financial and non-financial reporting of companies. 383. <https://doi.org/10.31410/EMAN.2019.383>
- Ho, V. H., & Park, S. (2020). ESG Disclosure in Comparative Perspective: Optimizing Private Ordering in Public Reporting. *Social Science Research Network*. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3470991
- Hoai, T. T., & Nguyen, N. P. (2023). Accounting capacity on accrual accounting adoption in Vietnamese public sector organizations—a moderated moderation model of leadership quality and digital transformation. *International Public Management Journal*, 26, 629–648. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10967494.2023.2180558>
- Hodge, K., Subramaniam, N., & Stewart, J. (2007). Assurance of sustainability reports : impact on report users' perceptions of reliability. 1–44. <http://dro.deakin.edu.au/view/DU:30018590>

- Hodge, K., Subramaniam, N., & Stewart, J. D. (2009). Assurance of Sustainability Reports: Impact on Report Users' Confidence and Perceptions of Information Credibility. *Australian Accounting Review*, 19(3), 178–194. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1835-2561.2009.00056.X>
- Hogstedt, C., & Erikson, R. (2005). Inventory and evaluation of Swedish public health research. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*, 33, 164–165. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14034940510006058>
- Holdcroft, J. (2015). Transforming Supply Chain Industrial Relations. 7, 95. <https://www.questia.com/library/journal/1P3-3989021571/transforming-supply-chain-industrial-relations>
- Holman, E. A. (2022). Can the spending of corporate social responsibility be offset? Evidence from pharmaceutical industry. 35(1), 6279–6303. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677x.2022.2048194>
- Hong, R. (2013). Helping Child Workers: How should multinational corporations accommodate child workers in Southeast Asian countries to which they outsource? https://surface.syr.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1085&context=honors_capstone
- Hopper, T., Lassou, P., & Soobaroyen, T. (2017). Globalisation, accounting and developing countries. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 43, 125–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CPA.2016.06.003>
- Hoque, S. F., & Faruq, A. A. (2009). Exploitation of labour in Bangladeshi ready-made garment sector: who is responsible? 137–156. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203864210-13>
- Hörisch, J., Schaltegger, S., & Freeman, R. E. (2020). Integrating stakeholder theory and sustainability accounting: A conceptual synthesis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 275, 124097. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124097>
- Hörisch, J., Schaltegger, S., & Freeman, R. E. (2020). Integrating stakeholder theory and sustainability accounting: A conceptual synthesis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 275, 124097. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124097>
- Horn, S. (2014). Sustainability Strategies for Business: An Integrated Approach with a Life Cycle Perspective. *Jyväskylä Studies in Business and Economics*. https://consensus.app/papers/sustainability-strategies-for-business-an-integrated-horn/b012d705cac3599092c911a991ce4a9b/?utm_source=chatgpt
- Horváth, G., & Harazin, P. (2011). Making Ends Meet: The Challenges of Investing in and Accounting for Sustainability. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, International Journal of Social, Behavioral, Educational, Economic, Business and Industrial Engineering*, 5(11), 1503–1507.
- Hossain, S., Hossain, M., & Chong, V. K. (2024). Do Client Protection Principles Matter for the Economic and Social Performance of Microfinance Institutions? <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4716471>
- Hryshchuk, A. (2023). The role of non-profit charity organizations in providing social services to the population. *Ūridičnij Naukovij Elektronnij Žurnal*, 2, 315–318. <https://doi.org/10.32782/2524-0374/2023-2/72>
- Hsieh, M. Y. (2024). An empirical investigation into the enhancement of decision-making capabilities in corporate sustainability leadership through Internet of Things (IoT) integration. *Internet of Things*, 28, 101382. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iot.2024.101382>
- Hu, Y., McFarlane, A., & Hussain, F. (2024). CarbonApp: Blockchain Enabled Carbon Offset Project Management. In *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* (pp. 13–25). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-57916-5_2
- Huang, D. L. (2023). Reasons and Suggestions of Human Rights Violations Committed by MNEs. *Highlights in Business, Economics and Management*, 7, 108–112. <https://doi.org/10.54097/hbem.v7i.6840>
- Huang, J. (2024). The environmental, social and governance (ESG) in accounting: A review. *Journal of Global Economy, Business and Finance*, 6(8). [https://doi.org/10.53469/jgebf.2024.06\(08\).08](https://doi.org/10.53469/jgebf.2024.06(08).08)
- Huang, Y. A., Weber, C., & Matthews, H. (2009). Categorization of Scope 3 emissions for streamlined enterprise carbon footprinting. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 43(22), 8509–8515. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es901643a>

- Huang, Z. (2023). Human rights responsibilities of Nestle Swiss multinational food and beverage company. *Highlights in Business, Economics and Management*, 7, 257–262. <https://doi.org/10.54097/hbem.v7i.6956>
- Human Rights Impact Assessment Framework. (2023). <https://oxfamlibrary.openrepository.com/bitstream/10546/621501/1/gd-oxfam-hria-framework-260523-en.pdf>
- Hussein, R., Ali, M., & Ali, M. H. (2019). The accounting information system under cloud computing and its impact on achieving sustainable development. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Accounting and Finance*, 118, 501–521.
- Hutsalenko, L., Mulyk, T., & Myskiv, L. (2021). Development of social accounting: Prospects and challenges. *Theoretical and Applied Issues of Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.17721/tppe.2021.43.19>
- Hyde, A. (2006). New Institutions for Worker Representation in the United States: Some Theoretical Issues. *Social Science Research Network*. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=896380
- Hylving, L., & Lindberg, S. (2021). Practical wisdom and big data dilemmas: The case of the Swedish Transport Administration. <https://doi.org/10.24251/HICSS.2021.623>
- Iannaci, D. (2020). Reporting tools for social enterprises: between impact measurement and stakeholder needs. 1, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.13135/2704-9906/4486>
- Ibrahim, I. M. (2013). Impact of trade union on improving employees working conditions: The case study of COTWU and TUGHE. <http://repository.out.ac.tz/916/>
- Igboke, B. O., & Raj, R. (2023). Exploring the Essence of Public Financial Accountability in the Public Sector: A Qualitative Analysis. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202310.1936.v1>
- Ihlen, Ø. (2012). Communicating with stakeholders. <https://oyvindihlen.files.wordpress.com/2013/07/communicating-w-stakeholders-entry1.pdf>
- Im, H. J., Im, H. J., Liu, J., Song, K., & Zhao, M. (2021). CSR Performance and the Economic Value of Innovation. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.3549827>
- Implementation of GRI Standards in the Sustainability Reports of Plantation Sector Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX). (2022). *JFBA Journal of Financial and Behavioural Accounting*, 2(2), 26–39. <https://doi.org/10.33830/jfba.v2i2.4143.2022>
- Indarto, B. A., & Ani, D. A. (2023). The role of green accounting through the implementation of carbon taxes as an instrument for climate change mitigation in Indonesia. *The Accounting Journal of Binaniaga*. <https://doi.org/10.33062/ajb.v8i01.24>
- Inghels, D. (2020). Quantifying the Social Impact (pp. 109–139). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-58422-1_6
- Ingold, K., & Balsiger, J. (2015). Sustainability principles put into practice: Case studies of network analysis in Swiss climate change adaptation. *Regional Environmental Change*, 15, 529–538. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-013-0575-7>
- Inostroza Pino, L. (2012). Patagonia, antropización de un territorio natural. 83. <http://polired.upm.es/index.php/ciur/article/view/1842/1842>
- Integrated Reporting: Corporate Strategy Towards Achieving Sustainable Development SDGs. (2023). *Apollo*, 1(2), 64–71. <https://doi.org/10.58905/apollo.v1i2.39>
- International Committee of the Red Cross. (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1093/obo/9780199796953-0183>
- International Finance Corporation. (2023). Digital technologies are a useful yet underutilized tool for African microenterprises. Retrieved from <https://www.ifc.org/en/insights-reports/2023/digital-technologies-in-africa>
- Irina, I. (2014). Corporate reputation's place in measuring business performance. 23(1), 99–104. http://ices.ro/RePEc/zan/ygzier/2014/YGZIER_V23_ISS1_99to104_Ioana_IRINA.pdf
- Irwin, T. C. (2013). Shining a Light on the Mysteries of State The Origins of Fiscal Transparency in Western Europe. 2013(219). <https://doi.org/10.5089/9781475570946.001.A001>
- Isarapu, R., & Gowda, S. (2024). Data privacy in the age of cloud: Ethical considerations and impacts on society. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.32628/cseit2410612421>
- Ishak, A., Mohamad, E., Arep, H., & Ito, T. (2024). Measuring Sustainability Performance in Wastewater Treatment: Insights from Green Manufacturing Constructs. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4744034>

- Islam, F., Bose, S., Ying, S. X., & Shams, S. (2024). From Corporate Emissions to Financial Statements: Understanding Accounting Conservatism in the Wake of Carbon Risks. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4777382>
- Islam, M. A. (2010). Social and environmental accounting research: major contributions and future directions for developing countries. 16(2), 27–43. <https://eprints.qut.edu.au/58581/>
- Islam, N., Ahmed, T., & Chowdhury, M. A. F. (2012). Social Accounting Reporting Practices in Bangladesh - A Voluntary Approach. 3(1), 01–08. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/aii/ijcmss/v3y2012i1p01-08.html>
- Islam, N., Ahmed, T., & Chowdhury, M. A. F. (2012). Social Accounting Reporting Practices in Bangladesh - A Voluntary Approach. 3(1), 01–08. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/aii/ijcmss/v3y2012i1p01-08.html>
- Ivanova, N., Milman, L., & Sakun, A. ZH. (2024). Utilization of blockchain technologies in accounting and auditing: Analysis of innovative possibilities in the context of digital transformation. Economics. Finances. Law. <https://doi.org/10.37634/efp.2024.2.3>
- Ivanova, T. (2022). Transformatization of accounting in the digitalization of the economy. Ways to Improve Construction Efficiency. [https://doi.org/10.32347/2707-501x.2022.49\(1\).179-184](https://doi.org/10.32347/2707-501x.2022.49(1).179-184)
- Iznillah, M. L., Saidi, J., Rasuli, M., & Nasrizal, N. (2024). Reaksi investor terhadap corporate sustainability performance: A review of literature using stakeholder theory. Jurnal Akuntansi, Manajemen, Bisnis dan Teknologi. <https://doi.org/10.56870/4pce9r80>
- Izzo, M. F., Ciaburri, M., & Tiscini, R. (2020). The challenge of sustainable development goal reporting: The first evidence from italian listed companies. Sustainability, 12(8), 3494–3511. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12083494>
- Jaber, T., & Oftedal, E. M. (2020). Legitimacy for Sustainability: A Case of A Strategy Change for An Oil and Gas Company. Sustainability, 12(2), 525. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12020525>
- Jagannathan, R., Ravikumar, A., & Sammon, M. (2017). Environmental, Social, and Governance Criteria: Why Investors are Paying Attention. National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc. https://www.nber.org/system/files/working_papers/w24063/w24063.pdf
- Jaiswal, D., Chandra, P., & Mathur, A. (2024). Blockchain Technology Conception Among Accounting Professionals. EPRA International Journal of Research & Development (IJRD). <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra17965>
- Jamali, D., Barkemeyer, R., Samara, G., & Markovic, S. (2022). The SDGs: A change agenda shaping the future of business and humanity at large. Business Ethics, the Environment and Responsibility, 31(4), 899–903. <https://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12483>
- James, M. (2015). Voluntary sustainability reporting: A case exploring ethical, regulatory, and strategic considerations. Journal of the International Academy of Case Studies, 21, 79.
- Jarosławska-Sobór, S. (2017). Do we need social reports? New challenge for corporate social responsibility. Marketing of Scientific and Research Organisations, 26(4), 81–100. <https://doi.org/10.14611/MINIB.26.12.2017.13>
- Jarrett, P. (2021). Improving the well-being of Canadians. Research Papers in Economics. <https://doi.org/10.1787/6AB6B718-EN>
- Järvi, M. (2015). Regulating Corporate Social Responsibility reporting in the European Union: back to the drawing board. <https://lup.lub.lu.se/student-papers/record/7442668/file/7442669.pdf>
- Jayanthi, K., Kumar, P. A., & Manju, V. (2012). A study on labour welfare measures in Salem steel plant. Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities, 2(3), 180–197. <http://www.indianjournals.com/ijor.aspx?target=ijor:ajrssh&volume=2&issue=3&article=015>
- Jayasuriya, D., & Sims, A. (2022). From the abacus to enterprise resource planning: Is blockchain the next big accounting tool? Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal. <https://doi.org/10.1108/aaaj-08-2020-4718>
- Jeevan, P. (2016). A Study on Marketing and Sustainability - A Case Study Approach with Reference to Hindustan Unilever Limited. Social Science Research Network. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2959990
- Jeffery, N. (2009). Stakeholder engagement: A road map to meaningful engagement. <https://dspace.lib.cranfield.ac.uk/handle/1826/3801>

- Jensen, A., Nielsen, H. Ø., & Russel, D. (2023). Diffusion of climate policy integration in adaptation strategies: Translating the EU mandate into UK and Danish national contexts. *Regional Environmental Change*, 23, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-023-02110-6>
- Jeong, E. (2017). Corporate accountability and the role of the state: requirements for improving corporate Human Rights Due Diligence (HRDD) after the endorsement of the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGP). <https://repository.gchumanrights.org/handle/20.500.11825/520>
- Ji, Y., Wang, S., He, Y., Lu, L., Zhang, H., & Zhang, T. (2023). Performance in Generation: An Automatic Generalizable Generative-Design-Based Performance Optimization Framework for Sustainable Building Design. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4506739>
- Jiang, T. (2022). A Business Model to Analyze the Tesla Based on SWOT Analysis and POCD. *Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aebmr.k.220307.471>
- Jiménez Aguirre, R. (2017). Contabilidad: entre la responsabilidad social y el interés público. 10(17), 219–234. <https://doi.org/10.18041/1900-0642/CRITERIOLIBRE.2012V10N17.1149>
- Jiménez, E., de la Cuesta-González, M., & Boronat-Navarro, M. (2021). How small and medium-sized enterprises can uptake the sustainable development goals through a cluster management organization: a case study. *Sustainability*, 13(11), 5939. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU13115939>
- Jobst, A., & Pazarbaşıoğlu, C. (2019). Greater Transparency and Better Policy for Climate Finance. SRPN: Carbon Finance (Topic). <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3406973>
- Johansen, T. R. (2016). EU Regulation of Corporate Social and Environmental Reporting. *Social and Environmental Accountability Journal*, 36(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969160X.2016.1148948>
- Johansson, D., & Lundberg, T. (2012). The Assurance Process of GRI Sustainability Reports : - Influence on Accountability and Transparency. <http://hj.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:527732/FULLTEXT01.pdf>
- Johansson, T. (2009). Explaining the utilization of relative performance evaluation in local government: A multi-theoretical study using data from Sweden. ERPN: Performance (Sub-Topic). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0408.2009.00474.x>
- Johnston, A. (2018). Climate-Related Financial Disclosures: What Next for Environmental Sustainability? https://consensus.app/papers/climaterelated-financial-disclosures-what-next-for-johnston/56bfb229a73a5e08b66149447a381571/?utm_source=chatgpt
- Jolls, C. (2004). The Role and Functioning of Public-Interest Legal Organizations in the Enforcement of the Employment Laws. University of Chicago Press. https://www.nber.org/system/files/working_papers/w10708/w10708.pdf
- Jona, J., & Soderstrom, N. (2023). Management Accounting Research Opportunities in Climate Change Reporting. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4384686>
- Jordaan, L. A., De Klerk, M., & De Villiers, C. J. (2018). Corporate social responsibility and earnings management of South African companies. *South African Journal of Economic and Management Sciences*, 21(1), a1849. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajems.v21i1.1849>
- Jowett, P., & Rothwell, M. (1988). Performance indicators in the public sector. <https://ci.nii.ac.jp/ncid/BA03807221>
- Joy, M. M. R. Z. H. (2024). Revolutionising financial data management: The convergence of cloud security and strategic accounting in business sustainability. *GLOBAL MAINSTREAM JOURNAL*. <https://doi.org/10.62304/ijmisds.v1i2.114>
- Juárez-Luis, G., Sánchez-Medina, P. S., & Díaz-Pichardo, R. (2019). Environmental Innovation: Advancing The Resource-Advantage Theory Of Competition. *International Journal of Management and Marketing Research*, 12(1), 23–36. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/ibf/ijmmre/v12y2019i1p23-36.html>
- Junaid, M. S., & Shaharudin, J. (2007). Dispelling and Construction of Social Accounting in View of Social Audit. <https://ir.unimas.my/18179/>
- Jungnitsch, R., Stoffers, J., & Neessen, P. (2016). Organizational Culture from an Internal and External Stakeholders' Perspective. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, 13(6), 748–753. <https://doi.org/10.3844/AJASSP.2016.748.753>

- Jusar, I. R., Ambiyar, A., & Aziz, I. (2023). Evaluation program approach in education. *Jurnal PAJAR (Pendidikan Dan Pengajaran)*, 7(1), 83. <https://doi.org/10.33578/pjr.v7i1.9095>
- Kabir, H., Mukuddem-Petersen, J., & Petersen, M. A. (2017). Corporate social responsibility evolution in South Africa. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 13(4), 281–289. <https://doaj.org/article/0768309360324e3f91f8e706530d9d01>
- Kadel, R., Stielke, A., Ashton, K., Masters, R., & Dyakova, M. (2022). Social Return on Investment (SROI) of mental health related interventions—A scoping review. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.965148>
- Kalani, R., & Didwania, M. (2023). Nexus between sustainability reporting and financial performance: Evidence from the Indian banking sector. *Tuijin Jishu/Journal of Propulsion Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.52783/tjjpt.v44.i3.384>
- Kalogiannidis, S., Kalfas, D., Papaevangelou, O., Chatzitheodoridis, F., Katsetsiadou, K., & Lekkas, E. (2024). Integration of climate change strategies into policy and planning for regional development: A case study of Greece. *Land*, 13(3), 268. <https://doi.org/10.3390/land13030268>
- Kamakaula, Y. (2024). Sustainable Agriculture Practices: Economic, Ecological, and Social Approaches to Enhance Farmer Welfare and Environmental Sustainability. *West Science Nature and Technology*, 2(02), 47–54. <https://doi.org/10.58812/wsnt.v2i02.964>
- Kamarudin, Y., Mohd-Sanusi, Z., Musa, K., Kazemian, S., Hitam, M., Ghazali, A. W., & Shafie, N. A. (2023). Climate change risk for sustainability: The implication on financial and environment, social and governance reporting. *Journal of Nusantara Studies (JONUS)*, 8(TI), 277–299. <https://doi.org/10.24200/jonus.vol8iss3pp277-299>
- Kamath, R. (2018). Food traceability on blockchain: Walmart's pork and mango pilots with IBM. *The Journal of the British Blockchain Association*. [https://doi.org/10.31585/JBBA-1-1-\(10\)2018](https://doi.org/10.31585/JBBA-1-1-(10)2018)
- Kamble, P. H., & Bhople, M. (2024). CSR and Employee Engagement: How Social Responsibility Initiatives Affect Workforce Motivation. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology*, 481–484. <https://doi.org/10.48175/ijarsct-19785>
- Kamil, N., & Karlsson-Vinkhuyzen, S. (2023). Exploring the links between climate transparency and mitigation policy through a reflexive capacity lens. *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, 23, 415–448. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10784-023-09618-6>
- Kaminska, I., Homa, S., & Chuchuk, Y. (2019). Social accounting as an information base for sustainable business concept implementation. 60(5), 121–128. https://doi.org/10.33108/GALICIANVISNYK_TNTU2019.05.121
- Kamiński, R. R. (2018). Non-Financial Reporting in the Light of International Regulations and EU Directives. 8(8), 167–184. <https://doi.org/10.14746/PPUAM.2018.8.12>
- Kang, J., Faria, A. A., Lee, J. M., & Choi, W. (2023). Will consumers give us another chance to bounce back? Effects of precrisis commitments to social and product responsibility on brand resilience. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jpbm-03-2022-3899>
- Kantor, I., Bütün, H. E., Robineau, J. L., Maqbool, S., Norbert, R., Zwaenepoel, B., Arias, S., Cervo, H., Wolf, F., Santecchia, A., & Maréchal, F. (2019). Long- and short-lists of key performance indicators in industry and for industrial symbiosis. <https://doi.org/10.22541/AU.155326167.77536381>
- KaoDui, L., Muyun, Z., & Mandella, O.-A. B. (2019). Social and Environmental Accounting Reporting and Financial Performances in Ghana. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 11(4), 82–94. <https://doi.org/10.5539/IJEF.V11N4P82>
- Kaptein, M. (2007). Ethical Guideliness for Compiling Corporate Social Reports. *Social Science Research Network*. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1328233
- Kaptein, M., & van Tulder, R. (2003). Toward Effective Stakeholder Dialogue. *Business and Society Review*, 108(2), 203–224. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8594.00161>
- Karaosman, H., & Marshall, D. (2025). A 'just transition' for fashion. *Financial Times*. <https://www.ft.com/content/2d017fa8-03db-4987-848a-8604ce5d67e4>
- Karim, K. E., Suh, S., Carter, C., & Zhang, M. (2015). Corporate Social Responsibility: Evidence from the United Kingdom. *Journal of International Business Research*, 14(1), 85. <https://www.questia.com/library/journal/1G1-423819235/corporate-social-responsibility-evidence-from-the>

- Kartikasari, N. D., Hidayat, K., & Yulianto, E. (2017). PENGARUH CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY (CSR) TERHADAP CITRA PERUSAHAAN MULTINASIONAL (Survei pada Konsumen Unilever di Indonesia Mengenai Program "Project Sunlight" PT Unilever Indonesia Tbk.). 44(1), 8–16. <https://www.neliti.com/publications/87744/pengaruh-corporate-social-responsibility-csr-terhadap-citra-perusahaan-multinasional>
- Kashyap Karanam, R., Sachani, D. K., Natakam, V. M., Yarlagaadda, V. K., & Kothapalli, K. R. V. (2024). Resilient supply chains: Strategies for managing disruptions in a globalized economy. *American Journal of Trade and Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.18034/ajtp.v11i1.719>
- Kasimati, A., Papadopoulos, G., Manstretta, V., Giannakopoulou, M., Adamides, G., Neocleous, D., Vassiliou, V., Savvides, S. N., & Stylianou, A. (2024). Sustainability-Oriented Innovations and Smart Farming Technologies in Wine Value Chains: Assessing their Impact on Sustainability Performance. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202403.0981.v1>
- Kassem, H. S., Kassem, H. S., Bagadeem, S., Alotaibi, B. A., & Aljuaid, M. (2021). Are partnerships in nonprofit organizations being governed for sustainability? A partnering life cycle assessment. *PLOS ONE*, 16(3), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0249228>
- Kassim, K. (2014). Social and Environmental Accounting Education: A Discussion. <https://scispace.com/papers/social-and-environmental-accounting-education-a-discussion-26gw8pz6mq>
- Katsarski, N. (2023). Climate change impact on sustainability accounting in the 5.0 era. 10th SWS International Scientific Conferences on SOCIAL SCIENCES - ISCSCS Proceedings 2023. <https://doi.org/10.35603/sws.iscscs.2023/s13.52>
- Katsarski, N. (2023). Sustainability accounting in the context of environmental change. 10th SWS International Scientific Conferences on Social Sciences - ISCSCS Proceedings 2023. <https://doi.org/10.35603/sws.iscscs.2023/s13.57>
- Kavitha, W., & Anita, P. (2011). Disclosures about CSR Practices: A Literature Review. *The IUP Journal of Corporate Governance*, 10(1), 45. <https://www.questia.com/library/journal/1P3-2245363061/disclosures-about-csr-practices-a-literature-review>
- Kawashita, I. M., Baptista, A. A., & Soares, D. (2022). Open Government Data Use by the Public Sector - an Overview of its Benefits, Barriers, Drivers, and Enablers. *Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2022.315>
- Kaya, I. (2016). The Mandatory Social and Environmental Reporting: Evidence from France☆. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 229, 206–213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SBSPRO.2016.07.130>
- Khalegi, F., Kadyraliev, A., Tursunaliyeva, D., Orozbekov, A., & Bigali, A. (2024). Blockchain and sustainable finance: Enhancing transparency and efficiency in green investments. *Scientific Bulletin of Mukachevo State University Series "Economics"*. <https://doi.org/10.52566/msu-econ3.2024.125>
- Khalid, F., Sun, J., Guo, J., & Srivastava, M. (2023). Green corporate image: Assessing the effects of climate change management practices on corporate reputation. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2663>
- Khalifa, M., Zouaoui, H., Ben Othman, H., & Hussainey, K. (2023). The impact of climate risk on accounting conservatism: evidence from developing countries. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jaar-01-2023-0028>
- Khan, I., Fujimoto, Y., Uddin, M. J., & Afridi, M. A. (2023). Evaluating sustainability reporting on GRI standards in developing countries: a case of Pakistan. *International Journal of Law and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijlma-01-2022-0016>
- Khan, I., Fujimoto, Y., Uddin, M. J., & Afridi, M. A. (2023). Evaluating sustainability reporting on GRI standards in developing countries: a case of Pakistan. *International Journal of Law and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijlma-01-2022-0016>
- Khan, I., Rahman, Z., & Ashraf, R. (2023). The impact of corporate social responsibility on customer loyalty: The mediating role of trust. *Social Responsibility Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SRJ-02-2022-0065>
- Khandker, S. R. (1996). Grameen Bank: Impact, Costs, and Program Sustainability. *Asian Development Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1142/s0116110596000048>
- Khemir, S., Baccouche, C., & Ayadi, S. D. (2019). The influence of ESG information on investment allocation decisions. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 20(4), 458–480. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAAR-12-2017-0141>

- Khichi, M. K. (2024). Technology and artificial intelligence's impact on ESG reporting quality. *International Journal of Innovations & Research Analysis*. [https://doi.org/10.62823/ijira/4.3\(i\).6899](https://doi.org/10.62823/ijira/4.3(i).6899)
- Khodamipour, A., Yazdifar, H., Shahamabad, M. A., & Khajavi, P. (2023). Modeling barriers to social responsibility accounting (SRA) and ranking its implementation strategies to support sustainable performance – a study in an emerging market. *Journal of Modelling in Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jm2-12-2022-0287>
- Khodorova, J. (2022). Assessment of the Social Return on Investment (SROI), Case: Start Your Own Business Project. *Positive Changes*, 2(3), 35–45. <https://doi.org/10.55140/2782-5817-2022-2-3-35-45>
- Khorin, A., Potanina, Y. M., & Brovkin, V. (2017). Sector Non-Profit Organizations in the Management of Socio-Economic Systems. *Journal of Legal, Ethical and Regulatory Issues*, 20(1), 1. <https://www.abacademies.org/articles/Sector-non-profit-organizations-in-the-management-of-socio-economic-systems-1544-0044-20-SI-1-108.pdf>
- Khrystova, G., & Uvarova, O. (2022). Gender Component of Internal Displacement in Ukraine: A Case of Business (In)Capability to Localize Human Rights Impact Assessment. *Business and Human Rights Journal*, 7(3), 500–507. <https://doi.org/10.1017/bhj.2021.52>
- Kim, J. Y., & Kim, H. (2020). A Study on the Impact of CSR Activities and Risk Management on the Corporate Image and Sustainability of Financial Services Companies. *The Journal of the Korea Contents Association*, 20(1), 403–416. <https://doi.org/10.5392/JKCA.2020.20.01.403>
- Kim, K.-T., & Kim, I. (2021). The Significance of Scope 3 GHG Emissions in Construction Projects in Korea: Using EIA and LCA. *Climate*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/CLI9020033>
- Kimberlee, R., & Biggs, O. (2015). A SROI analysis of the Penwith Community Development Trust's: Plant Eat and Teach (PEaT) project. <https://uwe-repository.worktribe.com/output/802837>
- Kindratska, L. (2022). International accounting: Recognizing or denying. *Scientific Notes of Ostroh Academy National University, Economics Series*, 24(52), 121–126. [https://doi.org/10.25264/2311-5149-2022-24\(52\)-121-126](https://doi.org/10.25264/2311-5149-2022-24(52)-121-126)
- Kitsak, T. (2020). Dialogue with stakeholders as a tool to ensure the sustainability of a business organization. *10(1)*, 21–24. [https://doi.org/10.21511/SLRTP.10\(1\).2020.04](https://doi.org/10.21511/SLRTP.10(1).2020.04)
- Klimczuk-Kochańska, M., & Marczevska, M. (2024). Navigating Responsible Consumption: Unveiling Consumer Perceptions and the Role of Bio-Based Products in Sustainable Decision-Making. *Journal of Marketing and Consumer Behaviour in Emerging Markets*, 2024(2), 37–52. <https://doi.org/10.7172/2449-6634.jmcbem.2024.2.4>
- Knoche, M. (2023). Media, Journalism, and the Public Sphere in Private Family Ownership. On the Critique of the Political Economy of Capitalist Media Enterprises. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/vy42u>
- Knopf, B., Koch, N., Grosjean, G., Fuss, S., Flachsland, C., Pahle, M., Jakob, M., & Edenhofer, O. (2014). The European Emissions Trading System (EU ETS): Ex-post analysis, the Market Stability Reserve and options for a comprehensive reform. *Socially Responsible Investment eJournal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2499457>
- Knudsen, H. (1999). The Implementation of EU Directives in National Systems. *Transfer*, 5, 136–155. [https://vbn.aau.dk/da/publications/the-implementation-of-eu-directives-in-national-systems\(647f6520-8093-11db-8b97-000ea68e967b\).html](https://vbn.aau.dk/da/publications/the-implementation-of-eu-directives-in-national-systems(647f6520-8093-11db-8b97-000ea68e967b).html)
- Kocmanová, A., & Dočekalová, M. (2011). Corporate sustainability: environmental, social, economic and corporate performance. *Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis*, 59(7), 203–208. <https://doi.org/10.11118/ACTAUN201159070203>
- Kogut, S., Montgomery, M. L., & Levy, J. K. (2024). The nonprofit veterinarian shortage: who will care for the pets most in need? <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3915925/v1>
- Kohut, M. (2024). Principles of environmental responsibility in business. <https://doi.org/10.30525/978-9934-26-398-9-70>
- Kokala, A. (2022). The intersection of explainable AI and ethical decision-making: Advancing trustworthy cloud-based data science models. *International Journal of All Research Education and Scientific Methods*. <https://doi.org/10.56025/ijaresm.2022.1012222166>
- Kolevski, D., Michael, K., Abbas, R., & Freeman, M. (2021). Cloud data breach disclosures: the consumer and their personally identifiable information (PII)? 2021 IEEE Conference on

- Norbert Wiener in the 21st Century (21CW). <https://doi.org/10.1109/21CW48944.2021.9532579>
- Kolk, A., & Perego, P. (2015). Social and environmental accounting. 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118785317.WEOM010061>
- Kolla, S. (2023). Green Data Practices: Sustainable approaches to data management. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.15680/ijircce.2023.1111001>
- Kombate, B. (2016). Extra-financial Analysis of IFRS Adoption and Application in Developing Country : The Case of Indonesia. *Chinese Business Review*, 15(11), 507–523. <https://doi.org/10.17265/1537-1506/2016.11.001>
- Kondoh, H., & Ogawa, A. (2023). Do Accrual-based Financial Statements Improve Local Public Sector Efficiency? Evidence from Japan. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3341784/v1>
- Kononenko, L., Nazarova, H., & Kuts, V. (2021). Transformation of the forms of accounting in the context of digital technologies development. *Economic Scope*. <https://doi.org/10.32782/2224-6282/168-22>
- Korytnyk, L. (2021). Improving public sector entities reporting in terms of transparency of the budget process. 2021(1), 101–110. <https://doi.org/10.33763/NPNDFI2021.01.101>
- Kosarkoska, D., & Joldeska, I. (2024). The Impact of Climate Changes and Climate Risk on the Accounting and Accounting Professions. *International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Research*. <https://doi.org/10.51505/ijebmr.2024.81019>
- Kosarkoska, D., & Joldeska, I. (2024). The Impact of Climate Changes and Climate Risk on the Accounting and Accounting Professions. *International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Research*. <https://doi.org/10.51505/ijebmr.2024.81019>
- Koshy, P. (2019). Integration into formal enterprise space: Challenges and opportunities for informal sector entrepreneurs. <https://mpira.ub.uni-muenchen.de/95346/>
- Kostovski, N., Mrsic, J., & Krliu Handziski, V. (2017). Accounting for socially responsible and environmentally friendly operations. *Annals - Economy Series*, 1(4), 66–73. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/cbu/jrnlec/y2017v4p66-73.html>
- Kowal, B., & Kustra, A. (2016). Sustainability reporting in the energy sector. 10, 00129. <https://doi.org/10.1051/E3SCONF/20161000129>
- KPMG. (2020). The time has come: The KPMG survey of sustainability reporting. KPMG International.
- Kraeussl, R., Oladiran, T., & Stefanova, D. (2022). A Review on ESG Investing: Investors' Expectations, Beliefs and Perceptions. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4123999>
- Krasodomska, J. (2013). Corporate social responsibility as a factor influencing the development of social accounting and assessment of employers. *E-Finanse*, 9(1), 12–25. <https://www.econstor.eu/handle/10419/147059>
- Krasodomska, J., & Zarzycka, E. (2021). Key performance indicators disclosure in the context of the EU directive: when does stakeholder pressure matter? *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 29(7), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-05-2020-0876>
- Krawczyk, P. (2021). Non-Financial Reporting—Standardization Options for SME Sector. 14(9), 417. <https://doi.org/10.3390/JRFM14090417>
- Kriska, G. (2022). Standards in social statistics: The capacity of national statistical systems to adapt to the international standards, problems, challenges. 38(2), 533–543. <https://doi.org/10.3233/sji-220015>
- Krstić, B., Jovanović, M., & Janjić, I. (2021). The importance of reputation as intellectual assets for competitiveness of companies. 4(1), 17–28. <https://doi.org/10.5937/NPDUNP2101017K>
- Kruschwitz, N. (2013). Creating Shared Value at Nestlé. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 55(1), 1–3. <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=4408099>
- Krynysia, S., Hordei, O., Kovalenko, Y., Dankevych, A., & Boldov, A. (2024). Leveraging big data technologies for enhanced public participation in public financial management. *Financial and Credit Activity Problems of Theory and Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.55643/fcaptp.3.56.2024.4402>
- Kshetri, N. (2023). Blockchain's role in enhancing quality and safety and promoting sustainability in the food and beverage industry. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su152316223>

- Kucera, D. (2007). *Qualitative Indicators of Labour Standards - Comparative Methods and Applications*. Springer.
https://www.ilo.org/integration/resources/pubs/WCMS_087395/lang--en/index.htm
- Kulal, A., N, A., & M.S, D. (2023). Impact of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Factors on Stock Prices and Investment Performance. *Macro Management & Public Policies*, 5(2), 14–26. <https://doi.org/10.30564/mmpp.v5i2.5659>
- Kumar, H. (2023). Managing workplace Stress and Burnouts in IT Industry in India: A Cross-Sectional Study. 12(05). <https://doi.org/10.48047/jcdr.2021.12.05.314>
- Kumar, M., Sandhu, V., Dhillon, J. S., & Kaur, H. (2024). An Empirical Investigation of Sustainability in Finance: Exploring Determinants and Sustainability Performance in the Banking Sector. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202405.1711.v1>
- Kumar, S., & Banke-Thomas, A. (2016). Social Return on Investment (SROI): An Innovative Approach to Sustainable Development Goals for Sexual and Reproductive Health Programming in sub-Saharan Africa. *African Journal of Reproductive Health*, 20(3), 85–93. <https://doi.org/10.29063/AJRH2016/V20I3.13>
- Kumar, V., & Vidhyalakshmi, P. (2012). Cloud computing for business sustainability. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management Research and Innovation*, 8, 461–474. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2319510X13481905>
- Kuppens, M. (2016). Exploring the social accountability concept: a literature review. *Research Papers in Economics*. <https://ideas.repec.org/p/iob/wpaper/201606.html>
- Kurbet, M. (2022). Reporting on social responsibility of the enterprise. *Ekonomika, Finans, Pravo*, 6, 18–23. <https://doi.org/10.37634/efp.2022.6.4>
- Kurniawan, P. S. (2017). Pemodelan Proses Penyusunan Laporan Keberlanjutan pada Usaha Kecil dan Menengah (UKM). 14(1), 29–37. <https://doi.org/10.29264/JAKT.V14I1.1153>
- Kurniawati, N. (2011). Standar akuntansi internasional: harmonisasi versus konvergensi. 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.18860/EM.V2I1.2358>
- Kurniawati, N. (2011). Standar akuntansi internasional: harmonisasi versus konvergensi. 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.18860/EM.V2I1.2358>
- Kurznack, L., Schoenmaker, D., & Schramade, W. (2021). A model of long-term value creation. *Journal of Sustainable Finance and Investment*, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2021.1920231>
- Kvasničková Stanislavská, L., Pilař, L., Fridrich, M., Kvasnička, R., Pilařová, L., Afsar, B., & Gorton, M. (2023). Sustainability reports: Differences between developing and developed countries. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2023.1085936>
- Kwade, C. (2023). Applied New Knowledge in Innovation: Exploring Social Responsibilities and Attributes of Stakeholder Theory. <https://doi.org/10.31124/advance.24152790>
- Kwarcińska, A. (2020). Reporting problems of corporate social responsibility. *Ekonomia i Prawo*, 19(3), 523–532. <https://doi.org/10.12775/EIP.2020.035>
- La Hovary, C. (2013). *The Informal Economy and Decent Work: A Policy Resource Guide, supporting transitions to formality*. <http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/83443/>
- La Porta, R., & Shleifer, A. (2014). Informality and Development. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 28(3), 109–126. <https://doi.org/10.1257/JEP.28.3.109>
- Lafarre, A., & Rombouts, B. (2022). Towards Mandatory Human Rights Due Diligence: Assessing Its Impact on Fundamental Labour Standards in Global Value Chains. *European Journal of Risk Regulation*, 13(4), 567–583. <https://doi.org/10.1017/err.2022.23>
- Lagger, D. (2016). Nestle's war on waste: a journey through the supply chain. <https://doi.org/10.22004/AG.ECON.257234>
- Lagodiyenko, O. (2024). Implementation of Corporate ESG Practices: International Experience. *Economic Scope*. <https://doi.org/10.30838/ep.193.109-115>
- Laine, A. L. (2015). Integrated Reporting: Fostering Human Rights Accountability for Multinational Corporations. *The George Washington International Law Review*, 47(3), 639. <https://www.questia.com/library/journal/1P3-3790812061/integrated-reporting-fostering-human-rights-accountability>
- Lam, L. L. (1992). Designer Duty: Extending Liability to Manufacturers for Violations of Labor Standards in Garment Industry Sweatshops. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 141(2), 623. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3312361>

- Lambooy, T., Anthoni, P., & Argyrou, A. (2020). Aren't we all pursuing societal goals in our businesses? Defining "societal purpose" as pursued by social enterprises. *Sustainable Development*, 28(3), 485–494. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2039>
- Lana, C. A. M., Bernardo, D. C. dos R., Nazareth, L. G. C., & Mendonça, F. M. de. (2011). Um estudo das ações para divulgar e consolidar o balanço social no Brasil. 4(2), 164–174. <https://doi.org/10.22277/RGO.V4I2.895>
- Land, K. C. (2002). *Social Indicators for Assessing the Impact of the Independent, Not-for-Profit Sector of Society* (pp. 59–76). Springer, Boston, MA. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-0533-4_4
- Lawrence, J., Rasche, A., & Kenny, K. (2019). Sustainability as Opportunity: Unilever's Sustainable Living Plan. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-024-1144-7_21
- Lawton, R. L. (2002). Balance your balanced scorecard. *Quality Progress*, 35(3), 66–71.
- Lazkano, L., & Beraza, A. (2019). Social Accounting for Sustainability: A Study in the Social Economy. *Sustainability*, 11(24), 6894. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU11246894>
- Lee, A., & Chung, T.-L. D. (2023). Transparency in corporate social responsibility communication on social media. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 51(5), 590–610. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-01-2022-0038>
- Lee, J. C. G., Gunawan, J., Putri, A. N. A., & Tin, S. (2023). Decarbonisation: A case study of Malaysia. 15th Global Conference on Business and Social Sciences. [https://doi.org/10.35609/gcbssproceeding.2023.1\(133\)](https://doi.org/10.35609/gcbssproceeding.2023.1(133))
- Lehner, O., Ittonen, K., Silvola, H., Ström, E., & Wührleitner, A. (2022). Artificial intelligence based decision-making in accounting and auditing: Ethical challenges and normative thinking. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/aaaj-09-2020-4934>
- Leisinger, K. M. (2005). Are "Human Rights" the "Business of Business"? (Vol. 6, pp. 298–303). Springer VS, Wiesbaden. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-16205-4_31
- Lema, G. (2018). Review on Informal Business Sectors: An Emphasis on Its Practices and Opportunities. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 10(22), 86–91. <https://www.iiste.org/Journals/index.php/EJBM/article/download/43964/45296>
- Leniwati, D., Leniwati, D., Prasetyo, A., Juanda, A., Afrizal, F., & Jati, A. W. (2024). Sustainable strive: Holistic exploration of CSR through economic, environmental, and social lenses. *Kompartemen*, 22(2), 273. <https://doi.org/10.30595/kompartemen.v22i2.23741>
- Lenk, M. M., Krahel, J. P., Janvrin, D. J., & Considine, B. (2019). Social technology: An integrated strategy and risk management framework. *Journal of Information Systems*, 33(2), 129–153. <https://doi.org/10.2308/ISYS-52065>
- Leonardo, R. R., Giungato, P., Tarabella, A., & Tricase, C. (2019). Blockchain applications and sustainability issues. *Amfiteatru Economic*. <https://doi.org/10.24818/ea/2019/s13/861>
- Lewis, D. (2018). Oxfam crisis: we need a more informed debate about NGOs and international aid.
- Li, C. (2023). Application Research on Blockchain Technology in Accounting System. 2023 International Conference on Evolutionary Algorithms and Soft Computing Techniques (EASCT), 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EASCT59475.2023.10392846>
- Li, J. (2024). Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Phases and Circulation. *Highlights in Business, Economics and Management*, 40, 712–717. <https://doi.org/10.54097/zwfcyc95>
- Li, K., Lee, J.-Y., & Gharehgozli, A. (2021). Blockchain in food supply chains: A literature review and synthesis analysis of platforms, benefits and challenges. *International Journal of Production Research*, 61(11), 3527–3546. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2021.1970849>
- Li, L. (2018). On Citizen Participation in Public Policy. <https://doi.org/10.2991/ICPM-18.2018.36>
- Li, P. H. (2022). Electric High-tech Car and Environment Sustainable: Analysis of Tesla's problems. 35, 401–404. <https://doi.org/10.54691/bcpbm.v35i.3325>
- Li, S., van Zijl, T., & Willett, R. (2024). The valuation demand for accounting conservatism: evidence from firm-level climate risk measures. *China Finance Review International*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/cfri-03-2024-0117>
- Li, S.-T., Zhu, J.-X., & Lu, K. (2014). A case study in strategic sustainability: British Petroleum (BP) company. *Proceedings of the 2014 International Conference on Innovation in Business and Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/ICIBET-14.2014.16>

- Li, Y. (2024). An Overview Of The Effects Of ESG On The Financial Performance Of Companies From Various Angles. *Transactions on Computer Science and Intelligent Systems Research*, 7, 167–171. <https://doi.org/10.62051/c61p6244>
- Lidskog, R., & Elander, I. (2011). Le développement durable en Suède : la rhétorique, les politiques et la pratique. 17(2), 71–91. <http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2:438372>
- Ligafinza, A., Putra, N., Nurjanah, S., Kadarsih, W. S., Machfud, & Natalie, R. E. (2024). Calculating Indirect Emission for Business Support Activities by Using Emission Factor Improvement with Carbon Calculator Application. *Mediterranean Offshore Conference*. <https://doi.org/10.2118/223339-ms>
- Limborg, H. J., Gensby, U., & Viemose, S. (2019). Mediation and Conciliation in Collective Labor Conflicts in Denmark (pp. 47–69). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-92531-8_4
- Lin, C. J., Efranto, R. Y., & Santoso, M. A. (2021). Identification of Workplace Social Sustainability Indicators Related to Employee Ergonomics Perception in Indonesian Industry. *Sustainability*, 13(19), 11069. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU131911069>
- Lind, J. (2019). Denmark: the sacred cow of collective bargaining is still alive. 151–171. <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=7274453>
- Lindrianasari, L., Kufepaksi, M., Asmaranti, Y., & Komalasari, A. (2018). Social and environmental responsibility in developing countries: a theoretical approach to regulation. 15(49), 47–52. <https://geomatejournal.com/geomate/article/view/933>
- Linell, A., Richardson, M. X., & Wamala, S. (2013). The Swedish National Public Health Policy Report 2010. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*, 41, 3–56. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1403494812466989>
- Linnenluecke, M., Birt, J., & Griffiths, A. (2015). The role of accounting in supporting adaptation to climate change. *Managerial Accounting eJournal*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acfi.12120>
- Liu, I., & Tung, C. (2020). The application of climate adaptation algorithm to physical climate risk assessment and management of the TCFD. *EGU General Assembly 2020*. <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu2020-4276>
- Liu, X., Liang, W., Fu, Y., & Huang, G. Q. (2024). Dual Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Index for corporate sustainability assessment using blockchain technology. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16104272>
- Liu, Y., & Yin, J. (2020). Stakeholder Relationships and Organizational Resilience. *Management and Organization Review*, 16(5), 986–990. <https://doi.org/10.1017/MOR.2020.58>
- Liviu-Alexandru, T. (2018). The Impact Of Ifrs Adoption In Emerging Economies. *Annals - Economy Series*, 6, 152–157. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/cbu/jrnlec/y2018v6p152-157.html>
- Ljungholm, D. P. (2015). The Practice of Performance Management in Public Sector Organizations. *Geopolitics, History, and International Relations*, 7(2), 190–196. <https://www.ceeol.com/search/article-detail?id=302752>
- Lobo, A. C. (2024). Accounting For Not-for-Profit Organization. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4689640>
- Lobonp, O.-R., & Nicolescu, A. C. (2012). Controversies and Perspectives on Public Sector Performance Measurements. *Ovidius University Annals: Economic Sciences Series*, 2, 1199–1202. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/ovi/oviste/vxiiy2012i2p1199-1202.html>
- Locke, R. M., & Romis, M. (2007). Improving Work Conditions in a Global Supply Chain. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 48(2), 54–62. <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=2227868>
- Lodhia, S. K. (2014). Towards a pragmatic social accounting. *Accounting Forum*, 38(4), 288–290. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2014.04.003>
- Lodhia, S., & Sharma, U. (2019). Sustainability accounting and reporting: recent perspectives and an agenda for further research. *Pacific Accounting Review*, 31(3), 309–312. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PAR-02-2019-121>
- Loglisci, G., Priarone, P. C., & Settineri, L. (2014). Development of sustainable manufacturing indicators focusing on human work and environment. 259–266. <https://iris.polito.it/handle/11583/2581341>
- Lombardi, R. (2021). The Corporate Sustainability Reporting and Disclosure. In *SIDREA Series in Accounting and Business Administration*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-81127-3_2

- Lombardi, R., de Villiers, C., Moscariello, N., & Pizzo, M. (2021). The disruption of blockchain in auditing – a systematic literature review and an agenda for future research. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-10-2020-4992>
- Long, T. B. (2019). Sustainable Business Strategies. 1–11. <https://research.rug.nl/en/publications/sustainable-business-strategies>
- Long, W., Zhang, M., Qu, X., Yao, D., & Zhen, H. (2023). Can Carbon Emission Trading Scheme Reshape Audit Reporting Aggressiveness? Evidence from an Incremental Information Perspective. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4566556>
- Long, X., & Goulder, L. (2023). Carbon emission trading systems: a review of systems across the globe and a close look at China's national approach. *China Economic Journal*, 16(3), 203–216. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17538963.2023.2246714>
- Longo, J. (2017). The evolution of citizen and stakeholder engagement in Canada, from Spicer to #Hashtags. *Canadian Public Administration-Administration Publique Du Canada*, 60(4), 517–537. <https://doi.org/10.1111/CAPA.12229>
- Lourenço, R. P. (2013). Data disclosure and transparency for accountability. *Information Polity*. <https://doi.org/10.5555/2659342.2659347>
- Lu, S. (2024). Overcoming Obstacles of Tesla: Strategies for Problem-Solving. *Highlights in Business, Economics and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.54097/cw7ssm33>
- Lu, W., Zhu, N., & Zhang, J. (2021). The impact of carbon disclosure on financial performance under low carbon constraints. *Energies*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/EN14144126>
- Luan, C.-C. (2023). The differential effects of self-identity appeals on consumers' intentions to purchase socially responsible products with hedonic and utilitarian values. *Social Influence*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15534510.2023.2242614>
- Lubbe, D. S., & Vorster, Q. (1991). Benaderings tot sosiale rekeningkunde. 56(4), 663–680. <https://doi.org/10.4102/KOERS.V56I4.768>
- Lubbe, D. S., & Vorster, Q. (1991). Sosiale rekeningkunde: agtergrond en problematiek. 56(4), 655–662. <https://doi.org/10.4102/KOERS.V56I4.767>
- Lufburrow, N. C. (2016). Social Indicators; or, Selected Federal Social Statistical Programs.
- Lulewicz-Sas, A. (2013). Corporate social responsibility in selected eu countries. *Ekonomia i Prawo*, 12(4), 537–550. <https://doi.org/10.12775/EIP.2013.039>
- Lusha, A., Mziu, X., & Brahimi, F. (2015). Financial management in the public sector. *European Scientific Journal, ESJ*, 11(7). <https://eujournal.org/index.php/esj/article/view/5315>
- Machado Junior, C., Saraiva de Souza, M. T., Bazanini, R., Mantovani, D. M. N., & Furlaneto, C. J. (2018). Social and environmental innovations of Brazilian companies. *Ambiente E Agua - An Interdisciplinary Journal of Applied Science*, 13(2), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.4136/AMBI-AGUA.2145>
- Madawaki, A. (2012). Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards in Developing Countries: The Case of Nigeria. *The International Journal of Business and Management*, 7(3), 152. <https://doi.org/10.5539/IJBM.V7N3P152>
- Madhusanka, K., & Samudrage, D. (2019). Implementing environmental management accounting in the listed firms in Sri Lanka: Status and challenges. [No journal name], 16. Access paper
- Madioppe, K. W., Adedeji, J., & Rahlao, S. (2025). Towards Sustainability: Tracking Carbon Footprint Trends at Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife. *Science, Engineering and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.54327/set2025/v5.i1.206>
- Madnawat, A. (2024). Comparative analysis of sustainability, carbon footprint, and AI's role in reducing emissions across AWS, Azure, and Google Cloud. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.55041/ijssrem37115>
- Madsen, P. K. (2001). Employment protection and labour market policies: trade-offs or complementarities? The case of Denmark. https://www.ilo.org/employment/Whatwedo/Publications/WCMS_142453/lang--en/index.htm
- Magau, M. D. (2024). Developing a Taxonomy to Assess Human Capital Disclosure in Sustainability Reports. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202406.1880.v1>
- Mahadevan, S. (2024). Clouding the future: Innovating towards net-zero emissions. *International Journal of Computing and Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.47941/ijce.2127>
- Mahajan, M. K. (2012). Perceived Significance of Corporate Social Reporting. *International Journal of Research in Business and Technology*, 1(1), 21–35. <https://doi.org/10.17722/IJRBT.V1I1.5>

- Mahapatra, M. (2019). CSR Practices among Public and Private Sector Companies: A Community Development Approach in Indian context. 10(2), 33–42. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0976-0148.2019.00003.9>
- Mahapatra, S., Schoenherr, T., & Jayaram, J. (2021). An assessment of factors contributing to firms' carbon footprint reduction efforts. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 235, 108073. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJPE.2021.108073>
- Mahmud, T. (2018). Sustainability Report and Integrated Report: A Comprehensive Comparison. 15(1), 01–17. <https://ajbe.aiub.edu/index.php/ajbe/article/view/42>
- Mahopets, O., Rassokha, I., & Yatsko, M. (2024). Using big data and analytics in accounting for strategic decisions. *Economics. Finances. Law*. <https://doi.org/10.37634/efp.2024.6.20>
- Maina, A. M., & Singh, U. (2022). Rebuilding stakeholder confidence in health-relevant big data applications: A social representations perspective. *Information*, 13(9), 441. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info13090441>
- Mainar, A. J., Boulanger, P., Dudu, H., Ferrari, E., McDonald, S., & Caivano, A. (2018). Social Accounting Matrix of Kenya 2014. <https://doi.org/10.2760/852198>
- Mainsah, E., Heuer, S. R., & Kalra, A. (2004). Grameen Bank: Taking capitalism to the poor. Retrieved from <https://consensus.app/papers/grameen-bank-taking-capitalism-to-the-poor-mainsah-heuer/edec338630155539801efcad890443c3/>
- Makarova, Y., & Zaverbnyj, A. (2022). Problems and prospects of greenwashing under globalization conditions and development of circular economy. *Menedžment Ta Pidrîemnictvo v Ukraïni: Etapi Stanovlennâ i Problemi Rozvitku*, 2022(1), 127–139. <https://doi.org/10.23939/smeu2022.01.127>
- Mäkelä, H., Gibbon, J., & Costa, E. (2017). Social Enterprise, Accountability and Social Accounting. *Social and Environmental Accountability Journal*, 37(1), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969160X.2017.1287583>
- Makhija, A. K. (2022). Cloud adoption in accounting information systems in Asia & SOC 2® report – An empirical study on industry's perspective. *Journal of Accounting, Finance, Economics, and Social Sciences*. [https://doi.org/10.62458/jafess.160224.7\(2\)29-36](https://doi.org/10.62458/jafess.160224.7(2)29-36)
- Makprang, K. (2024). Sustainability in supply chains: Strategies and practices for a greener future. *RMUTT Global Business Accounting and Finance Review*. <https://doi.org/10.60101/gbafr.2024.273498>
- Malinic, D., & Vuckovic-Milutinovic, S. (2023). Investing in the SDGs and reporting by ESG metrics: The accounting perspective. *Ekonomika Preduzeća*, 71(1–2), 77–100. <https://doi.org/10.5937/ekopre2302077m>
- Mamanazarova, M. H. (2022). The impact of voluntary assurance of CSR reports on firms' operating performance: Evidence from Taiwan. *Managerial and Decision Economics*, 43(8), 4041–4054. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mde.3645>
- Maňák, R. (2015). Statistical Evaluation Of Work Performance Factors. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Knowledge*, 3(1), 32–45. <https://doi.org/10.1515/IJEK-2015-0009>
- Manalu, C. I. B., Manurung, M., Muda, I., & Kesuma, S. A. (2023). Implementation of stakeholder theory in sustainability accounting: A literature review. *Brazilian Journal of Development*. <https://doi.org/10.34117/bjdv9n12-087>
- Mani, V., Delgado, C., Hazen, B. T., & Patel, P. (2017). Mitigating supply chain risk via sustainability using big data analytics: Evidence from the manufacturing supply chain. *Sustainability*, 9(4), 608. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su9040608>
- Mansson, M. (2016). Sweden, the World's Most Sustainable Country: Political Statements and Goals for a Sustainable Society. 6(1), 16–22. <https://doi.org/10.31542/J.ECJ.887>
- Manuilova, K., Pirozhenko, N., & Chistiakova, I. (2025). European strategies for cross-sectoral cooperation through a project-based approach in public administration. *Economic Scope*. <https://doi.org/10.30838/ep.197.187-192>
- Maradin, D., Malnar, A., & Kaštelan, A. (2022). Sustainable and Clean Energy: The Case of Tesla Company. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.47191/jefms/v5-i12-10>
- Marani, Y. (2024). Assessing the role of sustainability and ESG accounting in driving corporate performance and stakeholder value. *Advances: Jurnal Ekonomi & Bisnis*. <https://doi.org/10.60079/ajeb.v2i2.171>

- Marcelino, L. E. M. (2023). Guiding Principle 20: Tracking Business Human Rights Responses (pp. 157–163). Edward Elgar Publishing eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800375673.00031>
- Marchetti, K. (2015). How interest group advocacy is shaped by state policy environments. https://scispace.com/papers/how-interest-group-advocacy-is-shaped-by-state-policy-4vypb9lo8n?utm_source=scholar.google.com&utm_content=insights_citation
- Mardiana, Sutarman, A., Juliastuti, D., Yati, I., & Pasha, L. P. (2025). Enhancing Security and Privacy in Blockchain Systems for Tax Administration. *Blockchain Frontier Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.34306/bfront.v4i2.713>
- Mariani, R. D. (2013). Working Conditions in the Bangladeshi garment sector: Social dialogue and compliance. <https://repository.tudelft.nl/islandora/object/uuid%3A33c040ea-c468-49ca-bce7-2d0e9f0a098c/datastream/OBJ/download>
- Marina, A.-G. (2022). General requirements exposure draft: comments about a responsible reporting. *Revista Economica*, 74(2), 22–29. <https://doi.org/10.56043/reveco-2022-0013>
- Marjuki, M. A. (2018). Eksploitasi Pekerja Asing, Halangan dan Cabaran di Sektor Pembinaan (Exploitation of Foreign Workers, Obstacles and Challenges in the Construction Sector). 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.33736/TUR.1186.2018>
- Markić, D. (2014). A Review on the Use of Performance Indicators in the Public Sector. *TEM Journal*, 3(1), 22. https://bib.irb.hr/datoteka/689608.TemJournalFebruary2014_22_28.pdf
- Marshall, E. I. (2001). Business and Society: Social Accounting (pp. 1418–1421). <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-097086-8.73029-7>
- Martens, W., & Little, C. (2024). Beyond Authority: Servant Leadership as a Catalyst for Transformation in NGOs. <https://doi.org/10.32388/iOul20.3>
- Martinčević, I., Primorac, D., & Dorić, B. (2024). Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD): Obligations, Challenges and Requirements for Companies. 10, 317–327. <https://doi.org/10.54820/entrenova-2024-0026>
- Martínez-Ferrero, J., Garcia-Sanchez, I. M., & Cuadrado-Ballesteros, B. (2020). The effect of integrated reporting on stakeholder engagement: Evidence from the global reporting initiative. *Business Strategy and the Environment*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2396>
- Martínez-Torres, V. (2024). Regulatory Developments on Sustainability Issues in Light of Delegated Regulation (EU) 2023/2772 (ESRS). *Review of European and Comparative Law*, 58(3). <https://doi.org/10.31743/recl.17459>
- Martino, P. (2021). Regulation of blockchain technology: An overview. In *Regulating Blockchain* (pp. 71–98). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-70970-9_5
- Martz, E. (2002). Employment as Promoted by the International Labor Organization. *Disability Studies Quarterly*, 22(4). <https://doi.org/10.18061/DSQ.V22I4.386>
- Marx, A., Wouters, J., Beke, L., & Rayp, G. (2015). Protecting Labour Rights in a Globalizing World: An Introduction. 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781784711467.00007>
- Mascitti, M. (2021). El derecho del trabajo como un medio para atenuar el impacto de la vigilancia del trabajador, su manipulación y su discriminación en el ámbito laboral de la sociedad de la transparencia. 7(1), 155–182. <https://doi.org/10.12795/IETSCIENTIA.2021.I01.11>
- Matacera, A., Tola, F., Tarantino, M., Gianvincenzi, M., & Mosconi, E. M. (2024). A Comparative Analysis of European Sustainability Reporting Practices: The Role of ESG Standards and SDG's in Enhancing Effectiveness. *Journal of Lifestyle and SDGs Review*, 5(2), e01918. <https://doi.org/10.47172/2965-730x.sdgsreview.v5.n02.pe01918>
- Matheus, R., & Janssen, M. (2020). A Systematic Literature Study to Unravel Transparency Enabled by Open Government Data : The Window Theory. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 43(3), 503–534. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2019.1691025>
- Matsui, N., & Tsuboi, H. (2015). Microcredit, Inclusive Finance and Solidarity. In *Social Business and the Future of Capitalism* (pp. 13–25). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-55471-4_2
- Matta, R., Akhter, J., & Malarvizhi, P. (2019). Managers' perception on factors impacting environmental disclosure. *Journal of Management*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.34218/JOM.6.2.2019.025>
- Mattiasich-Szokoli, E., & Szóka, K. (2022). Defining and Evaluating the Information Content of Sustainability Reports. <https://doi.org/10.18690/um.epf.5.2022.16>
- Matusevich, A., Hassan, M. M., Vaishnavi, P., Sudan, P., Chhetri, A., Chhabra, S., Sharma, P., Sharma, G., & Deepa, R. N. A. (2024). Evaluating the effectiveness of carbon tax policies

- with econometric modeling. E3S Web of Conferences. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202458101012>
- Mausa, O. H., & Safina, M. (2024). Addressing challenges in international accounting: A comprehensive literature review. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*. <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i04.23855>
- Mawutor, J. K., Williams, S., & Oduwaa, M. A. (2019). Assessment of the Adoption and Implementation of IFRS for Small and Medium Scale Entities (SMEs). "A Study of Selected SMEs GA East of Ghana." *Asian Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 11(1), 241–258. <https://doi.org/10.5296/AJFA.V11I1.14678>
- Mazzi, A., Battiston, E., & Ren, J. (2024). Sustainable Circularity Performance Indicators to Optimize Waste Management at Company Level. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4816828>
- McCorquodale, R., Smit, L., Neely, S., & Brooks, R. (2017). Human Rights Due Diligence in Law and Practice: Good Practices and Challenges for Business Enterprises. 2(2), 195–224. <https://doi.org/10.1017/BHJ.2017.2>
- McDonnell, T. (2019). Trade unions, collective bargaining and economic performance. <https://www.nerinstitute.net/research/trade-unions-collective-bargaining-and-economic-pe/>
- McGregor, A., & Smit, J. (2017). Risk management: Human rights due diligence in corporate global supply chains. 69(1), 16. https://scispace.com/papers/risk-management-human-rights-due-diligence-in-corporate-2yy3768aii?utm_source=scholar.google.com&utm_content=insights_citation
- McNeil, M., & Malena, C. (2010). Demanding Good Governance: Lessons from Social Accountability Initiatives in Africa. World Bank Publications. <https://ideas.repec.org/b/wbk/wbpubs/2478.html>
- McPhail, K. (2022). From Stakeholder to Rightsholder Perspectives The UNGPs, SDGs and New Paradigms for Corporate Accountability. *Accounting and Management Review | Revista de Contabilidade e Gestão*, 26(1). <https://doi.org/10.55486/amrrcg.v26i.5a>
- McPhail, K., Islam, M. A., & Huddle, S. (2014). The United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights: Putting Accounting for Human Rights into Practice. <https://eprints.qut.edu.au/81802/>
- Medina Celis, L. M., & Planas Batista, Y. (2014). Estudio comparativo de la cantidad de informes de responsabilidad social emitidos por las empresas al Global Reporting Initiative (GRI). 2, 39–43. <http://www.cofinhab.uh.cu/index.php/RCCF/article/download/60/60>
- Medley, G. J. (1988). Strategic planning for the world wildlife fund. *Long Range Planning*, 21(1), 46–54. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-6301\(88\)90057-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-6301(88)90057-X)
- Meganathan, N. T. (2022). Critical evaluation of relationship between financial performance and disclosure practices of selected environmental parameters by Indian corporates. *International Journal of Health Sciences (IJHS)*, 4837–4849. <https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6ns2.6167>
- Mehra, P. (2024). Scoring Sustainability Reports for Assessing Environmental and Social Dimension of Leading Energy Sector Companies. *Veethika: An International Interdisciplinary Research Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.48001/veethika.2024.10.01.001>
- Mejía, C., & Kajikawa, Y. (2024). Estimating scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions through the shareholder network of publicly traded firms. *Sustainability Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-023-01460-8>
- Melloni, G. (2020). Climate change reporting: A commentary on key issues. *Die Unternehmung*. <https://doi.org/10.5771/0042-059x-2020-3-312>
- Melnik, M. V., & Велиханов, М. Т. (2024). Corporate Social Responsibility: Completeness and Transparency of Information. *Учёт, Анализ, Аудит*, 10(6), 6–20. https://doi.org/10.26794/24_08-9303-2023-10-6-6-20
- Melo, F. J. de, Costa dos Santos, J. S., Lira, T. A., & Klein, L. da S. (2023). Gestão Socioambiental das Empresas do Setor de Siderurgia no Brasil. *Revista FSA*, 20(5), 120–144. <https://doi.org/10.12819/2023.20.5.6>
- Mendoza, R. R. (2005). International Accounting Standards: Historical and Rational Perspectives. Retrieved from <https://consensus.app/papers/international-accounting-standards-historical-and-mendoza/a0fb127f2b38521abb9d46161f017e14/>

- Meneses, W., & Ruiz Cabeles, M. C. (2018). El respeto de los derechos humanos, un imperativo de la responsabilidad social de las empresas del sector industrial lácteo colombiano. <https://dspace-uexternado.metacatalogo.com/handle/001/868/>
- Metelytsya, V. (2021). International trends in the regulation of professional activities of accountants. *Herald UNU. International Economic Relations And World Economy*. <https://doi.org/10.32782/2413-9971/2021-37-9>
- Mhlongo, N. Z., Usman, F. O., Odeyemi, O., Ike, C. U., Elufioye, O. A., & Daraojimba, A. I. (2024). Reviewing the impact of cloud computing on small and medium enterprises in Africa. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*. <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2024.11.1.0236>
- Michael, R., Raharjo, S. T., & Resnawaty, R. (2019). Program csr yayasan unilever indonesia berdasarkan teori triple bottom line. 2(1), 23–31. <https://doi.org/10.24198/FOCUS.V2I1.23116>
- Michalcuk, G., & Konarzewska, U. (2018). Social reporting as a tool for achieving the sustainable development goals (pp. 125–132). Warsaw University of Life Sciences Press. <https://doi.org/10.22630/ESARE.2018.1.17>
- Mihai, G. (2014). Some Aspects of using Web 2.0/Enterprise 2.0 Technologies in Accounting. *Economics and Applied Informatics*, 1, 75–88. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/ddj/fseeai/y2014i1p75-88.html>
- Mihajlovic, B. (2024). Corporate sustainability reporting in european union law. 725–745. <https://doi.org/10.46793/upssxii.725m>
- Mihaylova, L., & Papazov, E. (2022). Sustainable reporting: practices and challenges. <https://doi.org/10.24818/imc/2021/01.07>
- Mikołajek-Gocejna, M. (2016). The Relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility and Corporate Financial Performance – Evidence from Empirical Studies. *Comparative Economic Research*, 19(4), 67–84. <https://doi.org/10.1515/CER-2016-0030>
- Milani, B., Righi, M. B., Ceretta, P. S., & Dias, V. da V. (2012). Does sustainability practices, corporate governance and social responsibility affect risk and return of investments? 5, 667–682. <https://doi.org/10.5902/198346596946>
- Mileva, E. (2013). Sustainability reporting and SMEs - From ISO 14001 to Global Reporting Initiative. https://projekter.aau.dk/projekter/files/77158193/sustainability_reporting_and_smes_from_iso_14001_to_gri.pdf
- Millán Smitmans, P. (2019). Modernización del Estado e Indicadores de Desempeño del Sector Público. 1(1), 123–143. <https://erevistas.uca.edu.ar/index.php/ENSAYOS/article/download/2463/2293>
- Miller, A., & Ofri, J. (2016). Social return on investment (SROI) of affordable housing development supported through the BC Housing Community Partnership Initiative. Calgary: Constellation Consulting Group.
- Miller, G. (2022). Stakeholder-accountability model for artificial intelligence projects. *Journal of Economics and Management*, 44, 446–494. <https://doi.org/10.22367/jem.2022.44.18>
- Millo, Y., Barman, E., & Hall, M. (2016). Accounting measurement tools and their impact on managerial decision making. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 17, 17–23.
- Mintrom, M. (2019). New Zealand's Wellbeing Budget Invests in Population Health. *Milbank Quarterly*, 97(4), 893–896. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0009.12409>
- Miranda, Y. R., Alves, P. H. C., Paskin, R., Nasser, R., & Robichez, G. (2023). Enhancing Corporate Social Responsibility with Blockchain-based Trackable ESG Tokens. <https://doi.org/10.5753/wblockchain.2023.777>
- Mirvis, P. (2011). Chapter 2 Unilever's Drive for Sustainability and CSR – Changing the Game. [https://doi.org/10.1108/S2045-0605\(2011\)0000001007](https://doi.org/10.1108/S2045-0605(2011)0000001007)
- Mirza, F. (2024). Impact of Blockchain Technology on Financial Reporting in Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises (SMEs) in Pakistan. *American Journal of Accounting*. <https://doi.org/10.47672/ajacc.2164>
- Misra, A. (2024). Group lending models as an alternative empowerment model: Assessing gendered socio-economic impacts in the Global South. *International Journal of Social Science and Economic Research*. <https://doi.org/10.46609/ijsser.2024.v09i10.019>
- Misra, N. (2007). The Push & Pull of Globalization: How the Global Economy Makes Migrant Workers Vulnerable to Exploitation. *THE HUMAN RIGHTS BRIEF*, 14(3), 1.

- <https://digitalcommons.wcl.american.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1223&context=hrbri ef>
- Mita, A. F., & Husnah, N. (2015). An empirical examination of factors contributing to the adoption of IFRS in developing countries. *Journal of Economics, Business, and Accountancy | Ventura*, 18(3), 427–438. <https://doi.org/10.14414/JEBAV.V18I3.512>
- Mitchell, C. G., & Hill, T. (2009). Corporate social and environmental reporting and the impact of internal environmental policy in South Africa. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 16(1), 48–60. <https://doi.org/10.1002/CSR.179>
- Mitchell, J., Mailewa, A., Akuthota, A., & Mohottalalage, T. (2025). IoT-driven energy management and optimization: A comprehensive review and case study analysis. 2025 IEEE 15th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop and Conference (CCWC), 00292–00298. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CCWC62904.2025.10903553>
- Mitrović, A., Knežević, S., & Milojević, S. (2024). Implementation challenges of environmental accounting in management information system. *The Eighth International Scientific Conference TOURISM AND GREEN INVESTMENTS Conference Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.52370/tisc2441am>
- Mitu, N. E. (2006). To a High Developed Public Sector. <https://ideas.repec.org/p/pramprapa/10774.html>
- Mohamed, A. F. (2020). Carbon neutral urban spaces under climate change: Case study—Sidi Gaber, Alexandria. *Architecture and Planning Journal (APJ)*. <https://doi.org/10.54729/2789-8547.1002>
- Mohammed, E., Ali, R., Mousa, Y., Elbastawisy, M. A., Abd, A., & Abu-Musa, E. S. (2023). The effectiveness of Artificial Intelligence systems in improving the quality of sustainability reports in light of GRI-G4. <https://doi.org/10.21608/masf.2023.325372>
- Mohan, L., & Shakti, S. (2013). CSR- An Image Building Tool. <http://ssijmar.in/vol2no5/vol2%20no5.5.pdf>
- Mohanty, B., & Saha, A. (2017). Sustainability Reporting Process - An Approach for Communicating Strategic Issues in Accounting. *International Journal of Advance Research and Innovative Ideas in Education*, 3(4), 1239–1253. <http://ijariie.com/FormDetails.aspx?MenuScriptId=1605>
- Molnár, P., Tóth, Á., & Lukács, B. (2024). Linking Sustainability Reporting and Energy Consumption Through Global Reporting Initiative Standards and Sustainable Development Goals. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3915357/v1>
- Momchilov, G. (2022). Sustainability reporting by companies: reasons and financial benefits. *VUZF Review*, 7(1), 55–68. <https://doi.org/10.38188/2534-9228.22.1.06>
- Monciardini, D. (2013). Quello che conta - A Socio-Legal Analysis of Accounting for Sustainable Companies. https://doi.org/10.13130/MONCIARDINI-DAVID_PHD2013-07-24
- Monteiro, A. A., García, J. C. S., Hernández-Sánchez, B. R., & Cardella, G. M. (2022). Monteiro, A. A., Sánchez-García, J. C., Hernández-Sánchez, B. R., & Cardella, G. M. (2022). Social Entrepreneurship Conceptual Approaches. *Encyclopedia*, 2(2), 1004–1018. <https://doi.org/10.3390/encyclopedia2020066>
- Monteiro, A. P., García-Sánchez, I.-M., & Aibar-Guzmán, B. (2023). Can social disclosure induce a better corporate social performance? *Ekonomiska Istrazivanja-Economic Research*, 36(3). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677x.2023.2217885>
- Montero, P. M., Calderón, E. P., & Dias, A. I. L. (2020). Transparency of Financial Reporting on Greenhouse Gas Emission Allowances: The Influence of Regulation. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(3), 893. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17030893>
- Mook, L. (2014). An Integrated Social Accounting Model for Nonprofit Organizations (Vol. 17, pp. 197–221). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S1041-706020140000017008>
- Mook, L., Quarter, J., & Richmond, B. J. (2005). Social accounting for Social Economy Organizations. https://tspace.library.utoronto.ca/bitstream/1807/91254/3/Social%20Accounting%20for_TSpace.pdf
- Mora, C. J., & Malik, A. (2024). Litigating the (II) Consideration of Indirect Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Australian Courts. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4752986>

- Moraes, C. O. D., Grapiuna, L. S., & Antunes, J. A. P. (2023). What do we know about the relationship between Banks' Risk Measures and Social-Environmental Sustainability transparency? *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 23(3), 736–747. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2023.01.013>
- Morales, J. (2024). Exploring Economic Networks: The Social Accounting Matrix and Its Role in Economic Analysis. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3848531/v1>
- Moreno-Albarracín, A. L., Licerán-Gutiérrez, A., Ortega-Rodríguez, C., Labella, Á., & Medina Rodríguez, R. E. (2020). Measuring What Is Not Seen—Transparency and Good Governance Nonprofit Indicators to Overcome the Limitations of Accounting Models. *Sustainability*, 12(18), 7275. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12187275>
- Morrison, C., Sacchetto, D., & Cretu, O. (2014). Labour mobility in construction: migrant workers' strategies between integration and turnover. <https://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/13978/>
- Mosa, H., Saleh, A., Qusef, A., Altamimi, A., & Alnabhan, M. (2024). Leveraging blockchain for ethical sourcing and sustainability in supply chains: A systematic review. 2024 25th International Arab Conference on Information Technology (ACIT). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACIT62805.2024.10877059>
- Mossialos, E., Papanicolas, I., & Smith, P. C. (2008). Principles of performance measurement. 10(1), 1–5. <http://pascal-francis.inist.fr/vibad/index.php?action=getRecordDetail&idt=20490892>
- Motta, D. C. (2014). Sostenibilidad y empresa. 11(2), 121–133. <https://doi.org/10.18041/1657-2815/LIBREEMPRESA.2014V11N2.3028>
- Mravcová, A., & Gálová, J. (2021). Principles of the United Nations Global Compact (pp. 43–52). Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Ekonomicznego w Poznaniu. <https://doi.org/10.18559/978-83-8211-074-6/I4>
- Mrsik, J., & Kostovski, N. (2015). Environmental and social responsibility reporting. Do Macedonian companies disclose those information and how. *Timisoara Journal of Economics and Business*, 8(2), 220–231. <https://doi.org/10.1515/TJEB-2015-0016>
- Mulugeta, H. E. (2022). Human Rights Issues at Amazon Corporation. *Management Science and Business Decisions*, 2(2), 19–31. <https://doi.org/10.52812/msbd.50>
- Mulyono, F. (2013). Sumber Daya Perusahaan dalam Teori Resource-based View. 9(1), 71796. <https://doi.org/10.26593/JAB.V9I1.434.%P>
- Munoko, I., Brown-Liburd, H. L., & Vasarhelyi, M. (2020). The ethical implications of using artificial intelligence in auditing. *Journal of Business Ethics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04407-1>
- Murikah, W., Nthenge, J. K., & Musyoka, F. (2024). Bias and ethics of AI systems applied in auditing - A systematic review. *Scientific African*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sciaf.2024.e02281>
- Murphy, P., & Murphy, C. (2018). Sustainable Living: Unilever. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-58804-9_12
- Musdah, E. (2013). Advokasi Kebijakan Penetapan Upah Minimum Kabupaten Sleman Tahun 2013. 6(2), 123–136. <https://www.neliti.com/publications/99395/advokasi-kebijakan-penetapan-upah-minimum-kabupaten-sleman-tahun-2013>
- Musetescu, A. (2013). How to Achieve a Competitive Advantage. *Knowledge Horizons - Economics*, 5, 13–16. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/khe/journal/v5y2013i1specialp13-16.html>
- Musinszki, Z., & Demény, A. (2016). Social Accounting - In the Wake of the Sustainability. *Theory, Methodology, Practice*, 12(2), 26–40. <https://doi.org/10.18096/TMP.2016.02.03>
- Muslim. (2023). Examining the impact of accounting practices on socioeconomic growth and equity. *Advances in Applied Accounting Research*. <https://doi.org/10.60079/aaar.v1i3.176>
- Musvianti, M., Syakura, M. A., & Ramadhani, M. H. Z. K. (2024). Beyond profit: How to create value in business by integrating ESG and sustainability accounting. *The Indonesian Accounting Review*. <https://doi.org/10.14414/tiar.v14i2.4765>
- Muyambi, K., Gurd, B., Martinez, L., Walker-Jeffreys, M., Vallury, K., Beach, P., & Dennis, S. (2017). Issues in Using Social Return on Investment as An Evaluation Tool. *Evaluation of Journal of Australasia*, 17(3), 32–39. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1035719X1701700305>
- Muza, C., & Magadi, I. (2014). Environmental Management Accounting Implementation in Zimbabwe Mining Sector. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*, 16(9), 82–92. <https://doi.org/10.9790/487X-16918292>

- Muzuva, M., & Muzuva, D. (2024). The impact of climate change on banks loan portfolios and strategies for effective climate risk management. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science* (2147-4478). <https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v13i6.3510>
- Mwasa, I., Sira, F. N., & Leonard, M. (2014). Social Accounting Practices Among Kenyan Firms: An Empirical Study of Companies Quoted at Nairobi Securities Exchange. Retrieved from <https://consensus.app/papers/social-accounting-practices-among-kenyan-firms-an-mwasa-sira/96b49c2f3aeb59828f62667b05183028/>
- Nacu, T., & Jercan, E. (2022). The New Business Challenges Faced in the European Union: Understanding the Idea behind Corporate Responsibility. *The Romanian Economic Journal*, 84. <https://doi.org/10.24818/rej/2022/84/09>
- Nagaraj, K., & Mahadevan, A. (2015). A review on the factors leading to employee burnout in it sector. 4(2), 334–343. <https://doi.org/10.24924/IJABM/2015.04/V3.ISS1/334.343>
- Nagesh, N., & NamrathaB, M. (2020). A Study on Human Resource Accounting in India. *International Journal of Business and Administration Research Review*, 22, 50–53. Retrieved from <https://consensus.app/papers/a-study-on-human-resource-accounting-in-india-nagesh-namrathab/0212d92153625b1ebe8ac0febf18e8c0/>
- Nair, A. J., Manohar, S., & Mittal, A. (2024). AI-enabled FinTech for innovative sustainability: promoting organizational sustainability practices in digital accounting and finance. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijaim-05-2024-0172>
- Nakamura, A., & Warburton, W. P. (1998). Performance Measurement in the Public Sector. *Social Science Research Network*. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2273839
- Nandakumar, P. P., & Kaliamoorthy, K. M. (2009). An Empirical Study on the Burnout of IT Professionals Employed for Middle East Countries. https://scispace.com/papers/an-empirical-study-on-the-burnout-of-it-professionals-zuv06jexl9?utm_source=scholar.google.com&utm_content=insights_citation
- Nangoy, G. F., & Meiden, C. (2020). Analysis of the quality of isae 3000 assurance statements on corporate sustainability reports across stock exchanges in several countries: a 2020-2022 study. *International Journal of Social Science*. <https://doi.org/10.53625/ijss.v3i5.7426>
- Nasution, M. M., & Lutfi, A. (2022). Public Involvement in Budget Transparency in terms of Opportunities and Challenges. *Jurnal Administrasi Publik*, 12(2), 171–179. <https://doi.org/10.31289/jap.v12i2.7704>
- Natile, S. (2020). Digital Finance Inclusion and the Mobile Money “Social” Enterprise: A Socio-Legal Critique of M-Pesa in Kenya. *Historical Social Research*, 45(3), 74–94. <https://doi.org/10.12759/HSR.45.2020.3.74-94>
- Navisa, F. D., & Ashsharofi, H. L. (2023). Study of State Administrative Court Decisions as Enforcement of Guidelines for Handling Environmental Cases. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/zqkfc>
- Ndemena, P. (2024). The effect of Corporate Social Responsibility disclosure on Corporate Performance with respect to Mining Companies in Zambia. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4420802/v1>
- Ndung'u, N. (2018). The M-Pesa Technological Revolution for Financial Services in Kenya: A Platform for Financial Inclusion. In *Banking in Africa* (pp. 37–56). <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-810441-5.00003-8>
- Nechaev, I., & Hain, D. S. (2023). Social impacts reflected in CSR reports: Method of extraction and link to firms innovation capacity. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.139256>
- Negri, P. (2018). Sustainable Finance and Non-Financial Disclosure: The Impact for the Insurance Industry. 1, 110–126. <https://doi.org/10.4468/2018.1.09NEGRI>
- Nehrebecka, N. (2021). Climate Risk with Particular Emphasis on the Relationship with Credit-Risk Assessment: What We Learn from Poland. *Energies*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14238070>
- Nelson, N., & Meiden, C. (2023). Implementasi Prinsip Isi dan Kualitas pada Laporan Keberlanjutan. *Jurnal Ilmiah Universitas Batanghari Jambi*, 23(1), 114. <https://doi.org/10.33087/jiubj.v23i1.2965>
- Nembe, J. K., Atadoga, J. O., Adelakun, B. O., Odeyemi, O., & Oguejiofor, B. B. (2024). Legal implications of blockchain technology for tax compliance and financial regulation. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v6i2.824>

- Neuwirth, R. (2011). Why black market entrepreneurs matter to the world economy. *Wired*. <https://www.wired.com/2011/12/mf-neuwirth-qa>
- Nguyen Mai, N. B., Vourvachis, P., & Grubnic, S. (2022). The impact of the UK's Modern Slavery Act (2015) on the disclosure of FTSE 100 companies. *British Accounting Review*, 55(3), 101115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2022.101115>
- Nguyen, Q. N., & Sidorova, A. (2016). Responding to Negative Social Media Content: an Organizational Identification Perspective. *Americas Conference on Information Systems*. <https://dblp.uni-trier.de/db/conf/amcis/amcis2016.html#NguyenS16>
- Nguyễn-Duy, I. (2016). The Norwegian Freedom of Information Act – A not so Transparent Act? 2, 77–100. <http://ojs.imodev.org/index.php/RIGO/article/view/10/71>
- Niaounakis, M. (2017). Environmental, Social, and Economic Impacts (pp. 57–126). William Andrew Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-44354-8.00002-1>
- Nicholls, J. (2017). Social return on investment – Development and convergence. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 64, 127–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2016.11.011>
- Nicholls, J. (2020). Integrating financial, social and environmental accounting. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 11, 745–769. <https://doi.org/10.1108/sampj-01-2019-0030>
- Nicholls, J., Lawlor, E., Neitzert, E., & Goodspeed, T. (2012). A guide to social return on investment. The SROI Network.
- Nicolaescu, C., & Bîja, M. S. (2022). Practical Aspects Regarding the Accounting of Social Enterprises. *Contabilitatea, Expertiza Și Auditul Afacerilor*, 3(11), 8–14. <https://doi.org/10.37945/cbr.2022.11.02>
- Nicolaides, A. (2018). Corporate Social Responsibility as an Ethical Imperative. 4(4), 285–300. <https://doi.org/10.30958/AJL.4-4-1>
- Nielsen, P., Johnston, S., & Black, P. (2021). Real time emissions monitoring: The foundation of a blockchain enabled carbon economy. *The APPEA Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1071/aj20042>
- Nieto, M. (2019). Banks, climate risk and financial stability. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRC-03-2018-0043>
- Nikyar, S. (2017). The Impact of CSR on Investors' Behaviour. <http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2:1109272>
- Nilsson Jannesson, E. B., & Hahn, G. (2012). SROI – Social Return on Investment: Innebörd a Tillämpning. <http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2:541480>
- Nimbalker, G., Mawson, J., & Cremen, C. (2015). The Australian fashion report 2015. https://scispace.com/papers/the-australian-fashion-report-2015-33bhjjfzph?utm_source=scholar.google.com&utm_content=insights_citation
- Niu, Z., Wang, C., Wang, C., Wang, X., & Zhu, Z. (2024). Information interactions on social media platforms and the quality of corporate social responsibility disclosure: Evidence from Chinese listed firms. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2954>
- Njuguna, P. (2014). Adoption of accrual based accounting by the United Nations: a case of world food programme (WFP) Kenya. <http://erepo.usiu.ac.ke/handle/11732/42>
- Nkrumah, B. A., Qian, W., Kaur, A., & Tilt, C. (2023). Stakeholder accountability in the era of big data: An exploratory study of online platform companies. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/qram-03-2022-0042>
- Nnedu, S. C. (2025). Sustainability Reporting and Firm Performance: A Thematic Empirical Review. *International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation*, XI(XII), 901–912. <https://doi.org/10.51244/ijrsi.2024.11120079>
- Nofel, M., Marzouk, M., Elbardan, H., Saleh, R., & Mogahed, A. (2024). Integrating blockchain, IoT, and XBRL in accounting information systems: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm17080372>
- Nofita, R., Siskawati, E., Andriani, W., & Ansar, M. (2024). Green accounting and organization performance: Science mapping of present and future trends. *Journal of Accounting and Strategic Finance*. <https://doi.org/10.33005/jasf.v7i1.475>
- Noja, ratiela G., Bădițoiu, B., Buglea, A., Munteanu, V. P., & Cimpoiu, D. C. G. (2024). The impact of environmental, social and governance policies on companies' financial and economic performance: A comprehensive approach and new empirical evidence. <https://doi.org/10.15240/tul/001/2024-1-008>

- Nolan, J. (2022). Chasing the Next Shiny Thing: Can Human Rights Due Diligence Effectively Address Labour Exploitation in Global Fashion Supply Chains? *International Journal for Crime, Justice and Social Democracy*, 11(2), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.5204/ijcjsd.2398>
- Nolan, J., & Bott, G. (2018). Global supply chains and human rights: spotlight on forced labour and modern slavery practices. 24(1), 44–69. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1323238X.2018.1441610>
- Noonin, S. (2012). Social Enterprise for Sustainable Development. <https://scispace.com/papers/social-enterprise-for-sustainable-development-qcsoqeeem?>
- Noor, W. N. B. W. M., Razak, S. N. A. A., Jusoh, Y. H. M., Hasan, S. J., & Ariffin, M. Z. (2022). Analysing accounting professionals' readiness for digital economy using the theory of organisational readiness for change. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v12-i11/15338>
- Normyle, A., Doran, B., Mathews, D., Melbourne, J., & Vardon, M. (2023). Adapting Ecosystem Accounting to Meet the Needs of Indigenous Living Cultural Landscapes: A Case Study from Yawuru Country, Northern Australia. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4607572>
- Nosirov, I., Yormatov, I., Yuldasheva, N., & Avulchayeva, F. (2024). AI and Corporate Sustainability: Exploring the Environmental and Social Impacts of AI Integration. 2024 International Conference on Knowledge Engineering and Communication Systems (ICKECS), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICKECS61492.2024.10617421>
- Nugraha, R. I., Gulo, N., & Soeratin, H. Z. (2024). Esg disclosure index pt bank syariah aladin: analisis berbasis sustainability accounting standards board (sasb). *Ar-Rihlah*, 4(2), 95. <https://doi.org/10.35194/arps.v4i2.4929>
- O'Driscoll, D. (2017). Mapping of Research on Child Labour in South Asia. <https://opendocs.ids.ac.uk/opendocs/handle/20.500.12413/13070>
- O'Dwyer, B., & Unerman, J. (2020). Shifting the focus of sustainability accounting from impacts to risks and dependencies: researching the transformative potential of TCFD reporting. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-02-2020-4445>
- O'Rourke, D. (2004). Opportunities and obstacles for corporate social responsibility reporting in developing countries. 1. <https://nature.berkeley.edu/orourke/PDF/CSR-Reporting.pdf>
- Oberst, A., & Gheorghita, M. (2022). Consolidate the reputation and increase the credibility of the company through the implementation of the social audit. <https://doi.org/10.52326/csd2022.18>
- Obradović, V. (2014). Inconsistent application of International Financial Reporting Standards. 16(3), 239–251. <https://doi.org/10.5937/EKONHOR14032390>
- Occhipinti, Z. (2023). Environmental and Accounting Regulation: Lessons from The EU ETS. *International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarafms/v13-i2/16597>
- Ocheni, I. S. (2015). Perceived Challenges of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) Adoption in Nigeria. 6(1), 07–11. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/aii/ijcmss/v6y2015i1p07-11.html>
- Odukoya, O. (2024). The transformative impact of cloud computing on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs): A comprehensive analysis. 2024 International Conference on Smart Applications, Communications and Networking (SmartNets). <https://doi.org/10.1109/SmartNets61466.2024.10577703>
- Ogunyemi, F. M. (2023). AI-Powered Carbon Accounting: Transforming ESG Reporting Standards for a Sustainable Global Economy. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.15680/ijmrset.2023.0604037>
- Ogunyemi, F. M., Owolabi, I. O., Busari, I. O., & Olakunle, T. J. (2023). Carbon Accounting for ESG Leadership: Innovating Sustainability Practices in Emerging Markets. *International Journal Of Multidisciplinary Research In Science, Engineering and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.15680/ijmrset.2023.0611014>
- Okano, M. T. (2019). Social enterprise in the current context: a systematic review of the last 10 years. *Journal on Innovation and Sustainability*, 10(2), 109–122. <https://doi.org/10.23925/2179-3565.2019V10I2P109-122>
- Okie, J. G. (2023). Shaped by the Media: How Internal and External CSR Information Sources Affect the Employees' CSR Awareness and Perceived CSR Performance: An Abstract (pp. 99–100). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-24687-6_38

- Okoye, A. (2017). Corporate enterprise principles and UK regulation of modern slavery in supply chains. 28(5), 196–205. [https://cris.brighton.ac.uk/ws/files/465091/Okoye%20Corporate%20enterprise%20principles%20\(C\)%20\(1\)-sent%20to%20editor%20\(1\).pdf](https://cris.brighton.ac.uk/ws/files/465091/Okoye%20Corporate%20enterprise%20principles%20(C)%20(1)-sent%20to%20editor%20(1).pdf)
- Oladeji, O., & Mousavi, S. S. (2023). Leveraging AI-derived Data for Carbon Accounting: Information Extraction from Alternative Sources. arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2312.03722>
- Oladeji, O., Mousavi, S. S., & Roston, M. (2023). AI-driven E-Liability Knowledge Graphs: A Comprehensive Framework for Supply Chain Carbon Accounting and Emissions Liability Management. arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2312.00045>
- Olayah, F. (2019). A conceptual modeling for achieving a performance and sustainability on SMEs using cloud computing technology. *International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.30534/ijatcse/2019/3681.42019>
- Olkonemi, A.-M. (2017). CSR for financial stakeholders : frame analysis of sustainability reports. <https://jyx.jyu.fi/handle/123456789/53852>
- Olorunyomi, T. D., Adewale, T. T., & Odonkor, T. N. (2021). Advancing sustainability accounting: A unified model for ESG integration and auditing. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*. <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2021.2.1.0021>
- Olsson, D. (2019). Conditions of 'Sustainability': The Case of Climate Change Adaptation in Sweden. 96(3), 464. <https://www.socialmedicinskidskrift.se/index.php/smt/article/download/1877/1841>
- On the issue of evaluation and analysis of labor productivity. (2023). *Učeny Zapiski Rossijskoj Akademii Predprinimatel'stva. Rol' i Mesto Predprinimatel'stva v Ėkonomike Rossii*, 22(1), 9–14. <https://doi.org/10.24182/2073-6258-2023-22-1-9-14>
- Onat, N., Kucukvar, M., & Tatari, O. (2014). Scope-based carbon footprint analysis of U.S. residential and commercial buildings: An input-output hybrid life cycle assessment approach. *Building and Environment*, 72, 53–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BUILDEN.2013.10.009>
- Onat, N., Mandouri, J., Kucukvar, M., Kutty, A. A., & Al-Muftah, A. A. (2025). Driving sustainable business practices with carbon accounting and reporting: A holistic framework and empirical analysis. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.3096>
- Oncioiu, I., Popescu, D. M., Aviana, A. E., Șerban, A., Rotaru, F., Petrescu, M., & Marin-Pantelescu, A. (2020). The Role of Environmental, Social, and Governance Disclosure in Financial Transparency. *Sustainability*, 12(17), 6757. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12176757>
- Ordóñez-Castaño, I. A., Herrera-Rodríguez, E. E., Franco Ricaurte, A. M., & Perdomo Mejía, L. E. (2021). Voluntary Disclosure of GRI and CSR Environmental Criteria in Colombian Companies. *Sustainability*, 13(10), 5405. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU13105405>
- Oreshkova, H. (2023). European directives and principal international frameworks for corporate sustainability and climate change reporting. *ERAZ Conference – Knowledge Based Sustainable Development*, 9, 83–90. <https://doi.org/10.31410/eraz.s.p.2023.83>
- Ortega-Rodríguez, C., Licerán-Gutiérrez, A., & Moreno-Albarracín, A. L. (2020). Transparency as a Key Element in Accountability in Non-Profit Organizations: A Systematic Literature Review. *Sustainability*, 12(14), 5834. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12145834>
- Orzeł, B., & Wolniak, R. (2022). Corporate social responsibility reporting in the context of striving to achieve the sustainable development goals. *Sustainable Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure*, 21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/books978-3-03897-869-5-3>
- Oudbier, J., Boerboom, T., Peerdeman, S. M., & Suurmond, J. (2024). The integration of social accountability in the medical curriculum: A qualitative study to the change process as perceived by educational staff and students. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3567770/v1>
- Ouzký, M. S., & Machek, O. (2023). Family firm performance: The effects of organizational culture and organizational social capital. *Journal of Family Business Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jfbm-06-2023-0089>
- Overview of the Public Governance System in Sweden. (2023). *OECD Public Governance Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1787/8f7d4ba3-en>
- Owen, D. (2001). Commentary on: Some thoughts on social and environmental accounting education. *Accounting Education*, 10(4), 375–378. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09639280210121204>

- Owen, D. (2010). Assurance practice in sustainability reporting. 187–202. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203815281-21>
- Owusu, G. M. Y., Mohd Saat, N. A., Suppiah, S. D. K., & Law, S. H. (2017). Do accounting standards matter for foreign direct investment in developing countries. <http://psasir.upm.edu.my/id/eprint/65373/>
- Oyarce, J. N., & Larraz, J. L. G. (2019). Reporte Integrado como herramienta de transparencia empresarial. 4(2), 71–82. <https://www.redalyc.org/journal/5608/560859050003/html/>
- Oyetade, J. A., Igbalawole, O., & Johnson-Rokosu, S. (2025). From Shareholder Value to Stakeholder Impact: Integrating ESG Considerations into Financial Decisions. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*. <https://doi.org/10.47772/ijriss.2025.9020021>
- Oz, Y., Cakir, F. S., Ceyhan, İ. F., Zelka, A., & Akbulut, H. (2025). Barriers of blockchain in sustainability reporting: An application with fuzzy AHP. *Business Strategy & Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bsd2.70077>
- Özbayrak, S., & Özdemir, A. (2023). Developing a framework system for sustainability performance of cities: A case of Eskisehir city, Türkiye. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3504797/v1>
- Palmer, E. (2014). Financial Sustainability of Swedish Welfare Commitments. *Public Policy Review*, 10(2), 253–276. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/mof/journal/ppr025a.html>
- Pamungkas, I. D., Satata, D. P. I., Raihan, M. R., Kristianto, A. Y., & Oktafiyani, M. (2024). Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility Between Implementation of Green Accounting and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): A Study on Heavily Polluting Companies in Indonesia. *Journal of Lifestyle and SDGs Review*. <https://doi.org/10.47172/2965-730x.sdgsreview.v4.n04.pe02577>
- Pandin, M. Y. R., Wijaya, R. M., Postel, M. Y., & Manalu, M. U. S. (2024). Analysis of Sustainability Reporting Disclosure Based on the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Standards. <https://doi.org/10.54956/eksyar.v10i2.523>
- Papare, E. Y., Suratini, S., & Pasolo, F. (2024). Challenges and innovations in public sector accounting: A comparative analysis. *Jurnal Economic Resource*. <https://doi.org/10.57178/jer.v7i1.913>
- Paresi, P. R., Javidan, F., & Sparks, P. (2023). Carbon Accounting for Sustainable Design and Manufacturing in the Signage Industry with Case Studies in Australia. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4559172>
- Pargmann, J., & Berding, F. (2024). Integrating Sustainability in Controlling and Accounting Practices: A Critical Review and Implications for Competences in German Vocational Business Education. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2406.02314>
- Parkhurst, T., Standish, R. J., Prober, S. M., Kobryn, H., & Vardon, M. (2023). Balancing the books of nature: Accounting for ecosystem condition following ecological restoration. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3784451/v1>
- Parkinson, A., & Chew, L. (2022). Towards an Environmental Sustainability Management Accounting Template. *The Business & Management Review*, 13(01). <https://doi.org/10.24052/bmr/v13nu01/art-11>
- Partel, K. (2014). Can we improve the health system with performance reporting. <https://doi.org/10.4225/50/557E6CF5F1B4D>
- Passos Neto, G. da M., Rabbani, E. R. K., Valdes-Vasquez, R., & Alencar, L. H. (2022). Implementation of the Global Reporting Initiative Social Sustainability Indicators: A Multi-Case Study Approach Using Brazilian Construction Companies. *Sustainability*, 14(14), 8531. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14148531>
- Patel, M. A., Shamsi, A. F., & Asim, M. (2018). Chief executive compensation – part and parcel of the agency problem: empirical evidence from pakistan. 13(1), 153–165. <http://arionline.uitm.edu.my/ojs/index.php/APMAJ/article/download/749/432>
- Patel, S. (2024). Blockchain technologies for sustainable development. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*. <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i04.25283>
- Patel, S. K., Kumari, P., Manglani, A., Chaudhari, A. K., & Kadian, P. (2024). An empirical study on carbon disclosure practices and strategies in emerging market. *Corporate and Business Strategy Review*. <https://doi.org/10.22495/cbsrv5i3art15>

- Pati, R. (2019). Global Regulation of Corporate Conduct: Effective Pursuit of a Slave-Free Supply Chain. Social Science Research Network. <https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.3733935>
- Patricia, G., Siregar, N., Salsabil, I., Muda, & Muda, I. (2025). Auditing emerging technologies: Challenges in AI-driven IT environments. *Innovation and Technology Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.61784/its3007>
- Paunescu, C.-F. (2019). Social accounting - source of relevant information in assessing the social responsibility of the organization. *Oradea Journal of Business and Economics*, 4, 52–59. <https://doi.org/10.47535/1991OJBE067>
- Pavlović, M., & Denčić-Mihajlov, K. (2020). Sustainable development reporting and sustainable financing: Challenges for small and medium enterprises. 9(18), 49–61. <https://doi.org/10.5937/EKOIZAZOV2018049P>
- Pedersen, E. R. G., Lüdeke-Freund, F., Henriques, I., & Seitanidi, M. M. (2020). Toward collaborative cross-sector business models for sustainability. *Business & Society*, 60(5), 1039–1058. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650320959027>
- Pelletier, M.-C., Horner, C., Vickers, M., Gül, A., Turak, E., & Turner, C. (2023). Recognising natural capital on the balance sheet: options for water utilities. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2312.13515>
- Penedo, A. S. T., Pereira, V. S., & Fodra, M. (2017). Social report and environmental responsibility in Brazil: a thematic review. 8(2), 209–219. <https://doi.org/10.15603/2176-9583/REFAE.V8N2P209-219>
- Pereira, R. C., Fagundes, M. B. B., Andrade, E. de S., Dresch, L. de O., & Soprane, G. de A. (2010). Balanço social e o indicador de lucro social para empresas cidadãs: o caso Embrapa. *International Database Engineering and Applications Symposium*, 4, 1–25. <https://revistaideas.ufrj.br/ojs/index.php/ideas/article/view/54>
- Perezolova, A., & Перезолова, A. C. (2018). Practice of Participatory Governance in Public Policy. 20(1), 122–130. <https://doi.org/10.22363/2313-1438-2018-20-1-122-130>
- Permatasari, A., & Kosasih, W. (2022). Analysis of Small and Medium Enterprises' (SMEs) Sustainability Reporting to Develop Sustainability Reporting Guideline. *International Journal of Engineering, Applied Sciences and System*, 2(1). <https://journals.researchsynergypress.com/index.php/ijeass/article/view/822>
- Petcharat, N., & Mula, J. M. (2010). Can organizations meet their environment and social reporting obligations even in a financial crisis? Towards an effective sustainability management accounting system. https://eprints.usq.edu.au/18309/2/Petcharat_Mula_GBC_2010_AV.pdf
- Petcu, M., Sobolevski-David, M., & Curea, Ş.-C. (2024). Integrating digital technologies in sustainability accounting and reporting: Perceptions of professional cloud computing users. *Electronics*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13142684>
- Petrov, S. V. (2024). Analyzing the transparency of corporate non-financial reporting. <https://doi.org/10.24891/ea.23.1.150>
- Pfitzner, R., & Lutz, M. (2015). Siemens: Managing sustainability along the value chain to benefit our customers. In *Corporate Sustainability* (pp. 207–225). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-12142-0_8
- Phillips, J. K. (2004). An application of the balanced scorecard to public transit system performance assessment. *Transportation Journal*, 43(1), 26–55. <https://trid.trb.org/view.aspx?id=757950>
- Phung, M. T., Dao, V.-T., & Mai, K.-T. (2023). Dataset for Analysing the ESG-Oriented Technical Efficiency of Vnisi Listed Companies. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4596819>
- Pianezzi, D., & Cinquini, L. (2015). Social accounting in supply chain management: a pragmatic constructivist perspective. 4(1), 14–17. <https://doi.org/10.7146/PROPRACON.V4I1.20931>
- Pimienta, M. L. (2008). Comunicación organizacional: Los stakeholders legitiman a la organización. 103, 80–83. <https://doi.org/10.16921/CHASQUI.V0I103.1665>
- Pinilla-De La Cruz, G. A., & Rabetino, R. (2024). Eliciting the anchor link for building public-private collaboration in sustainable energy: Insights from the Finnish context. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.143670>
- Pirmana, V., Alisjahbana, A., Hoekstra, R., & Tukker, A. (2019). Implementation Barriers for a System of Environmental-Economic Accounting in Developing Countries and Its Implications for Monitoring Sustainable Development Goals. *Sustainability*, 11(22), 6417. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU11226417>

- Pizzi, S., Caputo, A., Venturelli, A., & Caputo, F. (2022). Embedding and managing blockchain in sustainability reporting: A practical framework. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/sampj-07-2021-0288>
- Pizzi, S., Principale, S., & de Nuccio, E. (2022). Material sustainability information and reporting standards. Exploring the differences between GRI and SASB. *Meditari Accountancy Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/medar-11-2021-1486>
- Pokhidnia, B. (2025). Ethics in information management: Personal data protection. *Economic Scope*. <https://doi.org/10.30838/ep.197.212-216>
- Poljašević, J., & Vujičić Radisavljević, D. (2014). Improving government financial reporting in order to increase the transparency and efficiency of public service delivery. *Economy & Business Journal*, 8(1), 611–626. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/isp/journal/v8y2014i1p611-626.html>
- Pollice, F., & Scarcelli, M. (2024). *Purchasing and Supply Management Journey into Unilever's Circular Economy Strategy* (pp. 161–173). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-55036-2_8
- Porumbescu, G. A., Grimes, M., & Grimmeli Khuijsen, S. (2021). Capturing the social relevance of government transparency and accountability using a behavioral lens. 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.30636/JBPA.41.241>
- Pourali, M. R. (2012). Social Accounting Challenges and Strategies for Implementation in Iran. *Indian Journal of Education and Information Management*, 1(9), 414–419. <http://www.i-scholar.in/index.php/ijeim/article/download/31720/27349>
- Pozo, M. del, Gulikers, J., van der Bolt, B., Paparrizos, S., Smolenaars, W., den Brok, P., & Ludwig, F. (2024). A learning framework for designing climate services for capacity building. *Climate Services*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cliser.2024.100499>
- Prafitri, R. (2017). Creating Shared Value (CSV) in East Java, Indonesia: A critical analysis of CSV impacts on dairy farming communities. <https://researchrepository.murdoch.edu.au/id/eprint/42583/>
- Prajapati, S. B. (2025). Existing challenges in ethical AI. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.25.1.0267>
- Pramesti, A. A., Novita, F., & Hwihanus. (2024). The role of green accounting in promoting a green economy: Integrating environmental considerations into financial systems for sustainable development. *JIMU: Jurnal Ilmiah Multidisipliner*. <https://doi.org/10.70294/jimu.v2i03.392>
- Pramesti, H. (2024). The Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure on the Share Price of Mining Companies. 2(1), 360–366. <https://doi.org/10.36728/iceete.v2i1.212>
- Pramudiati, N., Adyaksana, R. I., & Susilowati, A. (2022). Do Environmental Performance and Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure Affect Financial Performance? *Jurnal Akuntansi (Edisi Elektronik)*, 12(2), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.33369/j.akuntansi.12.2.1-11>
- Prayitno, S., & Kurniawan, W. (2022). Collective working agreements (cla) in a juridical and practical. 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.23969/pics-j.v4i1.5870>
- Prebble, M. (2012). Which Reform Is Most Important? - Some Evidence from New Zealand. <http://igps.victoria.ac.nz/publications/files/9743e59a37f.pdf>
- Prentice, R. (2023). Writing labour into ethical apparel production. A review of Kanchana N. Ruwanpura's *Garments without Guilt?* *Singapore Journal of Tropical Geography*, 44(2), 363–365. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sjtg.12486>
- Prihatiningtias, Y. W., & Dayanti, N. (2014). Corporate social responsibility disclosure and firm financial performance in mining and natural resources industry. *The International Journal of Accounting and Business Society*, 22(1), 70523. <https://ijabs.ub.ac.id/index.php/ijabs/article/download/245/172>
- Primec, A., & Belak, J. (2022). Sustainable CSR: Legal and Managerial Demands of the New EU Legislation (CSRD) for the Future Corporate Governance Practices. *Sustainability*, 14(24), 16648. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142416648>
- Priyadarshana, D., Ramachandra Rao, T., & Sambasiva Rao, M. (2024). AI and blockchain technology for secure and transparent financial transactions. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 13(1), 2013–2019. <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2024.13.1.1845>
- Proto, E. (2016). Are happy workers more productive. *The IZA World of Labor*, 315, 315. <https://doi.org/10.15185/IZAWOL.315>

- Putra, M. D., Fadilla, S., Shahmi, M. A., Mansur, M., Sahroni, A., & Hamzah, M. M. (2024). Collaboration for social justice: Islamic philanthropy, government, and communities in advancing welfare in marginalized areas. *TAMWIL*. <https://doi.org/10.31958/jtm.v10i2.13797>
- Putra, T. S., Quita, A. G., & Mayasari, A. (2022). Pendampingan Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia dan Model Bisnis Social Enterprise Lingkar Organik. *Jurnal Atma Inovasia*, 2(5), 580–584. <https://doi.org/10.24002/jai.v2i5.5873>
- Putritama, A., Warsono, S., Ali, S., & Handayani, W. (2024). The Impact of Blockchain Technology on Accounting: A Literature Review. *Complex Systems Informatics and Modeling Quarterly*. <https://doi.org/10.7250/csimq.2024-41.03>
- Qian, W., Tilt, C., & Belal, A. (2021). Social and environmental accounting in developing countries: Contextual challenges and insights. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 34(5), 1021–1050. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-03-2021-5172>
- Qingmin, H., & Guojie, Z. (2005). Performance Measurement Based on Balanced Scorecard. 4(3), 67–68.
- Qipu, H. (2024). Analysis of the impact of the use of cloud computing on the construction of accounting informationisation in MD Group. *Information and Knowledge Management*. <https://doi.org/10.23977/infkm.2024.050104>
- Quan, J. (2024). Study on the relationship between ESG performance and corporate performance in the context of dual carbon - Based on the perspective of corporate innovation. *Frontiers in Business, Economics and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.54097/g11mk307>
- Quan, J., & Zhou, Z. (2024). Research on the relationship between ESG performance and performance of listed companies under the dual carbon target-the mediating effect model based on internal control. *International Journal of Global Economics and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.62051/ijgem.v2n3.48>
- Qureshi, N. I., Choudhuri, S. S., Nagamani, Y., Varma, R., & Shah, R. (2024). Ethical considerations of AI in financial services: Privacy, bias, and algorithmic transparency. 2024 International Conference on Knowledge Engineering and Communication Systems (ICKECS), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICKECS61492.2024.10616483>
- Raar, J. (2009). The new global accounting community: Rationale for dialogue to establish its accountability? *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 20(4), 509–527. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CPA.2008.08.001>
- Radhakrishnan, B. D., Uhlig, R. P., & Sinha, B. R. (2019). Blockchain technology enabling sustainable development verification and approval. 5(3), 138–151. <https://doi.org/10.20474/JAHSS-5.3.4>
- Rădoi, A., & Batusaru, C. (2022). Sustainable value of companies. *Management of Sustainable Development*, 14(1), 10–15. <https://doi.org/10.54989/msd-2022-0002>
- Radwan, E.K.A., Györi, Z. and Russo, A. (2025), "Assessing the quantity and quality of corporate social responsibility disclosures on websites: an empirical analysis on largest Islamic and conventional banks worldwide", *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-01-2024-0046>
- Raghavan, K. (2022). ESG Reporting Impact on Accounting, Finance. *Journal of Global Awareness*, 3(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.24073/jga/3/01/09>
- Rahardian, R., Haryanti, R. H., & Kurnia, N. A. S. (2018). Evaluating The Use of Paralegal Approach in Policy Advocacy. 22(1), 14–19. <https://doi.org/10.22146/JKAP.28337>
- Rahman, A., & Sultana, N. (2021). Social Business: A New Chapter of Hybrid Business Toward Sustainable Development. *Research Papers in Economics*, 637–666. https://econpapers.repec.org/RePEc:spr:sprchp:978-3-030-42465-7_47
- Rahman, I. ur, & Tahseen, M. (2023). Analyzing Employee Well-being in Corporate Sectors: Data Insights and Statistical Findings. *Indian Scientific Journal Of Research In Engineering And Management*, 07(03). <https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsrem18225>
- Rahman, M. M., Ahmed, R., & Prideaux, M. (2024). Eco-Control Systems and Organizational Performance – a Systematic Literature Review and Future Research Agenda. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4853925>
- Rahman, R. A. T., & Nie, Q. (2011). The synthesis of Grameen Bank microfinance approaches in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 3(6), 207. <https://doi.org/10.5539/IJEF.V3N6P207>

- Rahmawati, Y., & Amri, M. (2024). The impact of environmental management accounting on sustainable business outcomes: Quantitative evidence from multinational corporations. *EtiHAD: Journal of Islamic Banking and Finance*. <https://doi.org/10.21154/etihad.v4i1.9646>
- Rajagopalan, A., Swaminathan, D., Bajaj, M., Damaj, I., Rathore, R. S., Singh, A. R., Blazek, V., & Prokop, L. (2024). Empowering power distribution: Unleashing the synergy of IoT and cloud computing for sustainable and efficient energy systems. *Results in Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rineng.2024.101949>
- Rajesh, S., Shri Harini, S., Shankaranarayana, R., & Jetty, G. N. (2024). Sustainability Index Analysis using ARIMA & TBATS Approach. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3994605/v1>
- Rajhans, K. (2018). Effective Communication Management: A Key to Stakeholder Relationship Management in Project-Based Organizations. *The IUP Journal of Soft Skills*, 12(4), 47. <https://www.questia.com/library/journal/1P4-2176619858/effective-communication-management-a-key-to-stakeholder>
- Ramadhan, R. A., Mukhibad, H., & Pradani, A. (2024). The Quality of Sustainability Reporting in Indonesia: Government, Employee, Competitor, and Customer Perspectives. *Aktualisasi Jurnal*, 16(1), 39–54. <https://journal.unesa.ac.id/index.php/aj/article/view/30011>
- Ramadugu, R., Doddipatla, L., & Stone, J. (2022). Leveraging Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning for Sustainable Financial Technologies: Innovations, Challenges, and Future Prospects. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management (IJSRM)*. <https://doi.org/10.18535/ijssrm/v10i8.ec01>
- Ramanathan, K. V. (2016). Toward A Theory of Corporate Social Accounting. https://scispace.com/papers/toward-a-theory-of-corporate-social-accounting-1wyim76qil?utm_source=scholar.google.com&utm_content=insights_citation
- Rangkuti, M. B. (2023). Green accounting in enhancing sustainability report disclosure. *International Journal of Research and Review*. <https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20231156>
- Rao, S. S. (2021). The Green New Deal, financial statements and the accounting profession. *The International Journal of Business and Management*, 9(1), 88–92. <https://doi.org/10.37391/IJBMR.090113>
- Rapidis, D. (2014). Denmark's Public Policy Model: Three Success Stories. https://scispace.com/papers/denmark-s-public-policy-model-three-success-stories-ig5yrdkiu0?utm_source=scholar.google.com&utm_content=insights_citation
- Rashid, N., Wan Daud, W. N., Zainol, F. A., Salleh, F., Yazid, A. S., Endut, W. A., Yaakub, N., Ghazali, P. L., Afthanorhan, A., & Abdullah, B. (2018). The Stakeholders Perceptions towards the Corporate Social Responsibility Reporting. *The International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 8(11), 1303–1310. <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/V8-I11/5171>
- Rathore, A., Torres, M. M., Kanungo, P., & Bhatnagar, D. (2003). Participatory budgeting in Brazil. 1–6. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/600841468017069677/Participatory-budgeting-in-Brazil>
- Rattalino, F. (2014). Dynamics of competitive sustainable advantage: A case of Patagonia's shared value and corporate social responsibility. In *Sustainability and Management* (pp. 98–125). <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-4666-4430-4.CH005>
- Rattalino, F. (2018). Circular advantage anyone? Sustainability-driven innovation and circularity at Patagonia, Inc. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 60(5), 747–755. <https://doi.org/10.1002/TIE.21917>
- Rattalino, F. (2019). Sustainability and competitive advantage. In *Social Entrepreneurship* (pp. 1–15). <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-8182-6.ch080>
- Rault, A.-E., Giard, J., Ladner, J., Kra, O., Randrianarivo, R. F., Chenault, M., & Leane, É. (2024). The experiences of medical and pharmacy students participating in a Collaborative Online International Learning on social accountability and Global Health: a qualitative study. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4077130/v1>
- Ravikumar, D., Keoleian, G. A., Walzberg, J., Heath, G., & Heller, M. (2023). Advancing Environmental Assessment of the Circular Economy: Challenges and Opportunities. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4517328>
- Redmayne, N. B., & Vašiček, V. (2021). Editorial: Public sector reporting in different countries—challenges and opportunities. *Public Money & Management*, 41(2), 85–87. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540962.2021.1854974>

- Reece, S., O donnell, E., Liu, F., Wolstenholme, J., Arriaga, F., Ascenzi, G., & Pywell, R. (2024). Assessing the Potential of AI for Spatially Sensitive Nature-Related Financial Risks. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2404.17369>
- Reimsbach, D., & Hahn, R. (2013). The Effects of Negative Incidents in Sustainability Reporting on Investors' Judgments - An Experimental Study of Third-Party versus Self-Disclosure in the Realm of Sustainable Development. Social Science Research Network. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2289842
- Reinecke, P., & Wrona, T. (2024). Social enterprise referents: How social enterprises help organize nascent fields to address complex societal problems. *Journal of Management Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.13169>
- Renaldo, N. (2024). Digital and sustainable accounting for corporate value creation. *Nexus Synergy: A Business Perspective*. <https://doi.org/10.61230/nexus.v2i1.97>
- Renaldo, N. (2024). Integration of Internet of Things and digital accounting systems for marine resources monitoring. *Interconnection: An Economic Perspective Horizon*. <https://doi.org/10.61230/interconnection.v2i1.91>
- Rerung, A., Paranita, E. S., Agung, R. A. A. Y., Salamah, F., & Tandililing, E. M. (2024). The influence of fintech innovations, ESG reporting, and blockchain technology on financial transparency and accountability. *The Journal of Academic Science*. <https://doi.org/10.59613/fb73ds14>
- Resende, R., Cunha, D. R., Porte, M. de S., Oliveira, C. B. M., & Cutrim, S. S. (2023). Emerging Technologies and Sustainability in Brazilian Ports and Terminals: An Analysis of the Current Situation. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3740575/v1>
- Resource-advantage theory (pp. 140–152). (2022). Edward Elgar Publishing eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781839104503.00014>
- Retno, S. A., & Asra'i, M. (2018). Public Consultation: Collaboration of Government and Citizens in The Local Requirements-Based Policy Processing. 73, 09014. <https://doi.org/10.1051/E3SCONF/20187309014>
- Retolaza, J. L., & San-Jose, L. (2021). Understanding social accounting based on evidence. *SAGE Open*, 11(2), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211003865>
- Reustlen, S., & Stawinoga, M. (2024). Do internationally operating companies act in a socially sustainable manner? An empirical comparison of disclosure regarding employee matters and human rights matters. *Deleted Journal*, 32(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00550-024-00556-8>
- Reznikov, R. (2023). The economic impact of cloud technologies on the Industry 4.0 development. *Economic Herald of the Donbas*. [https://doi.org/10.12958/1817-3772-2023-4\(74\)-67-74](https://doi.org/10.12958/1817-3772-2023-4(74)-67-74)
- Riak, G. A., & Bill, D. B. A. (2022). Employee welfare and accountability. *IJRDO - Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, 8(11), 95–97. <https://doi.org/10.53555/sshr.v8i11.5368>
- Ribeiro, L. E. (2019). High-profile data breaches: Designing the right data protection architecture based on the law, ethics and trust. *Applied Marketing Analytics*. <https://doi.org/10.69554/rsbf7891>
- Riduwan, A., & Andajani, A. (2023). The Effect of Corporate Social Responsibility on The Persistence of Financial Performance. *International Journal of Social Science and Human Research*, 06(01). <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijsshr/v6-i1-73>
- Rietig, K. (2013). Sustainable climate policy integration in the European Union. *Environmental Policy and Governance*, 23(4), 297–310. <https://doi.org/10.1002/EET.1616>
- Risk based approach in auditing financial statements. (2023). <https://doi.org/10.53486/isca2023.28>
- Rivera, H. C. (2016). La OCDE y los derechos humanos: el caso de las Directrices para Empresas Multinacionales y los Puntos de Contacto Nacional The OECD and Human Rights: the Case of the Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the National Contact Points. https://www.gob.mx/cms/uploads/attachment/file/47822/HFCR_-_OCDE_y_DH_AMD1_15.pdf
- Robert, M.-C. (2024). *International Regulation of Business Concerning Human Rights Issues*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/law/9780192855855.003.0003>
- Robinson, M. (2023). Well-being economy in Australia: Progress, challenges and opportunities. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hpja.770>

- Robles, C., & Mirosevic, V. (2013). Social Protection Systems in Latin America and the Caribbean: Brazil. *Research Papers in Economics*. <https://EconPapers.repec.org/RePEc:ipc:opager:273>
- Robson, W. B. P., & Busby, C. (2017). Numbers You Can Trust? The Fiscal Accountability of Canada's Senior Governments. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.2958940>
- Robson, W. B. P., & Omran, F. (2019). Show and Tell: Rating the Fiscal Accountability of Canada's Senior Governments. *C.D. Howe Institute Commentary*, 545. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/cdh/commen/545.html>
- Rocha, R., Bandeira, A. M., & Ramos, P. (2024). The Impact of Social Responsibility on the Performance of European Listed Companies. *Sustainability*, 16(17), 7658. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16177658>
- Rogan, M., & Cichello, P. (2017). Informal sector employment and poverty in South Africa: Identifying the contribution of 'informal' sources of income on aggregate poverty measures. *REDI3x3 Working Paper 34*. <https://redi3x3.org/sites/default/files/Rogan%20%26%20Cichello%202017%20REDI3x3%20Working%20Paper%2034%20Informal%20income%20and%20poverty%20reduction%20FINAL.pdf>
- Rogošić, A. (2020). Ethical issues in accounting in prosperity and a financial crisis. *Zeszyty Teoretyczne Rachunkowości*, 109, 215–218. <https://doi.org/10.5604/01.3001.0014.4784>
- Rohrmus, D., Mose, C., Holst, J., Müller, K., Schedlbauer, M., Raschke, U., & Weinert, N. (2011). Sustainable manufacturing at Siemens AG. In *Enabling Manufacturing Competitiveness and Economic Sustainability* (pp. 79–84). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-20183-7_12
- Rony, Z. T., & Pardosi, H. D. (2021). Burnout digital monitoring on employee engagement at the company. *International Journal of Research In Business and Social Science*, 10(7), 156–162. <https://doi.org/10.20525/IJRBS.V10I7.1412>
- Rosa, L. A. B. da, Gomes, C. M., Kneipp, J. M., & Bichueti, R. S. (2014). The Power of Innovation of Implementation Strategies for Sustainability in the Brazilian Mineral Sector. *Iberoamerican Journal of Strategic Management*, 13(1), 49–63. <https://doi.org/10.5585/RIAE.V13I1.2001>
- Rosman, N., Kee, H. W., Hashim, H. A., Devi, K. S. S., Kanagasabapathy, S., & Singh, J. (2023). Environmental and social disclosures dataset for Malaysian public listed companies. *Data in Brief*, 50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2023.109463>
- Ross, A. (2022). Accountants will save the world!. *Water International*, 47(7), 1151–1154. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02508060.2022.2133796>
- Rossi, F., Jorge, S., Jorge de Jesus, M. A., & Caperchione, E. (2015). New Challenges for Public Sector Accounting: IPSAS, Budgetary Reporting, and National Accounts. 38, 237–334. <https://www.iris.unisa.it/handle/11386/4642813>
- Roston, M., Seiger, A., & Mathieson, A. (2024). What's Scope 2 Good for? *Oxford Open Climate Change*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfclm/kgae011>
- Rosyada, T. A., Najah, R. H., Amalia, R., Kusumasari, I. R., & Hidayat, R. (2024). The Role of Stakeholder Involvement in the Decision Making Process of Corporate Social Responsibility. *Jurnal Akuntansi, Manajemen, Dan Perencanaan Kebijakan*, 2(2), 15. <https://doi.org/10.47134/jampk.v2i2.530>
- Rosyadi, R., GS, A. D., Syafii, M., Pospos, A. F. F., & Mentari, T. (2024). Impact investing: Aligning financial goals with social and environmental objectives. *Global International Journal of Innovative Research*. <https://doi.org/10.59613/global.v2i3.120>
- Rouf, K. (2012). Grameen Bank women borrowers' familial and community relationships development in patriarchal Bangladesh. *International Journal of Research Studies in Psychology*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.5861/IJRSP.2012.V1I1.11>
- Round, J. (2020). Integrating the informal sector into social accounting matrices and computable general equilibrium models. *International Labour Organization*. <https://www.ilo.org/media/402266/download>
- Routray, B. B. (2024). The Paradox of Lopsided ESG Branding: Critiquing the Overemphasis on Environmental Aspects at the Cost of Marginalizing Social and Governance Dimensions in Corporate Sustainability Communications. <https://doi.org/10.36227/techrxiv.171387686.66534143/v1>
- Rowe, K. (2004). Analysing and Reporting Performance Indicator Data: "Caress" the data and user beware!

- https://research.acer.edu.au/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1011&context=learning_processes
- Ruffini, M. (2012). La Patagonia en el pensamiento y la acción de un reformista liberal: Ezequiel Ramos Mexia (1852-1935). *Quinto Sol*, 12(12), 127–150. <https://doi.org/10.19137/QS.V12I0.736>
- Ruggie, J. G., & Nelson, T. (2015). Human Rights and the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises: Normative Innovations and Implementation Challenges. *Research Papers in Economics*. <https://EconPapers.repec.org/RePEc:ecl:harjfk:rwp15-045>
- Ruiz-Lozano, M., Tirado-Valencia, P., Sianes, A., Ariza-Montes, A., Fernández-Rodríguez, V., & López-Martín, M. C. (2020). SROI Methodology for Public Administration Decisions about Financing with Social Criteria. A Case Study. *Sustainability*, 12(3), 1070. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12031070>
- Ruppert, E. (2015). *Doing the Transparent State: open government data as performance indicators* (pp. 127–150). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316091265.006>
- Rus, M., Tasente, T., Sandu, M. L., & Badea, M. M. (2022). The functions of social indicators in the elaboration of public policy proposals. Case study: Public health policies. *Technium Social Sciences Journal*, 30, 574–588. <https://doi.org/10.47577/tssj.v30i1.6315>
- Rusconi, G. (2012). Social Accounting, Ethics and Solidarity. *Economia Aziendale Online*, 3, 51–62. <https://doi.org/10.13132/2038-5498/2005.3.51-62>
- Rusconi, G., & Contrafatto, M. (2013). Corporate social accounting and accounts: a duty of accountability. *Impresa Progetto - Electronic Journal of Management*, 2(2), 1–17. https://www.impresaprogetto.it/sites/impresaprogetto.it/files/articles/ipejm_articolco_n_6_2_2013_rusconi_def.pdf
- Rusu, T. M., Odagiu, A., Pop, H., & Paulette, L. (2024). Sustainability Performance Reporting. *Sustainability*, 16(19), 8538. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16198538>
- Sacchetti, S., & Tortia, E. (2018). The notion of social responsibility across different types of nonprofit and for profit organizations. *Research Papers in Economics*. <http://oro.open.ac.uk/48299/>
- Sacchetti, S., & Tortia, E. (2019). The notion of social responsibility in social enterprises and non-profit organizations. <https://doi.org/10.22495/CPR19P13>
- Saeed, M. M., Mohammed, S. S., Kumari, M. K., & Pandey, G. (2024). The impact of corporate environmental reporting on the financial performance of listed manufacturing firms in Ghana (Csr-24-2036). *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.3015>
- Saeed, M., Kumari, DR. M., & Mudliar, DR. M. (2024). Did Mandatory IFRS Adoption Affect Accounting Quality in Emerging Economies? <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4720880>
- Sætra, H. S. (2021). A framework for evaluating and disclosing the ESG related impacts of AI with the SDGs. *Sustainability*, 13(15), 8503. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13158503>
- Saha, A., Dunne, T., & Dixon, R. (2021). Carbon disclosure, performance and the green reputation of higher educational institutions in the UK. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAOC-09-2020-0138>
- Salcedo, S. T. L., Delgado, A. F., Alcaide-Aranda, L. I. del C., & Parks, D. I. G. (2022). Theoretical approach: accountability in public management. *International Journal of Health Sciences (IJHS)*, 620–628. <https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6ns7.11211>
- Salim, T. A., El Barachi, M., Mohamed, A. A. D., Halstead, S., & Babreak, N. (2022). The mediator and moderator roles of perceived cost on the relationship between organizational readiness and the intention to adopt blockchain technology. *Technology in Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2022.102108>
- Salman, M. (2016). The Value Relevance of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Assurance. <https://openrepository.aut.ac.nz/handle/10292/10112>
- Saltaji, I. (2016). Business cloud and corporate governance: Making high quality decisions & sustainable growth. *Internal Auditing and Risk Management*, 41, 73–79.
- Samakovlis, E. (2019). Natural Capital Accounting in Action. 1–2. <https://documents.worldbank.org/curated/pt/244851568693019038/Natural-Capital-Accounting-in-Action>

- Samuel, G., Lucivero, F., Knowles, B., & Wright, K. (2024). Contention in Carbon Accounting in the Digital Industry: The Need to Move towards Decision-Making in Uncertainty. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202402.0090.v1>
- Samuel, S. A. (2025). Carbon pricing mechanisms for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and encouraging sustainable industrial practices. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.25.2.0350>
- Sánchez Chaparro, T., Gómez-Frías, V., Onrubia, F., & Sánchez-Naranjo, M. J. (2024). Do business-wide sustainability labels boost consumer trust and enhance perceptions of sustainability information quality? An experiment among Z-generation members. *Young Consumers: Insight and Ideas for Responsible Marketers*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/yc-03-2024-2035>
- Sankaran, K. (2022). Transition from the Informal to the Formal Economy: The Need for a Multi-faceted Approach. *The Indian Journal of Labour Economics*, 65(3), 625–642. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41027-022-00398-2>
- Santana, J. C. C., Alcântara, M. L., Nascimento, C. A. O. do, & Celma, R. (2023). Green Hospital Oxygen and Hydrogen Productions and Distributions in Brazilian Microregions: A Planetary Boundaries and Environmental Cost Accounting Approach. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4597372>
- Santiago, S. A., Do Carmo, A. P. F., Da Silva, A. S., De Souza, S. M. F. R., & Oliveira, T. L. (2024). The importance of accounting transparency in public management for the strengthening of social control. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.9790/487x-2611024752>
- Santos, A., Teixeira, M. C., & Vieira, J. (2024). Voluntary social disclosure in an emerging country: The case of Brazil. *Administrative Sciences*, 14(12), 339. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci14120339>
- Santos, B. W. de V. (2019). O Serviço Nacional de Aprendizagem Comercial (SENAC) visto pela metodologia SROI - Social return on investment. <https://app.uff.br/riuff/bitstream/1/12751/1/TCC%20BERNARDO%20WENZEL%20DE%20VASCONCELLOS%20%20SANTOS.pdf>
- Santos, C. R. dos, Jesus, R. de, Oliveira, R. F. P., & Guerreiro, R. L. de S. (2024). Environmental accounting as a strategy in business management. *Concilium*. <https://doi.org/10.53660/clm-3642-24m03b>
- Santos, T. M., & Zaratan, M. L. (1997). Mineral resources accounting: A technique for monitoring the Philippine mining industry for sustainable development. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, 15, 155–160. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0743-9547\(97\)00003-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0743-9547(97)00003-2)
- Saputri, I., & Sisdianto, E. (2024). Akuntansi Pertanggungjawaban Sosial dan Lingkungan : Konsep, Implementasi, dan Tantangan. *Jurnal Riset Akuntansi*, 2(4), 198–212. <https://doi.org/10.54066/jura-itb.v2i4.2740>
- Sargiotis, D. (2025). Fostering ethical and inclusive AI: A human-centric paradigm for social impact. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.6.0125.0529>
- Sari, R., & Muslim, M. (2024). Corporate Transparency and Environmental Reporting: Trends and Benefits. 4(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.37531/amar.v4i1.1448>
- Sarker, A. E. (2010). Civic Engagement and Public Accountability: An Analysis with Particular Reference to Developing Countries. <https://scispace.com/papers/civic-engagement-and-public-accountability-an-analysis-with-1mx43l7gcg>
- Sauermann, J. (2016). Performance measures and worker productivity. *The IZA World of Labor*, 260. <https://doi.org/10.15185/IZAWOL.260>
- Sauviat, C. (2022). Deux victoires syndicales historiques chez Starbucks et Amazon. Et après ? *Chronique Internationale de l'IRES*, N° 178(2), 47–60. <https://doi.org/10.3917/chii.178.0047>
- Savova, K. (2021). Differences in application of accounting standards – current aspects. 15(1), 111–123. <https://doi.org/10.26552/EMS.2021.1.111-123>
- Sawant, N., & Naik, S. (2022). Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility Activities Communicating About a Brand. *International Journal For Science Technology And Engineering*, 10(12), 1645–1653. <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2022.48310>

- Sawhill, J. C., & Williamson, D. (2001). Measuring What Matters in Nonprofits. 98. <https://www.bernuthconsulting.com/wp-content/uploads/Measuring-What-Matters-In-Nonprofits.pdf>
- Sayed, O. A., & Nefzi, A. (2024). The Impact of Intellectual Capital on Sustainable Performance: Banking Sector in Saudi Arabia. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202404.1887.v1>
- Sayed, S. M., Hammad, T. A., & Abdel Baset, W. F. (2024). The effect of accounting disclosure of environmental and social responsibility in the digital business environment to improve performance and maximize the value of an enterprise" (A field study on some cement industry companies). *Journal of Environmental Science (Print)*, 0(0), 0. <https://doi.org/10.21608/jes.2024.319111.1866>
- Schaltegger, S., Zvezdov, D., Alvarez-Etxeberria, I., Csutora, M., & Günther, E. (2015). Corporate carbon and climate accounting. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-27718-9>
- Schaper, S., & Pollach, I. (2021). Modern slavery statements: From regulation to substantive supply chain reporting. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 313, 127872. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2021.127872>
- Schleiter, A. J., Maagaard, C. A., & Rasmussen, R. K. (2017). "Speaking through the other": Countering counter-narratives through stakeholders' stories. 83–102. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315681214-10>
- Schmidt, M., Spieth, H., Haubach, C., & Kühne, C. (2019). EEP and EEP2 – Energy efficiency at the Siemens Industrial Park in Karlsruhe and Siemens' Rastatt plant. In *100 Pioneers in Efficient Resource Management*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-56745-6_76
- Schnackenberg, A., & Tomlinson, E. C. (2016). Organizational Transparency A New Perspective on Managing Trust in Organization-Stakeholder Relationships. *Journal of Management*, 42(7), 1784–1810. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206314525202>
- Schneider, I., & Mattia, T. (2024). Carbon accounting in the cloud: A methodology for allocating emissions across data center users. *arXiv*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2406.09645>
- Schneider, I., & Mattia, T. (2024). Carbon accounting in the Cloud: a methodology for allocating emissions across data center users. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2406.09645>
- Schoenmaker, D., & Schramade, W. (2019). Investing for long-term value creation. *Journal of Sustainable Finance and Investment*, 9(4), 356–377. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2019.1625012>
- Schönsleben, P., Friemann, F., & Rippel, M. (2016). Approaches for the Integration of the Social and Environmental Dimensions of Sustainability in Manufacturing Companies (Vol. 488, pp. 868–875). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-51133-7_102
- Schoormann, T., & Di Maria, M. (2024). Business process pattern for improving social sustainability. *Australasian Journal of Information Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.3127/ajis.v28.4949>
- Scott, S. (2013). Accounting for Social Value. *Canadian Journal of Nonprofit and Social Economy Research*, 4(2), 84. <https://doi.org/10.22230/CJNSER.2013V4N2A154>
- Secăreanu, C., Gruiescu, M., & Morega, D. (2014). Statistical Indicators-evaluation and Analysis Tools the European Statistical System. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 109, 1152–1157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SBSPRO.2013.12.603>
- Seele, P. (2017). Predictive sustainability control: A review assessing the potential to transfer big data driven 'predictive policing' to corporate sustainability management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 153, 673–686. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.10.175>
- Sefene, E. M., Chen, C.-C. A., Tsai, Y.-H., Lai, T., & Huang, D. (2024). Assessment of Sustainable and Machinable Performance Metrics of Monocrystalline Silicon Carbide Wafer with Electrophoretic Assisted Multi-Diamond Wire Sawing. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4062840/v1>
- Sekáč, P. (2023). Key Performance Indicators in the Context of Sustainable Business Development. <https://doi.org/10.53465/edamba.2022.9788022550420.345-354>
- Selvakumar, P. (2025). Social Sustainability Audits and Certifications. *Advances in Logistics, Operations, and Management Science Book Series*, 221–248. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-9740-4.ch008>
- Selvan, T. (2013). Labour Welfare Measures – Step Stone of Healthy Industrial Relations. *Journal of Contemporary Research in Management*, 6(3). <http://www.psgim.ac.in/journals/index.php/jcrm/article/download/150/157>

- Septiawansyah, M. R. P., Putri, T. J., & Sopian, Y. M. (2024). The position of environmental accounting in improving the sustainability capacity of companies in indonesia. Deleted Journal, 1(8), 28–33. <https://doi.org/10.61796/ijeirc.v1i8.183>
- Serafeim, G., & Velez Caicedo, G. (2022). Machine Learning Models for Prediction of Scope 3 Carbon Emissions. SSRN Electronic Journal. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4149874>
- Serebryakova, T. Y. (2023). Accounting Approach to Corporate Social Responsibility. Учёт, Анализ, Аудит, 9(6), 36–49. <https://doi.org/10.26794/2408-9303-2022-9-6-36-49>
- Serebryakova, T. Y. (2023). Accounting Approach to Corporate Social Responsibility. Учёт, Анализ, Аудит, 9(6), 36–49. <https://doi.org/10.26794/2408-9303-2022-9-6-36-49>
- Seretakis, A., & Mezzanotte, F. E. (2023). Corporate Sustainability Reporting and Blockchain. European Company Law. <https://doi.org/10.54648/eucl2023018>
- Serhan, A. (2020). Development of accounting information systems and the barriers faced in developing nations. 10(2), 84–96. <https://doi.org/10.24818/BEMAN/2020.10.2-06>
- Serhan, A. (2020). Development of accounting information systems and the barriers faced in developing nations. 10(2), 84–96. <https://doi.org/10.24818/BEMAN/2020.10.2-06>
- Serra, V., Ledda, A., Ruiu, M. G. G., Calia, G., & De Montis, A. (2022). Integrating adaptation to climate change into sustainable development policy and planning. Sustainability, 14, 7634. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14137634>
- Setiawan, T., Sugianto, M., Dahlan, K. S. S., & Purwanti, A. (2023). Corporate Social Responsibility: Qualitative and Quantitative Content Analysis Study. The Indonesian Accounting Review, 13(2), 249–262. <https://doi.org/10.14414/tiar.v13i2.3474>
- Setyaningsih, S., Widjojo, R., & Kelle, P. (2024). Challenges and opportunities in sustainability reporting: a focus on small and medium enterprises (SMEs). Cogent Business & Management, 11(1), 2298215. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2298215>
- Shaftelskaya, N. V., & Gromova, A. S. (2017). Social Well-being as a Criterion of Fiscal Policy Efficiency. 230–234. <https://doi.org/10.15405/EPSBS.2017.01.31>
- Shah, N. M. (2016). in the Middle East: Volume, Trends and Consequences. https://scispace.com/papers/in-the-middle-east-volume-trends-and-consequences-37z6fv5s6k?utm_source=scholar.google.com&utm_content=insights_citation
- Sharma, A., & Wazal, M. S. (2025). ESG Marketing: Trends and Current Practices. Journal of Media & Management. [https://doi.org/10.47363/jmm/2025\(7\)185](https://doi.org/10.47363/jmm/2025(7)185)
- Sharma, A., Jhamb, D., & Mittal, A. (2020). Food supply chain traceability by using blockchain technology. Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience, 17(6), 2630–2636. <https://doi.org/10.1166/jctn.2020.8958>
- Sharma, A., Kumari, A., Sharma, V., Al-Farouni, M., & Mishra, S. (2023). Consolidation of cloud computing in smart and sustainable environment. 2023 International Conference for Technological Engineering and its Applications in Sustainable Development (ICTEASD), 86–91. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICTEASD57136.2023.10585245>
- Sharma, D. N. (2023). The Ethics of Investment: Balancing Profit with Social Responsibility. Indian Scientific Journal Of Research In Engineering And Management, 07(03). <https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsrem18237>
- Sharma, P. (2025). The Transformative Role of Blockchain Technology in Management Accounting and Auditing: A Strategic and Empirical Analysis. Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management. <https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i17s.2719>
- Sharma, S. K., Misra, S. K., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Rana, N. P. (2023). A Hierarchical Framework of Challenges for Blockchain Adoption in Public Services. Implications for decision-makers. Scandinavian Journal of Information Systems, 35(1), 79–122.
- Sharma, S., & Starik, M. (2004). Stakeholders, the Environment and Society. Research Papers in Economics. <https://econpapers.repec.org/bookchap/elgeebook/3158.htm>
- Shchyrba, I., Lagovska, O., Demianyshyna, O., Myronchuk, Z., & Shebeshten, E. (2024). Regulatory challenges and cybersecurity approaches in cloud-based accounting systems. Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management. <https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i2s.332>
- Shenbagaraman, S., & Gnanavel, B. K. (2023). Sustainability Metrics for Wind turbine life cycle with environmental impacts, embodied energy and Human toxicity using Open LCA. <https://doi.org/10.22541/au.169505060.04933883/v1>

- Sheng-bang, S. (2012). Research on the Application and Countermeasures of Human Resource Accounting. *Journal of Hubei University of Economics*. Retrieved from <https://consensus.app/papers/research-on-the-application-and-countermeasures-of-human-sheng-bang/7abdc3dbd8a45a19a1055cf056c75d3e/>
- Shetty, R. (2025). The future of decentralized supply chain management with blockchain technology. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.67120>
- Shkromyda, V., Myhovysh, T., & Kuzyshyn, I. (2024). Strategic stakeholder analysis for forming integrated corporate reporting in the context of increasing social responsibility. *Intellect XXI*. <https://doi.org/10.32782/2415-8801/2024-4.11>
- Shkulipa, L. (2017). Research of the main advantages in social oriented accounting. *Technology Audit and Production Reserves*, 3, 14–19. <https://doi.org/10.15587/2312-8372.2017.101925>
- Shmelev, S., & Speck, S. (2018). Green fiscal reform in Sweden: Econometric assessment of the carbon and energy taxation scheme. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 90, 969–981. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.03.032>
- Shu, H., & Tan, W. (2022). Does carbon control policy risk affect corporate ESG performance? *Economic Modelling*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2022.106148>
- Siahaya, I. A. (2013). Employee Relations dan Corporate Reputation Belajar dari Google. 2(1), 64–70. <https://doi.org/10.36388/IA.V2I1.742>
- Signori, S. (2017). From “Managing for Stakeholders” to “Managing with Stakeholders”: When Stakeholders Can Help Rescue a Company (Vol. 46, pp. 167–192). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-62785-4_8
- Silaban, A., & Sitorus, S. (2024). Leveraging renewable energy and ESG for a sustainable future: The mediating role of green accounting in carbon emission management and the green economy. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeeep.18154>
- Silva, N. G. da, Cunha, F. B., Azevedo, M., & Moxotó, A. C. de A. (2016). Balanço social no setor público: uma análise comparativa no governo do estado do Amazonas. *Revista de Administração de Roraima*, 6(1), 156–173. <https://doi.org/10.18227/2237-8057RARR.V6I1.3477>
- Silva, R. do C., Ayres da Silva, A. M., & de Tomi, G. C. (2024). Assessment of the Correlation of a Mining Company’s Performance Indicators Based on the Sdgs of the 2030 Agenda and the Sector’s Mining Principles. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4820093>
- Silveira, S. da S. (2010). Estado da arte do social: uma perscrutação às práticas de responsabilidade social empresarial. 103, 532–553. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0101-66282010000300007>
- Sim, S., King, H., & Price, E. (2016). The Role of Science in Shaping Sustainable Business: Unilever Case Study (pp. 291–302). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-20571-7_15
- Simeonov, S. (2022). Partnerships between non-governmental organizations and businesses in the context of sustainability. *Economic Thought Journal*, 67(3), 354–398. <https://doi.org/10.56497/etj2267305>
- Simić, M. (2023). Carbon accounting in Singapore. *Zastita prirode*. <https://doi.org/10.5937/zaspri2302051s>
- Simionescu, L. N., & Dumitrescu, D. (2018). Empirical Study towards Corporate Social Responsibility Practices and Company Financial Performance. Evidence for Companies Listed on the Bucharest Stock Exchange. *Sustainability*, 10(9), 3141. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU10093141>
- Sindelar, M., & Dlsak, L. (2021). The future of audit: Literature review of possibilities of automation and blockchain technology. In *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems* (Vol. 153, pp. 25–35). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-55277-0_3
- Singh, A. (2024). Sustainability Practices in Business Operations. *International Journal for Research Publication and Seminar*, 15(3), 18–34. <https://doi.org/10.36676/jrps.v15.i3.1424>

- Singkali, A. C., & Sasongko, G. (2022). Creating Shared Value for Millennial Age: Case Study of PT. Nestle Indonesia. *Jurnal Studi Sosial Dan Politik*, 6(1), 126–133. <https://doi.org/10.19109/jssp.v6i1.11536>
- Sinha, M., & Arora, G. K. (2024). Sectoral analysis of Principle 2 of BRSR filings. *International Journal of Education, Modern Management, Applied Science & Social Science*. [https://doi.org/10.62823/6.2\(ii\).6711](https://doi.org/10.62823/6.2(ii).6711)
- Sinha, M., & Arora, G. K. (2024). Sectoral analysis of principle 2 of BRSR filings. *International Journal of Education, Modern Management, Applied Science & Social Science*. [https://doi.org/10.62823/6.2\(ii\).6711](https://doi.org/10.62823/6.2(ii).6711)
- Siqueira, J. R. M. de, & Fernandes, F. da S. (2009). Balanços sociais no brasil: uma análise crítica das práticas corporativas. 14(2), 18–31. <https://doi.org/10.12979/RCMCCUERJ.V14I2.5526>
- Siqueira, J. R. M. de, & Fernandes, F. da S. (2009). Balanços sociais no brasil: uma análise crítica das práticas corporativas. 14(2), 18–31. <https://doi.org/10.12979/RCMCCUERJ.V14I2.5526>
- Sirisha, G. L., Sukumar, S., Iyer, A. S., & Nakitende, M. G. (2024). Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Investing. *Advances in Finance, Accounting, and Economics Book Series*, 45–66. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-2117-1.ch002>
- Sisaye, S., & Birnberg, J. G. (2024). The evolution of sustainability accounting and reporting in the United States: applications of the ecological anthropology and industrial ecology frameworks. *Journal of Business and Socio-Economic Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jbsed-03-2023-0020>
- Sitorus, L. M., & Febrianto, S. (2024). The dynamics of implementing sustainability accounting standards in improving financial transparency and accountability. *GEOFORUM*. <https://doi.org/10.30598/geoforumvol3iss2pp119-129>
- Sneidere, R., & Vigante, I. (2014). Importance of social and sustainability reporting in ensuring transparency and disclosure. <https://dspace.lu.lv/dspace/handle/7/31165>
- Soares, S., Massuga, F., Leite Novak, M. A., Kuasoski, M., & Gonzaga, C. A. M. (2024). Corporate social responsibility: corporate records related to the effects of climate change. *Contribuciones a Las Ciencias Sociales*, 17(10), e12008. <https://doi.org/10.55905/revconv.17n.10-391>
- Sobana, H., & Husaeni, U. (2019). Economic empowerment of poor women with Grameen Bank patterns on Baitul Mal wa Tamwil Ibadurrahman. *International Journal of Islamic Economics and Finance Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.25272/IJISEF.450600>
- Sobhan, N., Hassan, A., & Fletcher, M. (2018). Corporate social responsibility and assurance disclosure practice: an investigation of the top 100 companies in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Sustainable Economy*, 10(3), 283–313. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJSE.2018.092863>
- Social and Environmental Accounting as the Implementation of Corporate Social Responsibility in Course Developing of Accounting Study Program. (2015). *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 6(20), 101–107. <https://www.iiste.org/Journals/index.php/RJFA/article/download/26316/26983>
- Social Policy in Denmark. (2022). *Social Work*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/obo/9780195389678-0314>
- Social Responsibility and Financial Performance: The Case of STOXX Europe Index. (2022). *IntechOpen eBooks*. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.93573>
- Sodiya, E. O., Atadoga, A., Umoga, U. J., & Lottu, O. A. (2024). Evaluating the impact of cloud computing on accounting firms: A review of efficiency, scalability, and data security. *Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances*. <https://doi.org/10.30574/gjeta.2024.18.2.0027>
- Solaymani, S. (2020). Assessing the economic and social impacts of fiscal policies: Evidence from recent Malaysian tax adjustments. *Journal of Economic Studies*, 47(3), 671–694. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JES-09-2018-0334>
- Solomon, J. (2005). Does social and environmental reporting nurture trust and stakeholder engagement and reduce risk. <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/77679/>
- Solomon, S. (2022). How Could Local Communities Regulate Companies to Assess the Overlooked Environmental and Social Impacts of Industrial Projects? (pp. 1–24). *Springer eBooks*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-74725-1_12-1

- Sonntag, R., Lewis, G. J., & Raszkowski, A. (2022). The Importance of Implementing SDGs by Small and Medium Size Enterprises: Evidence from Germany and Poland. *Sustainability*, 14(24), 16950. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142416950>
- Soonsiripanichkul, boonyawat, & ngamcharoenmongkol, piya. (2019). The influence of sustainable development goals (SDGs) on customer-based store equity (CBSE). *Journal of Business and Retail Management Research*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.24052/JBRMR/V13ISSP/ART-5>
- Sotti, F., & Santucci, S. (2023). Development in sustainability reporting: Early evidence on core indicators. *Risk Governance and Control: Financial Markets & Institutions*, 13(2), 52–66. <https://doi.org/10.22495/rgcv13i2p5>
- Sousa, R. P. de. (2013). Evaluating Social Protection Policies: Lessons from Brazil. <https://opendocs.ids.ac.uk/opendocs/handle/20.500.12413/3140>
- South, D. W. (2024). Climate risk disclosure: Expanding regardless of SEC rule implementation. *Climate and Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gas.22427>
- Soyombo, O. T., Odunaiya, O. G., Okoli, C. E., Usiagu, G. S., & Ekemezie, I. O. (2024). Sustainability reporting in corporations: A comparative review of practices in the USA and Europe. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.30574/gscarr.2024.18.2.061>
- Spar, D. L., & Dail, J. (2002). Of Measurement and Mission: Accounting for Performance in Non-Governmental Organizations. *Chicago Journal of International Law*, 3(1), 15. <https://chicagounbound.uchicago.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1180&context=cjil>
- Stefanov, Y. (2023). Application of the Power Theory of Exchange and Money to Social Phenomena: What is money? <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202311.1867.v1>
- Stender, F., Moslener, U., & Pauw, W. P. (2020). More than money: Does climate finance support capacity building? *Applied Economics Letters*, 27, 1247–1251. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2019.1676384>
- Sterner, T. (2020). The carbon tax in Sweden. In *Standing up for a Sustainable World*. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800371781.00014>
- Stojanović-Blab, M., & Blab, D. (2024). Mandatory sustainability reporting – evolution of regulation in the european union. *Facta Universitatis. Series: Economics and Organization*, 241. <https://doi.org/10.22190/fueo240730016s>
- Stojanović-Blab, M., & Blab, D. (2024). Mandatory sustainability reporting – evolution of regulation in the european union. *Facta Universitatis. Series: Economics and Organization*, 241. <https://doi.org/10.22190/fueo240730016s>
- Strapazzon, C. L. (2019). A dança dos números da previdência social Revisitando a auditoria do TCU de 2017. *Espaço Jurídico*, 20(1), 137–172. <https://doi.org/10.18593/EJLL.12749>
- Stros, M., & Říha, D. (2020). What is the value of growth companies? A methodical approach to the valuation of growth companies in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical sector. *Trendy v Podnikání*, 10(1), 73–86. https://doi.org/10.24132/JBT.202.10.1.73_86
- Stühlinger, S. (2022). The Importance of Planning: How Financial Management Competencies Affect the Performance of Nonprofit Organizations. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 211–237. <https://doi.org/10.37808/paq.46.3.2>
- Suaryana, A. (2011). Implementasi akuntansi sosial dan lingkungan di indonesia. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Dan Bisnis*, 6(1). <https://scispace.com/papers/implementasi-akuntansi-sosial-dan-lingkungan-di-indonesia-28i4sgjaya>
- Suboyin, A., Sreedeth, D., Rahman, M. M., Diaz, S., Kannan, J., Sreedeth, H. S., Rehman, A., Valiyapalathingal, M., Noor, Y., & Somra, B. (2024). Transforming Strategy with AI-Augmented Personas for Sustainability, Data Management, Regulatory Frameworks, Healthcare and Compliance. *ADIPEC*. <https://doi.org/10.2118/222041-ms>
- Sugiarta, I., Ardina, C., Parnata, I. K., & Bagiada, I. M. (2023). Work readiness of accounting vocational education students in facing the era of the Industry 4.0 and Society 5.0. *International Journal of Education, Learning and Development*. <https://doi.org/10.37745/ijeld.2013/vol11n918>
- Suhardjo, S., Junaedi, A., Andi, A., Putri, N. Y., Renaldo, N., Musa, S., & Cecilia, C. (2024). Social accounting in sustainability reporting for digital banking. *Nexus Synergy: A Business Perspective*. <https://doi.org/10.61230/nexus.v2i1.96>

- Suhardjo, S., Junaedi, A., Andi, A., Putri, N. Y., Renaldo, N., Musa, S., & Cecilia, C. (2024). Social Accounting in Sustainability Reporting for Digital Banking. *Nexus Synergy: A Business Perspective*. <https://doi.org/10.61230/nexus.v2i1.96>
- Suhardjo, S., Wati, Y., Renaldo, N., Musa, S., & Cecilia, C. (2024). Implementation of digital accounting on the effectiveness of corporate social responsibility and environmental, social, and governance reporting. *Interconnection: An Economic Perspective Horizon*. <https://doi.org/10.61230/interconnection.v2i1.90>
- Sukhonos, V., & Makarenko, I. (2017). Sustainability reporting in the light of corporate social responsibility development: economic and legal issues. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 15(1), 166–174. [https://doi.org/10.21511/PPM.15\(1-1\).2017.03](https://doi.org/10.21511/PPM.15(1-1).2017.03)
- Sukiyarningsih, T. W., & Hasanah, A. N. (2024). Green Accounting, CSR, Sustainability Disclosure dan ROA. *Ekonomis*, 8(1), 428. <https://doi.org/10.33087/ekonomis.v8i1.1470>
- Sukmono, S., Kuncara, T., & Ayanto, H. K. (2023). Analisis penerapan green accounting pada kinerja keuangan pt unilever indonesia tbk. *Jurnal Ilmiah Multidisiplin*, 2(01), 158–166. <https://doi.org/10.56127/jukim.v2i01.498>
- Suljic, V. (2025). Strategic leadership in AI-driven digital transformation: Ethical governance, innovation management, and sustainable practices for global enterprises and SMEs. *SBS Journal of Applied Business Research*. <https://doi.org/10.70301/jour/sbs-jabr/2025/13/1/2>
- Sultan, K. H., Ali, N. J. M., Mohammed, M. T., & Salman, A. J. (2024). Sustainability Accounting Measuring and Reporting Environmental Costs. *Journal of Ecohumanism*. <https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v3i5.3925>
- Sultan, M. (2017). The role of microfinance on poverty alleviation and its impacts on people and society: Evidence from the Grameen Bank. *Journal of Poverty, Investment and Development*, 38, 7–13.
- Sultani, D. (2020). Towards a shareholder-driven transition to a green economy. N/A. <https://doi.org/10.17863/CAM.51720>
- Suman, Mrs. (2021). Corporate social responsibility (csr)- an initiative by corporate world. *Global Journal for Research Analysis*, 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.36106/GJRA/5111310>
- Sumiyati, S., Masharyono, M., Purnama, R., & Pratama, K. F. (2016). The Influence of Social Work Environment on Employee Productivity in Manufacturing in Indonesia. 649–652. <https://doi.org/10.2991/GCBME-16.2016.123>
- Sun, Y., Li, J., Lu, M., & Guo, Z. (2024). Study of the impact of the big data era on accounting and auditing. *Frontiers in Business, Economics and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.54097/0fa7xk79>
- Sunder, S. (2005). Social Norms versus Standards of Accounting. *Research Papers in Economics*. <https://ideas.repec.org/p/ysm/somwrk/amz2525.html>
- Surya, F., Pramudita, T., Wijaya, D., Permadi, D., & Putri, E. (2023). The influence of work culture on the effectiveness of internal audit: A qualitative study. *Golden Ratio of Auditing Research*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.52970/grar.v3i1.372>
- Suryani, C. G. (2013). Disclosure of corporate social responsibility (CSR) and the impact on mining companies. 3(02), 141–148. <https://doi.org/10.14414/TIAR.V3I02.200>
- Suryawan, I. N., & Tjahyanti, S. (2017). Evaluasi pelaksanaan corporate social responsibility pada pt unilever indonesia, tbk. 14(2), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.25105/MRAAI.V14I2.2055>
- Susilowati, D. (2014). Social And Environmental Accounting: A Response To The Company's Operational Externalities. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 3(3), 184–190. <https://www.ijstr.org/final-print/mar2014/Social-And-Environmental-Accounting-A-Response-To-The-Companys-Operational-Externalities.pdf>
- Sutisman, E., & Noy, I. (2024). Accounting for Society: Examining the Interplay between Financial Reporting and Social Responsibility. <https://doi.org/10.60079/aaar.v2i1.166>
- Suwaldiman, S. (2000). Pentingnya pertimbangan tanggung jawab sosial perusahaan dalam penetapan tujuan pelaporan keuangan dalam conceptual framework pelaporan keuangan Indonesia. 4(1), 67–98. <https://journal.uui.ac.id/JAAI/article/view/11296/8636>
- Svensson, M., & Hultkrantz, L. (2017). A comparison of cost-benefit and cost-effectiveness analysis in practice: Divergent policy practices in Sweden. *Nordic Journal of Health Economics*, 5(2), 41–53. <https://doi.org/10.5617/NJHE.1592>

- Swalih, M. M., Ram, R., & Tew, E. (2024). Environmental management accounting for strategic decision-making: A systematic literature review. *Business Strategy and the Environment*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3828>
- Swastiningsih, S., Aziz, A., & Dharta, Y. (2024). The Role of Social Media in Shaping Public Opinion: A Comparative Analysis of Traditional vs. Digital Media Platforms. 1(6), 620–626. <https://doi.org/10.59613/fm1dpm66>
- Swift, T. A. (2001). Trust, reputation and corporate accountability to stakeholders. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 10(1), 16–26. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8608.00208>
- Syahputri, P. P., Fadillah, R., & Suherman, A. (2024). Literature study: mental accounting, transparency and accountability in sdgs 16. *Deleted Journal*, 1(8), 11–18. <https://doi.org/10.61796/ijeirc.v1i8.181>
- Syam, M. A., Djaddang, S., Adam, A., Merawati, E. E., & Roziq, M. (2024). Carbon accounting: Its implications on accounting practices and corporate sustainability reports. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijefi.16333>
- Syvris, K., & Grose, C. (2024). Corporate social responsibility and its influence on consumer behavior. <https://doi.org/10.12681/iccmi.7602>
- Tahir, A., Lande, A., Ermawati, Y., Basannang, S. M., & Junaedy, J. (2025). Analysis of the Role of Social Accounting in Addressing Income Inequality and Environmental Influences. *Paradoks: Jurnal Ilmu Ekonomi*. <https://doi.org/10.57178/paradoks.v8i1.1078>
- Tamanna, M. (2018). Employees' Perception about Social Accounting Practice by Commercial Banks of Bangladesh. *Asian Business Review*, 8(2), 63–68. <https://doi.org/10.18034/ABR.V8I2.154>
- Tan, J., Hua, M., & Li, C. (2023). Can Government Environmental Audit Improve Green Innovation? <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4601515>
- Tang, S., & Demeritt, D. (2018). Climate Change and Mandatory Carbon Reporting: Impacts on Business Process and Performance. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 27, 437–455. <https://doi.org/10.1002/BSE.1985>
- Tanima, F., & Brown, J. (2016). Dialogic accounting and accountability systems for women's empowerment: A Participatory Action Research (PAR) case study in a microfinance-NGO in Bangladesh. *Asia-Pacific Interdisciplinary Research in Accounting Conference*, 1–32. Retrieved from <https://consensus.app/papers/dialogic-accounting-and-accountability-systems-for-tanima-brown/f8cdddac9d5355218e1f43a89c966f3d/>
- Tanwar, S., Parmar, A., Kumari, A., Jadav, N., Hong, W.-C., & Sharma, R. (2022). Blockchain adoption to secure the food industry: Opportunities and challenges. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14127036>
- Tarantino, M., & Colantoni, L. (2024). The Impacts of the European Green Capital Designation on Urban Sustainability Accounting Frameworks: The Case of Brescia, Italy. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4764167>
- Tarigan, S. T., Agustiningrum, A., & Nauw, E. T. (2024). Integrating Artificial Intelligence and Corporate Social Responsibility: A New Frontier for Sustainable Brand Enhancement. *International Journal of Social and Human*. <https://doi.org/10.59613/s4yjfr84>
- Tariq, A., & Rahim, M. R. U. (2024). Role of Artificial Intelligence in Enhancing Sustainability Reporting and Green Accounting in Industry 4.0. *Acta Marisiensis. Seria Technologica*. <https://doi.org/10.62838/amset-2024-0015>
- Tarquinio, L., Raucci, D., Verna, I., & Benedetti, R. (2015). GRI performance indicators and corporate variables. Evidence from Italian listed companies' corporate social responsibility reports. *Progress in Industrial Ecology, An International Journal*. <https://www.inderscienceonline.com/doi/abs/10.1504/PIE.2015.073420>
- Tauringana, V. (2021). Sustainability reporting challenges in developing countries: towards management perceptions research evidence-based practices. 11(2), 194–215. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAEE-01-2020-0007>
- te Velde, D. W. (2009). The impact of codes and standards on investment flows to developing countries. *Research Papers in Economics*. https://www.ilo.org/employment/Whatwedo/Publications/working-papers/WCMS_124922/lang--en/index.htm
- Temirova, F. (2024). Social responsibility of companies and its impact on the brand. *European International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Management Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.55640/eijmrms-04-04-35>

- Temiz, S., Bogers, M., & Brown, T. (2019). The ecosystem of open data stakeholders in Sweden. 79–112. https://ideas.repec.org/h/wsi/wschap/9789813271647_0003.html
- Thakur, K., Tao, L., Wang, T., & Ali, L. (2017). Cloud computing and its security issues. *ATCT*, 2, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.22496/ATCT20161210>
- The Accounting Aspects of Corporate Social Responsibility. (2022). https://doi.org/10.31390/gradschool_disstheses.2696
- The development and implementation of GRI Standards: practice and policy issues (pp. 26–43). (2022). Edward Elgar Publishing eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800373518.00009>
- The Economic, Social, and Environmental Sustainability of Brazil Applied to Holding Eletrobras on Hydroelectric Power Generation Segment. (2015). 12(3), 239–253. <https://scispace.com/papers/the-economic-social-and-environmental-sustainability-of-3fwfongwoj>
- The Effect of IFRS Adoption on Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure: The Moderating Role of Multinational Activities. (2022). *Journal of Leadership, Accountability, and Ethics*, 19(4). <https://doi.org/10.33423/jlae.v19i4.5762>
- The UN business and human rights framework (pp. 47–69). (2022). Edward Elgar Publishing eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781789901283.ch03>
- Thiebaut De Kergret, M. (2023). Digitalization as the Key Enabler to Unlock New Carbon Value Streams. SPE Whitepaper. <https://doi.org/10.2118/216666-ms>
- Thuraisingham, B. (2020). Cloud governance. 2020 IEEE 13th International Conference on Cloud Computing (CLOUD), 86–90. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CLOUD49709.2020.00025>
- Thwala, S., Masiya, T. and Lubinga, S.N. (2023), "Contribution of the informal sector towards sustainable livelihoods: evidence from Khayelitsha Township, Cape Town", *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, Vol. 43 No. 13/14, pp. 90–105. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSSP-11-2022-0289>
- Tian, Z., Qiu, L., & Wang, L. (2024). Drivers and influencers of blockchain and cloud-based business sustainability accounting in China: Enhancing practices and promoting adoption. *PLOS ONE*, 19. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0295802>
- Tideman, S. G. (2016). Business as an Instrument for Societal Change: In Conversation with the Dalai Lama. <https://www.amazon.com/Business-Instrument-Societal-Change-Conversation/dp/1783534524>
- Tilt, C. (2018). Making Social and Environmental Accounting Research Relevant in Developing Countries: A Matter of Context? *Social and Environmental Accountability Journal*, 38(2), 145–150. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969160X.2018.1489296>
- Tilt, C., Kathy Rao, K., & Dissanayake, D. M. R. (2024). Social reporting: Trends, determinants, and implications (pp. 324–343). Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781802208771.00035>
- Tkachenko, N., Horodetska, I. Ya., & Levytska, O. (2023). Analysis of approaches to the preparation of social reporting of pharmaceutical enterprises. <https://doi.org/10.14739/2409-2932.2023.2.275452>
- Todorova, M. (2024). Capabilities and Application of Blockchain-Based Artificial Intelligence. 9(1), 109–113. <https://doi.org/10.59957/see.v9.i1.2024.15>
- Tong, H. (2023). The importance of ESG in corporate strategy and investment decisions with Patagonia as an example. *Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2754-1169/25/20230481>
- Toor, S. I., Zaidi, S. A., Waheed, J., & Siddiqui, A. (2024). The Public View on Social Media Platforms: A Case Study of Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.52131/pjhss.2024.v12i1.1898>
- Tooranloo, H. S., & Shahamabad, M. A. (2020). Designing the model of factors affecting in the implementation of social and environmental accounting with the ISM approach. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, 36, 387–410. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijoes-12-2019-0190>
- Tourinho, O. A., Silva, N. L. C. da, & Alves, Y. L. B. (2006). Uma matriz de contabilidade social para o Brasil em 2003. https://web.bndes.gov.br/bib/jspui/bitstream/1408/19080/1/PRLiv166967_Uma%20matriz%20de%20contabilidade%20social%20para%20o%20Brasil%20em%202003_P_BD.pdf
- Towards Better Stakeholder Management. (2008). Social Science Research Network. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1751042

- Towards the Implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals in Business Strategy and Operations (pp. 7–25). (2022). Routledge eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003003588-3>
- Tozer, L., & Hamilton, F. (2006). Aethical" corporations: Is there a case to answer under "social contract"? <https://repository.openpolytechnic.ac.nz/handle/11072/515>
- Trapp, K. (2015). Measuring the Labour Income Share of Developing Countries: Learning from Social Accounting Matrices. UNU-WIDER. <https://doi.org/10.35188/UNU-WIDER/2015/926-8>
- Tribess-Ono, F. Z., & Panucci-Filho, L. (2013). Relatórios de adesão e de divulgação das informações de natureza social e ambiental por empresas brasileiras. 9(1). <https://scispace.com/papers/relatorios-de-adesao-e-de-divulgacao-das-informacoes-de-4ufuyceh3a>
- Truant, E., Crocco, E., Corazza, L., & Borlatto, E. (2024). Life cycle thinking and carbon accounting in sustainable supply chains: A structured literature review and research agenda. Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal. <https://doi.org/10.1108/sampj-09-2023-0708>
- Trujillo Dávila, M. A., Trujillo Dávila, M., & Guzmán Vásquez, A. (2006). Responsabilidad social empresarial y global reporting initiative. 8(11), 351–369. <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/descarga/articulo/2946912.pdf>
- Tschopp, D. J. (2005). Corporate social responsibility: a comparison between the United States and the European Union. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 12(1), 55–59. <https://doi.org/10.1002/CSR.69>
- Tuan, D. A. (2024). The effect of felt accountability on user satisfaction with accounting information. Emerging Science Journal. <https://doi.org/10.28991/esj-2024-08-02-023>
- Turin, T. C., Chowdhury, N., Haque, S., Rumana, N., Rahman, N., & Lasker, M. (2021). Involving im/migrant community members for knowledge co-creation: the greater the desired involvement, the greater the need for capacity building. BMJ Global Health, 6, e007602. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2021-007602>
- Turner, A. K. (2018). Social and environmental impacts of landslides. Innovative Infrastructure Solutions, 3(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S41062-018-0175-Y>
- Turner, B. (2000). World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF) (p. 61). Palgrave Macmillan, London. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-58632-5_57
- Tweedie, D., & Hazelton, J. (2015). Social accounting for inequality: Applying Piketty's Capital in the Twenty-First Century. Social and Environmental Accountability Journal, 35(2), 113–122. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969160X.2015.1062788>
- Tzenios, N. (2022). Budget management for the non-profit organization. International Journal of Global Economic Light, 9–13. <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra12022>
- Uebelhoer, K., Guder, J., Holst, J., & Heftrich, B. (2013). Greenhouse gas management along the supply chain at Siemens. https://consensus.app/papers/greenhouse-gas-management-along-the-supply-chain-at-uebelhoer-guder/4ca5a69c31b15f4781a3f68d8ecd0c8e/?utm_source=chatgpt
- Ugbaja, S. C. (2023). The Implementation of Sustainable Practices in achieving sustainability Goals in Middle Eastern Universities. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3314401/v1>
- Ukhova, V., & Pinaev, V. (2024). Best practice for preparing the climate section of non-financial reports of mining companies: Research results. Russian Journal of Resources, Conservation and Recycling. <https://doi.org/10.15862/06ecor424>
- Ulfa T, Darwanis, & Fazli Syam BZ. (2022). Determinants of corporate social responsibility disclosure: Case of mining companies in Indonesia. International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science (2147- 4478), 11(5), 324–334. <https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v11i5.1831>
- Ulrich, P., & Metzger, J. (2022). Sustainability reporting: The way to standardized reporting according to the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive in Germany. <https://doi.org/10.22495/cgtapp14>
- Umar, H. (2021). The Influence of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Disclosure and Sustainability Accounting on Earnings Response Coefficient (ERC). 04(07). <https://doi.org/10.47191/IJCSRR/V4-I7-10>

- Unerman, J. (2007). Stakeholder engagement and dialogue. 105–122. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203815281-17>
- Unfried, L. T., Wissmann, M. A., & Zanchet, A. (2021). Responsabilidade socioambiental: teorias utilizadas nas pesquisas relacionadas à explicação do comportamento socialmente responsável das empresas. 2(7). <https://doi.org/10.47820/RECIMA21.V2I7.510>
- Ungureanu, M. (2012). Social accounting - factor for developing the enterprise's internal and external environment. *Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brasov. Series V : Economic Sciences*, 1, 169–176. <https://doaj.org/article/9f6abd4bb0f541fbaa139b09a78413a3>
- Upadhyay, N. (2020). Demystifying blockchain: A critical analysis of challenges, applications and opportunities. *International Journal of Information Management*, 54, 102120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102120>
- Upadhyay, S. (2024). Impact of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Factors on Individual Investor Performance. *Indian Scientific Journal Of Research In Engineering And Management*, 08(04), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsrem32745>
- Upstream oil industry's perspectives of energy transition. (2022). *Journal of The Japanese Association for Petroleum Technology*, 87(2), 107–116. <https://doi.org/10.3720/japt.87.107>
- Us, V. (2006). Integrating the Informal Sector into the Formal Economy: Some Policy Implications. *Sosyoekonomi Journal*, 3(3). <https://doi.org/10.17233/SE.92110>
- Utama, S. (2011). An Evaluation of Support Infrastructures for Corporate Responsibility Reporting in Indonesia. *Asian Business & Management*, 10(3), 405–424. <https://doi.org/10.1057/abm.2011.10>
- Vaccaro, A., & Madsen, P. (2009). Corporate dynamic transparency: The new ICT-driven ethics? *Ethics and Information Technology*, 11(2), 113–122. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-009-9190-1>
- Valayden, A., & Chabaud, D. (2024). Assessing the evolution of carbon emissions of large companies: An index-based approach. *Business and Society Review*, 129(4), 552–586. <https://doi.org/10.1111/basr.12372>
- Valle, D. (2021). Lessons Learned from BP: Deepwater Horizon and the Transition to Renewables. *The Journal of Global Awareness*. <https://doi.org/10.24073/jga/2/02/07>
- Van der Kolk, B. (2022). Performance measurement in the public sector: Mapping 20 years of survey research. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 38(4), 703–729. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12345>
- Van der Spiegel, D., Linke, B., Stauder, J., & Buchholz, S. (2015). Sustainability strategies of manufacturing companies on corporate, business and operational level. 2(3), 270–286. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJSEAM.2015.072125>
- Van, H. V., Afifa, M. A., Nguyen, N., & Bui, D. V. (2025). Cloud accounting: A systematic literature review. *Global Knowledge, Memory and Communication*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/gkmc-04-2024-0246>
- Várkonyi, M. J. (2016). Challenges of Establishing and Operating Social Enterprises. *Theory, Methodology, Practice*, 12(2), 68–74. <https://doi.org/10.18096/TMP.2016.02.06>
- Várkonyi, M. J. (2016). Challenges of Establishing and Operating Social Enterprises. *Theory, Methodology, Practice*, 12(2), 68–74. <https://doi.org/10.18096/TMP.2016.02.06>
- Varughese, J. S. (2024). Streamlining regulatory processes with blockchain technology: Case studies and best practices. *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*. <https://doi.org/10.21275/sr24413110310>
- Vasiu, D. E., & Bratu, R.-D. (2022). An overview on environmental social and governance – esg-topics from the financial markets' perspective. *Management of Sustainable Development*, 14(2), 76–82. <https://doi.org/10.54989/msd-2022-0021>
- Veal, D.-T., Tamblyn, M. B., Sauser, L. D., & Sims, R. R. (2015). Fostering Transparency in Local Government. http://www.na-businesspress.com/JMPP/SauserWI_Web16_1_.pdf
- Ven, P., Kazemier, B., & Keuning, S. (1999). Measuring well-being with an integrated system of economic and social accounts.
- Venkatesh, P., Kumar, V., Karthik, R., & Ug Scholar. (2024). Blockchain Powered Carbon Credit Marketplace. 2024 10th International Conference on Communication and Signal Processing (ICCSP), 582–585. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCSP60870.2024.10543794>

- Venkatesh, V., Kang, K., Wang, B., Zhong, R., & Zhang, A. (2020). System architecture for blockchain based transparency of supply chain social sustainability. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 63, 101896. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2019.101896>
- Vićentijević, K., & Marković, V. (2023). Impact of climate change on financial reporting and corporate governance. *Revizor*. <https://doi.org/10.56362/rev23101023v>
- Vigante, I., & Sneidere, R. (2013). Social accounting and its contribution to society. 14, 7–16. <https://doi.org/10.15388/BATP.2013.13437>
- Vik, P. (2017). What's so social about Social Return on Investment? A critique of quantitative social accounting approaches drawing on experiences of international microfinance. *Social and Environmental Accountability Journal*, 37(1), 6–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969160X.2016.1263967>
- Vis, K., Torn, B., Mauser, A., & Dentoni, D. (2012). Building Capabilities for Multi-Stakeholder Interactions at Global and Local Levels. <https://ageconsearch.umn.edu/bitstream/142299/2/dv-ei.pdf>
- Volkman, M., & Meurer, T. (2020). A conceptual framework for measuring value creating Corporate Social Responsibility. https://epb.bibl.th-koeln.de/files/1511/CSR_1.pdf
- Voss, H., Davis, M. C., Sumner, M., Waite, L., Ras, I. A., Singhal, D., & Jog, D. (2019). International supply chains: compliance and engagement with the Modern Slavery Act. *Journal of the British Academy*, 61–76. <https://doi.org/10.5871/JBA/007S1.061>
- Vukic, N. M. (2015). Corporate Social Responsibility Reporting: Differences among Selected EU Countries. *Business Systems Research*, 6(2), 63–73. <https://doi.org/10.1515/BSRJ-2015-0012>
- Wagner, A. (2023). Do investors incorporate financial materiality? Remapping the environmental information in corporate sustainability reporting. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2524>
- Wagner, G. (2022). Climate risk is financial risk. *Science*, 376(6598), 1139. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.add2160>
- Wahome, J. (2016). An Assessment of the Practice of Social Responsibility Accounting by Firms in Kenya: A Case Study of Safaricom Kenya. *Journal of Accounting*, 1(1), 73–93. <https://doi.org/10.47941/JACC.15>
- Wahyudi, H. (2024). The impact of carbon tax policies on reducing carbon emissions G20 countries. *Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences (PJLSS)*. <https://doi.org/10.57239/pjlss-2024-22.2.00997>
- Wahyuni, S., Rachmawati, D., & Nugroho, H. (2024). Integrating sustainability into accounting practices: A review of social and environmental accounting. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/383377096_Integrating_Sustainability_into_Accounting_Practices_A_Review_of_Social_and_Environmental_Accounting
- Wahyuni, W., Farman, S., Suaib, Muh. R., & Suaib, S. R. (2024). Implementation of CSR in Environmental Social Accounting Realizing Corporate Responsibility for Sustainability and Community Welfare. *Advances*, 2(5), 268–280. <https://doi.org/10.60079/ajeb.v2i5.372>
- Wahyuni, W., Meutia, I., & Syamsurijal, S. (2019). The Effect of Green Accounting Implementation on Improving the Environmental Performance of Mining and Energy Companies in Indonesia. *Binus Business Review*. <https://doi.org/10.21512/bbr.v10i2.5767>
- Wahyuni, W., Sumarni, S., Fadilah, N. F., & Nurhalizah, N. (2024). Integrating Sustainability into Accounting Practices: A Review of Social and Environmental Accounting. *Advances In Applied Accounting Research*, 2(3), 184–195. <https://doi.org/10.60079/aaar.v2i3.350>
- Wahyuningrum, I. F., Amal, M. I., Oktavilia, S., Setyadharma, A., Khafid, M., & Lina, M. (2022). Environmental disclosure and its determinants. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1098(1), 012060. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1098/1/012060>
- Waldt, G. (2004). Managing Performance in the Public Sector: Concepts Considerations and Challenges. <https://www.amazon.com/Managing-Performance-Public-Sector-Considerations/dp/0702165166>
- Walk, M., Greenspan, I., Crossley, H., & Handy, F. (2015). Social return on investment analysis: A case study of a job and skills training program offered by a social enterprise. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 26(2), 129–144.

- Wallerger, S. (2023). Transparency reports as CSR reports: motives, stakeholders, and strategies. *Social Responsibility Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/srj-03-2023-0134>
- Walton, S., Gehricke, S. A., & Hazelhurst, T. (2024). Enhancing Climate Decision Making. *Policy Quarterly*. <https://doi.org/10.26686/pq.v20i4.9632>
- Wampler, B. (2000). A Guide to Participatory Budgeting. <https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/A-Guide-to-Participatory-Budgeting.pdf>
- Wang, E., Chang, S.-C., & Li, F. (2024). Effects of prosocial norms on consumers' social value perceptions, attitudes, and repurchase intentions toward green products. *Present Environment and Sustainable Development*, 18(1), 65–77. <https://doi.org/10.47743/pesd2024181005>
- Wang, G., Lou, X., Shen, J., Dan, E., Zheng, X., Shao, J., & Li, J. (2022). Corporate Carbon Information Disclosure and Financing Costs: The Moderating Effect of Sustainable Development. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14159159>
- Wang, S. (2024). A study on the impact of dairy companies' social responsibility on consumers' purchasing intention. *The EUrASEANs : Journal on Global Socio-Economic Dynamics*, 6(49), 544–555. [https://doi.org/10.35678/2539-5645.6\(49\).2024.544-555](https://doi.org/10.35678/2539-5645.6(49).2024.544-555)
- Wang, S. (2024). The integration of blockchain technology and artificial intelligence: Innovation, challenges, and future prospects. *Applied and Computational Engineering*, 55(1), 145–156. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2755-2721/55/20241417>
- Wang, X. (2014). Is Corporate Social Responsibility Information Disclosure Beneficial to the Distinction of the Qualities of Financial Reports. *Journal of Finance and Economics*. https://en.cnki.com.cn/Article_en/CJFDTOTAL-CJYJ201405012.htm
- Wang, X., Zhao, J., Wu, H., Zhang, Z., Liu, G., Liu, W., Cheng, Y., Li, Y., Zhang, B., & Huang, J. (2023). Towards Carbon Transparency: A High-Resolution Carbon Emissions Database for China's Listed Companies. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2308.09461>
- Wang, Y. G., Hsu, W.-H. L., & Chang, K.-W. (2012). The Relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility and Firm Performance: An Application of Quantile Regression. *Frontiers of Business Research in China*, 6(2), 218–244. <https://doi.org/10.3868/S070-001-012-0011-3>
- Wang, Y., & Luo, D. (2023). Design of Carbon Emission Accounting and Monitoring System Based on Artificial Intelligence Algorithm. 2023 International Conference on Evolutionary Algorithms and Soft Computing Techniques (EASCT), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EASCT59475.2023.10393747>
- Wang, Z., Liang, L., Cheng, D., Li, H., & Zhang, Y. (2022). Emission reduction benefits and economic benefits of China's pilot policy on carbon emission trading system. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5280900>
- Wangcharoendate, S. (2015). The Influences of CSR Accounting Practices on Firm Sustainability: Evidence from ISO 14000 Businesses. *BU Academic Review*, 14(2), 1–17.
- Watch, C. L. (2010). A Case Study: Adidas and Yueyuen. <http://digitalcommons.ilr.cornell.edu/globaldocs/892/>
- Watson, A., Zochowski, T., & McGarvey, R. D. (2021). Constrained by accounting: Examining how current accounting practice is constraining the net zero transition. *SRPN: Corporate Reporting (Topic)*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.3811577>
- Way, T., Khalid, N. A. S., & Jalaludin, D. (2016). Environmental information: Pressures, types, and usage. <http://repo.uum.edu.my/25887/>
- We are not robots: Amazon warehouse employees push to unionize. (2019). E-Traces. https://scispace.com/papers/we-are-not-robots-amazon-warehouse-employees-push-to-5mh6qoyxqy?utm_source=scholar.google.com&utm_content=insights_citation
- Wehner, J. (2011). Budgeting in the UK is highly transparent: but that does not mean that budget decisions are carefully scrutinized, nor that the right policy judgements are made. <http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/39752/>
- Wei, W., Zhang, P., Yao, M., Xue, M., Miao, J., Liu, B., & Wang, F. (2020). Multi-scope electricity-related carbon emissions accounting: A case study of Shanghai. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 252, 119789. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119789>
- Wei, Z. (2024). A Financial Analysis and Valuation of Tesla. *Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2754-1169/102/2024ed0086>

- Wells, J. (2018). Exploratory study of good policies in the protection of construction workers in the Middle East. http://www.ilo.org/beirut/publications/WCMS_618158/lang--en/index.htm?shared_from=shr-tls
- West, A. (2024). A 'Utopia of the Present': Macintyrean Thought as Critical Accounting Theory. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4715134>
- White, A. L. (2006). Why We Need Global Standards for Corporate Disclosure. Social Science Research Network. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=938460
- White, G. B. (2015). Sustainability Reporting: Getting Started, Second Edition (Second Edition). <https://openlibrary.telkomuniversity.ac.id/home/catalog/id/159568/slug/sustainability-reporting-getting-started-second-edition.html>
- Whittingham, K., Earle, A., Leyva-de la Hiz, D. I., & Argiolas, A. (2022). The impact of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals on corporate sustainability reporting. *BRQ Business Research Quarterly*, 26(1), 45–61. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23409444221085585>
- Wibowo, R. S., & Naylah, M. (2024). The implementation of carbon tax as an emission reduction instrument policy in the Nordic countries: Pigovian tax effect analysis. *Educoretax*. <https://doi.org/10.54957/educoretax.v4i10.1129>
- Wijaya, N., Nitivattananon, V., Shrestha, R., & Kim, S. (2020). Drivers and benefits of integrating climate adaptation measures into urban development: Experience from coastal cities of Indonesia. *Sustainability*, 12(2), 750. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12020750>
- Wild, J. J., & Wild, J. M. (2023). Corporate social responsibility and disclosure transparency. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jfra-08-2022-0309>
- Wild, J., & Wild, J. (2023). Corporate Social Responsibility and Disclosure Transparency. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jfra-08-2022-0309>
- Wild, M. G., Cutler, R. A., & Bachorowski, J.-A. (2023). Quantifying social performance: A review with implications for further work. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1124385>
- Wilkins, M., & Kneebone, R. D. (2018). Social Policy Trends: Canada's Official Poverty Line. 11. <https://doi.org/10.11575/SPPP.V11I0.56859>
- Wills, D. (2017). Organisational legitimacy: the case of the Australian Red Cross. <http://ecite.utas.edu.au/120181>
- Wilshaw, R., Unger, L., Quynh, C. D., & Pham Thu, T. (2013). Labour Rights in Unilever's Supply Chain: From compliance to good practice. An Oxfam study of labour issues in Unilever's Viet Nam operations and supply chain. <https://policy-practice.oxfam.org.uk/publications/labour-rights-in-unilevers-supply-chain-from-compliance-to-good-practice-an-oxf-267532>
- Wilson, D. D., Tefera, G. W., & Ray, R. L. (2025). Application of Google Earth Engine to monitor greenhouse gases: A review. *Data*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/data10010008>
- Wilson, J. (2021). Making Information Measurement Meaningful: The United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals and the Social and Human Capital Protocol. *Information-an International Interdisciplinary Journal*, 12(8), 338. <https://doi.org/10.3390/INFO12080338>
- Wilson, J. P., & Choudhary, S. (2019). Social Capital Accounting. In *Green Finance for Sustainable Global Growth* (pp. 97–115). <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-7808-6.CH005>
- Windari, R. A., & Dewi, Y. K. (2024). Evaluating Mandatory Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure Policies and Sustainability Development Goals Achievement in Indonesia. *Yustisia*, 13(1), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.20961/yustisia.v13i1.81940>
- Winstanley, D., Clark, J. M., & Leeson, H. (2003). Approaches to Child Labour in the Supply Chain. Social Science Research Network. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=316036
- Wolanin, M. (2022). Transparency in public life with particular emphasis on local government finance. *VUZF Review*, 7(1), 192–205. <https://doi.org/10.38188/2534-9228.22.1.19>
- Wolniak, R. (2013). The Role of Grenelle II in Corporate Social Responsibility Integrated Reporting. *Manager Journal*, 18(18), 109–119. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/but/manage/v18y2013i1p109-119.html>
- Wong, R. (2012). Corporate social and environmental reporting: a user perspective. <https://ethos.bl.uk/OrderDetails.do?uin=uk.bl.ethos.558896>
- Workplace Technology that Promotes Health and Well-Being. (2022). TMS Proceedings 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1037/tms0000155>

- Wu, R., Zhang, J., Yu, Y., Jasimuddin, S. M., & Zhang, J. Z. (2023). The Impact of Value Cocreation on CSR Innovation and Economic Performance. *Sustainability*, 15(5), 4008. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15054008>
- Wu, S., Qu, Y., Huang, H., & Xia, Y. (2022). Carbon emission trading policy and corporate green innovation: internal incentives or external influences. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 30, 31501–31523. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-24351-4>
- Wu, W. (2024). Corporate Social Responsibility and Stock Value Enhancement: An Investigation of Stakeholder Evaluations. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4735097>
- Xia, Y., Yang, Q., Sun, G., Wang, Y., Wang, Q., & Ba, S. (2023). A Novel Carbon Emission Estimation Method Based on Electricity-Carbon Nexus and Non-Intrusive Load Monitoring. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4589095>
- Xiao, P. (2010). A Research on the Prospective Roles of Government, Trade Unions and Enterprises in the Tripartite Mechanism. *Journal of Chengdu Electromechanical College*.
- Xie, H., Cui, X. Z., Guo, Z. J., Zhang, Q., & Cao, N. (2023). Social Trust and the Cost of Equity Capital. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4603166>
- Xie, Y. (2024). The impact of ESG performance on corporate sustainable growth from the perspective of carbon sentiment. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 367, 121913. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.121913>
- Xiong, M., & Arguelles, R. M. (2024). The Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility on Employee Job Satisfaction. *Frontiers in Business, Economics and Management*, 16(1), 174–178. <https://doi.org/10.54097/ekykhb15>
- Xu, J. (2024). AI in ESG for financial institutions: An industrial survey. *ArXiv*, abs/2403.05541. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2403.05541>
- Xu, R., Tong, D., Xiao, Q., Qin, X., Chen, C., Liu, Y., Cheng, J., Cui, C., Hu, H., Liu, W., Yan, X., Wang, H., Liu, X., Geng, G., Yu, L., Guan, D., He, K., & Zhang, Q. (2023). MEIC-global-CO2: a new global CO2 emission inventory with highly-resolved source category and sub-country information. <https://doi.org/10.31223/x51t2r>
- Xu, W., Sun, Z., & Ni, H. (2024). Transparency pays: How carbon emission disclosure lowers cost of capital. *Economic Analysis and Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eap.2024.05.020>
- Xu, Y., Dong, Z., & Wang, Y. (2016). Establishing a measurement, reporting, and verification system for climate finance. *Chinese Journal of Population Resources and Environment*, 14, 235–244. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10042857.2016.1258802>
- Yadin, S. (2023). *Fighting Climate Change through Shaming*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009256230>
- Yang, J., & Liu, Y. (2013). Responding to the EU Emissions Trading Scheme: An Empirical Analysis of Corporate Carbon Disclosure Strategy.
- Yang, Z., Zhang, Z., & Hu, H. (2023). The Evolutionary Fronts of the Social and Environmental Accounting: A Bibliometric Visualization Profile. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4539414>
- Yanovsky, M. (1965). Social accounting systems. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.4324/9781315129402/social-accounting-systems-yanovsky>
- Yébenes, M. O. (2024). Climate change, ESG criteria and recent regulation: challenges and opportunities. *Eurasian Economic Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40822-023-00251-x>
- Yehezkiel, R., Astuti, C. D., & Noor, I. N. (2023). Gri standards-based sustainability reporting disclosure practices across countries. <https://doi.org/10.25105/mraai.v23i2.17959>
- Yin, F., Xiao, Y., Cao, R., & Zhang, J. (2023). Impacts of ESG disclosure on corporate carbon performance: Empirical evidence from listed companies in heavy pollution industries. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su152115296>
- Yin, H. (2024). From Virality to Engagement: Examining the Transformative Impact of Social Media, Short Video Platforms, and Live Streaming on Information Dissemination and Audience Behavior in the Digital Age. *Advances in Social Behavior Research*, 14(1), 10–14. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2753-7102/2024.18644>
- Yockey, J. W. (2014). The compliance case for social enterprise. *Michigan Business & Entrepreneurial Law Review*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.36639/mbelr.4.1.compliance>
- Yoga, I. K. D. P., Sunaryo, S., & Wardani, Y. K. (2018). IMPLEMENTASI KONSEP CREATING SHARED VALUE (CSV) SEBAGAI PROGRAM CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY (CSR) DALAM PENINGKATAN KESEJAHTERAAN STAKEHOLDER (Studi pada PT. Nestle Indonesia Panjang Factory). 1(03), 258–271. <http://repository.lppm.unila.ac.id/9091/>

- Youn, S., Hu, H., & Kim, H. J. (2022). The effects of corporate environmental responsibility on brand attitude and purchase intention: The role of consumer values. *Journal of Business Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.12.003>
- Yu, J., & Zhu, L. (2023). Opportunities and challenges of financial accounting in the era of big data. *Highlights in Business, Economics and Management*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.54097/hbem.v10i.8140>
- Yu, Y. (2025). Leveraging ESG Reporting for Corporate Reputation: A Study on Supply Chain Transparency in Environmental Practices. *Journal of Applied Economics and Policy Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2977-5701/2025.20521>
- Yunita, L. (2023). The Role of Auditor in Sustainability Reporting. *International Journal of Social Science Education Communication and Economics (SINOMICS JOURNAL)*, 1(6), 825–830. <https://doi.org/10.54443/sj.v1i6.94>
- Yusman, Y., Rezki, A., & Yunus, N. R. (2021). The Role of Workers Unions in the Effort to Fight for the Rights and Obligations of Workers in Companies Declared Bankrupt In Indonesia. 3(2), 287–302. <https://doi.org/10.15408/JLR.V3I2.20513>
- Zaidi, M. (2012). Social Accounting in India. 1(1), 8–12. <https://www.longdom.org/abstract/social-accounting-in-india-1847.html>
- Zaman, F., & Mahtab, N. (2014). A Stakeholder Approach to Social Responsibility. http://bmdynamics.com/issue_pdf/bmd110506-%2008-11.pdf
- Zarzycka, E., Krasodomska, J., & Dobija, D. (2021). Stakeholder Engagement in Corporate Social Practices and Non-Financial Disclosures: A Systematic Literature Review. *Journal of Management and Business Administration, Central Europe*, 29(1), 112–135. <https://doi.org/10.7206/CEMJ.2658-0845.43>
- Zaza, N. (2019). Evaluating workforce training programs for immigrant women using the Social Return on Investment (SROI) approach. IUPUI ScholarWorks. <https://scholarworks.indianapolis.iu.edu/bitstreams/50e30fb1-5f86-41e8-b5a1-e0db2d6aa9d4/download>
- Zbryrad, T. (2009). Stress and professional burn-out in selected groups of workers. 42(3), 186–191. <https://hrcak.srce.hr/41112?lang=en>
- Zdravkoski, I. (2014). Conditions and factors to improve financial reporting in government and public sector. <http://eprints.uklo.edu.mk/192/>
- Zeghmouli, N. (2025). The cloud computing dilemma for financial services institutions. *Journal of Securities Operations & Custody*. <https://doi.org/10.69554/lovn3543>
- Zein, M. H. M., Muhtarom, M., & Septiani, S. (2024). Increasing Sustainable Economic Growth: Financial Performance and Capital Expenditures. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202406.0912.v1>
- Zekeri, A. M. (2019). Development of an ethics training program. *International Journal of Business and Applied Social Science*. <https://doi.org/10.33642/IJBASS.V5.N3P5>
- Zhang, D., Morse, S., & Kambhampati, U. (2017). *Sustainable Development and Corporate Social Responsibility* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315749495>
- Zhang, H., Wang, Y., Qi, R., He, C., Yang, M., Cai, Y., & Chai, G. (2024). Natural Resource Accounting for Urban Ecosystem: A Case Study in Central China Based on the System of Environmental-Economic Accounting. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4713236>
- Zhang, L., Jiang, Y., Yin, H., Niu, Y., Tan, L., & Hao, Y. (2024). Blockchain-assisted secure multi-party computation with verification and auditing. 2024 IEEE International Symposium on Parallel and Distributed Processing with Applications (ISPA), 2076–2081. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISPA63168.2024.00283>
- Zhang, Y., & Qi, Y. (2023). Does Firms' Environmental Strategy Cater to the Green Credit Policy? Evidence from Chinese Listed Companies. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4613698>
- Zhang, Y., Fu, J., Lai, J., Deng, S., Zihan, G., Zhong, C., Tang, J., Cao, W., & Wu, Y. (2023). Reporting of Ethical Considerations in Qualitative Research Utilizing Social Media Data on Public Health Care: Scoping Review (Preprint). <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.51496>
- Zhao, D., Li, B., Cai, Q., & Liu, Z. (2023). Market Reaction to Narrative Subjectivity in Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure: Evidence from China. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4580064>
- Zhao, J. (2006). Citizen Participation in Public Policy Making. *Journal of Guizhou University of Technology*.

- Zhen, Z., & Lu, B. (2024). The Impact of Green Finance on Corporate Carbon Disclosure: Financial Regulation as a moderator. *Finance Research Letters*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2024.105273>
- Zheng, R.-Y. (2021). Applications Research of Blockchain Technology in Accounting System. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1955. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1955/1/012068>
- Zheng, S. (2024). Analysis of the Tesla's Sustainable Supply Chain Management. *Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2754-1169/84/20240780>
- Zheng, Z. (2024). The Impact of ESG Report Transparency on Investor Behavior. *Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences*, 129(1), 151–156. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2754-1169/2024.18396>
- Zhou, C., Chen, H., Wang, S., Sun, X., El Saddik, A., & Cai, W. (2023). Harnessing Web3 on Carbon Offset Market for Sustainability: Framework and A Case Study. *IEEE Wireless Communications*, 30, 104–111. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MWC.010.2300038>
- Zhou, F. (2024). Exploring the role of big data analytics in strengthening financial accounting for promoting sustainable business practices. *Frontiers in Business, Economics and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.54097/fgfexn57>
- Zhou, S., & Chen, Z. (2018). A study on the definition of state governance function of government accounting. *Governmental & Nonprofit Accounting eJournal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3103713>
- Zhu, B., Xu, C., Wang, P., & Zhang, L. (2022). How does internal carbon pricing affect corporate environmental performance? *Journal of Business Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.02.071>
- Zia, F. (2024). The Interaction between Corporate Operations and Environmental Management. *Global Regional Review*, IX(I), 206–217. [https://doi.org/10.31703/grr.2024\(ix-i\).18](https://doi.org/10.31703/grr.2024(ix-i).18)
- Zicari, A. (2020). The many merits and some limits of social accounting. In *Handbook on Ethics in Finance* (pp. 541–557). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-29371-0_14
- Zik-rullahi, A. A., & Jide, I. (2023). Green accounting: A fundamental pillar of corporate sustainability reporting. *Journal of Accounting and Financial Management*. <https://doi.org/10.56201/jafm.v9.no8.2023.pg59.72>
- Zitti, M., & Guttormsen, A. (2022). Climate risk and financial disclosure in salmon aquaculture. *Aquaculture Economics & Management*, 27(4), 441–467. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13657305.2022.2143934>
- Zsóka, Á., & Vajkai, É. (2018). Corporate sustainability reporting: Scrutinising the requirements of comparability, transparency and reflection of sustainability performance. *Society and Economy*, 40(1), 19–44. <https://doi.org/10.1556/204.2018.40.1.3>
- Zubaidah, T. R., Muzakki, K., Fahriani, D., Wicaksono, A., Anwar, C., Taufiq, M., & Rohmiatun, E. T. (2024). Evaluating big data's impact on accounting practices over a decade. In *2024 3rd International Conference on Creative Communication and Innovative Technology (ICCIT)* (pp. 1–7). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCIT62134.2024.10701203>
- Zülfikaroğlu, S. (2024). AI-driven strategic management and decision making for energy sector. *Next Frontier for Life Sciences and AI*. <https://doi.org/10.62802/q7rkdb54>
- Zulkifli, N. (2008). Understanding social and environmental accounting. 1(1), 26–42. <https://doi.org/10.22452/AJAP.VOL1NO1.3>
- Zvi, A., Gavius, I., Katz, H., & Yosef, R. (2012). Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Firm Performance. 8(11), 1691–1703.
- Πολλάλης, Γ. Α., Gartenberg, M., & Edmunds, B. (2004). Applying the balanced scorecard methodology in the public sector: the case of the department of defense in the united states. *SPOUDAI Journal of Economics and Business*, 54(1), 33–58. <https://spoudai.unipi.gr/index.php/spoudai/article/download/1202/1281>
- Варга, Н. И., & Афанасьев, Д. М. (2019). Regulation of informal employment in a transition economy. 22(4), 100–106. <https://doi.org/10.15421/171948>
- Закирова, А., Zakirova, A., Клычова, Г., Klychova, G., Кириллова, В., Kirillova, V., Юсупова, А., & Yusupova, A. (2019). Social reporting: purpose, basic formation principles, structure. *Vestnik of Kazan State Agrarian University*, 14(1), 120–125. https://doi.org/10.12737/ARTICLE_5CCEDF721FE994.27768489

- Кашеварова, Н. А., & Kulikova, M. E. (2024). Integration of blockchain and artificial intelligence as a mechanism for modernisation of various economic sectors. Вестник Университета, 5, 54–67. <https://doi.org/10.26425/1816-4277-2024-5-54-67>
- Мазоренко, О., & Popkova, S. (2024). The role of socially responsible marketing in ensuring the strategic development of the enterprise. Ukraïns'kij Žurnal Prikladnoï Ekonomiki, 2024(3), 186–189. <https://doi.org/10.36887/2415-8453-2024-3-32>
- Орлова, Н. В., & Жмай, О. В. (2020). Evaluation of corporate social responsibility in the system of relationships with stakeholders. 19, 169–187. [https://doi.org/10.18524/2413-9998.2020.2\(45\).201429](https://doi.org/10.18524/2413-9998.2020.2(45).201429)
- Прядкина, Е. А. (2019). Trends in the social reporting development of Russian companies in terms of digitalization. 6(2). <https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/trends-in-the-social-reporting-development-of-russian-companies-in-terms-of-digitalization>
- Сердар, Т. (2025). The Impact of Corporate Sustainability Reporting Regulation on Business and Financial Performances of Companies in Bosnia and Herzegovina. Croatian Economic Survey, 26(2), 63–93. <https://doi.org/10.15179/ces.26.2.3>

GLOSARIUM

- Akuntansi Biaya Lingkungan: "Mencatat biaya atas aktivitas yang berdampak pada lingkungan seperti limbah dan emisi" (hlm. 63).
- Akuntansi Karbon: "Pelaporan emisi gas rumah kaca sebagai bagian dari tanggung jawab lingkungan" (hlm. 65).
- Akuntansi Sosial: "Cabang ilmu akuntansi yang memfokuskan kajiannya pada pengukuran, pelaporan, dan akuntabilitas atas dampak sosial dan lingkungan dari aktivitas bisnis" (hlm. 1).
- Akuntansi untuk Praktik Perburuhan: "Pelaporan hak-hak pekerja dan kondisi kerja sebagai indikator tanggung jawab sosial" (hlm. 123).
- Analisis Konten: "Digunakan untuk mengkaji laporan keberlanjutan dan memahami pola pengungkapan sosial organisasi" (hlm. 40).
- Audit Sosial: "Proses penjaminan laporan CSR agar valid dan akurat" (hlm. 50).
- Big Data dalam Akuntansi Sosial: "Menggunakan analisis data besar untuk menilai dampak sosial secara lebih akurat" (hlm. 13.1).
- Blockchain untuk Transparansi: "Teknologi yang meningkatkan integritas pelaporan sosial" (hlm. 13.3).
- CSR (Corporate Social Responsibility): "Evaluasi program tanggung jawab sosial perusahaan" (hlm. 1).
- Greenwashing: "Praktik menyesatkan yang menunjukkan citra keberlanjutan palsu" (hlm. 83).
- GRI (Global Reporting Initiative): "Standar pelaporan keberlanjutan global yang digunakan banyak perusahaan" (hlm. 81).
- IFRS dan Akuntansi Sosial: "Integrasi standar internasional dalam pelaporan non-keuangan" (hlm. 134).
- Indikator Kinerja Keberlanjutan: "Indikator seperti pengurangan limbah, efisiensi energi, dan kesejahteraan karyawan menjadi tolok ukur dalam menilai kinerja keberlanjutan suatu entitas" (hlm. 1).
- Jejak Karbon: "Perhitungan emisi karbon dari seluruh rantai pasok organisasi" (hlm. 14.3).
- Keberlanjutan: "Kontribusi perusahaan terhadap pembangunan berkelanjutan... transparansi menjadi sangat penting agar perusahaan dapat menunjukkan kepatuhan terhadap standar sosial dan lingkungan" (hlm. 1).
- Kontrak Sosial: "Kesepakatan tidak tertulis antara organisasi dan masyarakat sebagai legitimasi operasional" (hlm. 116).
- Pendekatan Kuantitatif/Kualitatif/Campuran: "Pendekatan-pendekatan ini digunakan untuk menganalisis data sosial dan dampaknya terhadap pemangku kepentingan" (hlm. 34-38).
- Pengukuran CSR: "Kuantifikasi kontribusi sosial perusahaan terhadap masyarakat melalui laporan keberlanjutan dan indikator kinerja sosial" (hlm. 47).
- Social Return on Investment (SROI): "Metode evaluasi sosial yang membandingkan nilai sosial dengan investasi yang dilakukan" (hlm. 92).
- Teori Akuntabilitas: "Organisasi wajib bertanggung jawab atas dampak sosial dan lingkungan serta menyediakannya secara terbuka" (hlm. 16).
- Teori Legitimasi: "Keselarasan antara praktik organisasi dan nilai sosial yang berlaku menjadi dasar agar perusahaan tetap memperoleh dukungan masyarakat" (hlm. 16).
- Teori Pemangku Kepentingan: "Perusahaan harus mempertimbangkan aspirasi karyawan, konsumen, komunitas lokal dalam pengambilan keputusan" (hlm. 16).
- Transparansi Nirlaba: "Pelaporan donasi, penggunaan dana, dan dampak sosial organisasi" (hlm. 103).

SOAL-SOAL
LATIHAN

Soal Dan Jawaban Essay

Latihan Soal Essay: Bab 1 – Sejarah Dan Perkembangan Akuntansi Sosial

1. Apa definisi akuntansi sosial dan ruang lingkupnya?
Akuntansi sosial adalah cabang akuntansi yang menilai dampak sosial dan lingkungan dari aktivitas bisnis serta melaporkannya kepada pemangku kepentingan.
2. Mengapa akuntansi sosial menjadi semakin penting dalam masyarakat kontemporer?
Karena meningkatnya kesadaran publik terhadap tanggung jawab sosial dan lingkungan perusahaan.
3. Jelaskan peran akuntansi sosial dalam pembangunan berkelanjutan.
Sebagai alat untuk mengukur dan mengomunikasikan kontribusi perusahaan terhadap tujuan sosial dan lingkungan.
4. Apa yang dimaksud dengan transparansi dalam konteks akuntansi sosial?
Kemampuan perusahaan menyajikan informasi dampak sosial dan lingkungan secara jujur dan terbuka.
5. Sebutkan dua praktik umum dalam akuntansi sosial.
Pengukuran emisi karbon dan evaluasi program CSR.
6. Bagaimana akuntansi sosial meningkatkan legitimasi bisnis?
Dengan pelaporan yang jujur dan berorientasi pada keberlanjutan.
7. Apa hubungan antara CSR dan akuntansi sosial?
CSR adalah salah satu bentuk praktik yang dilaporkan dalam kerangka akuntansi sosial.
8. Apa tantangan dalam implementasi akuntansi sosial?
Kurangnya standar baku dan kompleksitas pengukuran dampak sosial.
9. Bagaimana peran pemangku kepentingan dalam akuntansi sosial?
Mereka menjadi target utama laporan sosial dan turut serta dalam penilaian dampak.
10. Mengapa informasi non-keuangan penting dalam laporan perusahaan?
Karena menggambarkan aspek keberlanjutan dan tanggung jawab sosial perusahaan.

Latihan Soal Essay: Bab 2 – Teori Dan Model Dalam Akuntansi Sosial

1. Apa yang dimaksud dengan Teori Legitimasi dalam akuntansi sosial?
Teori ini menyatakan bahwa perusahaan berusaha untuk menjalankan kegiatannya sesuai dengan nilai dan norma sosial agar tetap diterima masyarakat.
2. Jelaskan peran Teori Pemangku Kepentingan dalam praktik akuntansi sosial.
Teori ini menekankan bahwa perusahaan harus memperhatikan kepentingan semua pemangku kepentingan, bukan hanya pemegang saham.
3. Apa fokus utama dari Teori Akuntabilitas?
Bahwa perusahaan memiliki tanggung jawab untuk memberikan informasi yang dapat diverifikasi mengenai dampak sosial dan lingkungan mereka.
4. Bagaimana Teori Ekonomi Politik menjelaskan pelaporan sosial?
Teori ini melihat pelaporan sebagai alat politik yang mencerminkan distribusi kekuasaan dan sumber daya dalam masyarakat.
5. Apa hubungan antara kontrak sosial dan akuntansi sosial?
Kontrak sosial menekankan bahwa keberadaan perusahaan harus didasarkan pada kesepakatan implisit dengan masyarakat untuk membawa manfaat sosial.
6. Apa fungsi kerangka pelaporan keberlanjutan terintegrasi?
Menyatukan informasi keuangan dan non-keuangan untuk memberikan gambaran menyeluruh tentang kinerja perusahaan.
7. Jelaskan mengapa pelaporan sosial dianggap sebagai respons strategis.
Karena membantu perusahaan memperoleh legitimasi dan membangun reputasi yang baik di mata pemangku kepentingan.
8. Bagaimana teori-teori dalam akuntansi sosial saling melengkapi?
Masing-masing teori menawarkan lensa yang berbeda untuk memahami motivasi dan dampak pelaporan sosial.

9. Sebutkan dua teori tambahan selain teori utama yang berperan dalam akuntansi sosial.
Teori Agen dan Teori Sumber Daya.
10. Mengapa pemahaman teori penting dalam praktik pelaporan sosial?
Agar perusahaan dapat menyusun strategi dan kebijakan yang etis dan berorientasi keberlanjutan.

Latihan Soal Essay: Bab 3 – Metodologi Dalam Penelitian Akuntansi Sosial

1. Apa yang dimaksud dengan pendekatan kuantitatif dalam penelitian akuntansi sosial?
Pendekatan ini menggunakan data numerik dan statistik untuk mengukur dampak sosial dan lingkungan secara objektif.
2. Apa keunggulan pendekatan kualitatif dalam akuntansi sosial?
Memungkinkan pemahaman mendalam terhadap konteks sosial, narasi, dan pengalaman pemangku kepentingan.
3. Apa itu metode campuran dalam penelitian akuntansi sosial?
Gabungan antara pendekatan kuantitatif dan kualitatif untuk mendapatkan hasil penelitian yang komprehensif.
4. Jelaskan peran analisis konten dalam pelaporan keberlanjutan.
Analisis konten digunakan untuk menilai isi laporan sosial, termasuk kejujuran dan kelengkapan informasi yang disampaikan.
5. Apa saja pertimbangan etis dalam penelitian akuntansi sosial?
Meliputi perlindungan terhadap partisipan, keterbukaan data, dan kejujuran dalam interpretasi hasil.
6. Mengapa metode kualitatif penting dalam kajian dampak sosial?
Karena banyak dampak sosial bersifat non-kuantitatif dan membutuhkan pemahaman kontekstual.
7. Apa tantangan utama dalam menerapkan pendekatan kuantitatif pada akuntansi sosial?
Sulitnya mengukur indikator sosial yang abstrak dan kompleks secara numerik.
8. Sebutkan contoh teknik kuantitatif yang digunakan dalam pelaporan keberlanjutan.
Analisis regresi, indeks keberlanjutan, dan penghitungan emisi karbon.
9. Bagaimana tren metodologi dalam akuntansi sosial berkembang saat ini?
Ada peningkatan penggunaan teknologi digital, seperti big data dan pemodelan prediktif.
10. Mengapa integrasi pendekatan metodologis penting dalam akuntansi sosial?
Untuk memperoleh hasil penelitian yang lebih valid, triangulatif, dan aplikatif dalam pengambilan kebijakan.

Latihan Soal Essay: Bab 4 – Tanggung Jawab Sosial Perusahaan (Csr) Dan Akuntansi

1. Apa hubungan antara CSR dan akuntansi sosial?
CSR merupakan bagian dari akuntansi sosial yang difokuskan pada pelaporan tanggung jawab sosial perusahaan terhadap masyarakat dan lingkungan.
2. Bagaimana evolusi CSR memengaruhi praktik akuntansi?
CSR yang awalnya bersifat sukarela kini menjadi bagian penting dalam strategi dan pelaporan korporat, termasuk dalam laporan keuangan dan non-keuangan.
3. Apa saja metode pengukuran dan pelaporan CSR?
Mencakup indikator sosial, lingkungan, dan ekonomi seperti jumlah kegiatan sosial, pengurangan emisi, dan keterlibatan masyarakat.
4. Jelaskan peran audit CSR dalam akuntansi sosial.
Audit CSR menjamin bahwa informasi sosial yang dilaporkan akurat, sahih, dan sesuai dengan standar.
5. Apa manfaat utama penerapan CSR bagi perusahaan?
Meningkatkan reputasi, membangun kepercayaan pemangku kepentingan, dan menciptakan keunggulan kompetitif jangka panjang.
6. Bagaimana CSR memengaruhi kinerja keuangan perusahaan?
CSR yang dijalankan konsisten dapat meningkatkan loyalitas konsumen dan menarik investor yang peduli pada keberlanjutan.

7. Apa saja standar dan peraturan yang mengatur CSR?
Contohnya GRI Standards, ISO 26000, dan regulasi nasional seperti Peraturan OJK dan CSRD di Eropa.
8. Apa yang dimaksud dengan 'kasus bisnis untuk CSR'?
Argumen bahwa investasi dalam CSR menghasilkan nilai bisnis melalui efisiensi, inovasi, dan penguatan relasi publik.
9. Sebutkan contoh konkret penerapan CSR dalam perusahaan.
Unilever dengan program keberlanjutan rantai pasoknya dan Patagonia dengan inisiatif lingkungan.
10. Mengapa transparansi penting dalam pelaporan CSR?
Agar pemangku kepentingan dapat mengevaluasi komitmen sosial perusahaan dan menghindari praktik greenwashing.

Latihan Soal Essay: Bab 5 – Akuntansi Lingkungan

1. Apa yang dimaksud dengan akuntansi lingkungan?
Akuntansi lingkungan adalah sistem pencatatan dan pelaporan informasi keuangan dan non-keuangan yang berkaitan dengan dampak lingkungan dari aktivitas perusahaan.
2. Apa tujuan utama dari akuntansi biaya lingkungan?
Untuk mengidentifikasi, mengukur, dan mengelola biaya yang timbul akibat aktivitas yang berdampak pada lingkungan.
3. Jelaskan konsep akuntansi karbon.
Akuntansi karbon melibatkan pengukuran dan pelaporan emisi gas rumah kaca perusahaan, serta upaya pengurangan emisi tersebut.
4. Apa yang dimaksud dengan pelaporan emisi dalam konteks keberlanjutan?
Penyampaian informasi mengenai jumlah emisi yang dihasilkan dan langkah mitigasi yang dilakukan perusahaan.
5. Sebutkan tiga metrik utama dalam kinerja keberlanjutan lingkungan.
Pengurangan limbah, efisiensi penggunaan energi, dan volume emisi gas rumah kaca.
6. Apa peran studi kasus dalam akuntansi lingkungan?
Memberikan contoh nyata dan pelajaran praktis dari implementasi akuntansi lingkungan di perusahaan.
7. Apa tantangan terbesar dalam penerapan akuntansi lingkungan?
Kurangnya standar baku, keterbatasan data, dan biaya tinggi dalam pengukuran dampak lingkungan.
8. Mengapa perusahaan harus memperhatikan akuntansi lingkungan?
Karena dapat meningkatkan efisiensi operasional, memenuhi regulasi, dan memperkuat reputasi keberlanjutan.
9. Bagaimana akuntansi lingkungan terintegrasi dalam laporan tahunan?
Melalui bagian pelaporan keberlanjutan atau laporan terintegrasi yang mencakup aspek sosial dan lingkungan.
10. Apa manfaat jangka panjang dari penerapan akuntansi lingkungan?
Pengurangan risiko lingkungan, peningkatan daya saing, dan dukungan dari pemangku kepentingan.

Latihan Soal Essay: Bab 6 – Pelaporan Sosial Dan Pengungkapan Keberlanjutan

1. Apa yang dimaksud dengan pelaporan sosial?
Pelaporan sosial adalah proses penyampaian informasi non-keuangan tentang dampak sosial dan lingkungan perusahaan kepada publik.
2. Apa tujuan dari pelaporan keberlanjutan?
Untuk menunjukkan komitmen perusahaan terhadap tanggung jawab sosial, serta memfasilitasi transparansi dan akuntabilitas.
3. Sebutkan standar pelaporan sosial dan lingkungan yang umum digunakan.
Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB), dan Integrated Reporting (IR).

4. Apa tantangan dalam menerapkan pelaporan terpadu?
Integrasi informasi keuangan dan non-keuangan, kurangnya data yang valid, dan resistensi internal.
5. Apa itu greenwashing dalam konteks pelaporan sosial?
Praktik manipulatif di mana perusahaan memberikan kesan palsu bahwa mereka lebih ramah lingkungan dari kenyataannya.
6. Mengapa etika penting dalam pelaporan keberlanjutan?
Untuk memastikan informasi yang disampaikan jujur, dapat dipercaya, dan tidak menyesatkan pemangku kepentingan.
7. Bagaimana pengungkapan keberlanjutan memengaruhi keputusan investor?
Investor kini mempertimbangkan faktor ESG (Environmental, Social, Governance) dalam penilaian risiko dan peluang investasi.
8. Apa tren masa depan dalam pelaporan sosial?
Digitalisasi, keterpaduan sistem, serta pelaporan berbasis data real-time dan blockchain.
9. Apa manfaat pelaporan keberlanjutan bagi perusahaan?
Meningkatkan reputasi, kepercayaan publik, dan memperkuat hubungan dengan pemangku kepentingan.
10. Mengapa pelaporan sosial menjadi alat strategis perusahaan?
Karena dapat memperkuat legitimasi sosial, memenuhi regulasi, dan meningkatkan daya saing.

Latihan Soal Essay: Bab 7 – Akuntansi Sosial Di Pemerintah Dan Sektor Publik

1. Apa peran akuntansi sosial dalam tata kelola sektor publik?
Sebagai alat untuk meningkatkan transparansi, akuntabilitas, dan kepercayaan publik terhadap lembaga pemerintah.
2. Apa perbedaan antara pelaporan keuangan dan sosial di sektor publik?
Pelaporan keuangan berfokus pada aspek fiskal, sedangkan pelaporan sosial menyoroti dampak kebijakan terhadap masyarakat.
3. Apa itu Social Return on Investment (SROI)?
SROI adalah metode evaluasi yang mengukur nilai sosial yang dihasilkan dari investasi program publik.
4. Bagaimana akuntansi sosial membantu pengukuran kinerja sektor publik?
Dengan menyediakan indikator kualitatif dan kuantitatif tentang efektivitas layanan publik dan dampaknya.
5. Sebutkan salah satu studi kasus penerapan akuntansi sosial di sektor publik.
Program pelayanan kesehatan berbasis masyarakat yang mengevaluasi dampaknya terhadap kesehatan lokal.
6. Mengapa akuntansi sosial penting untuk lembaga pemerintah?
Karena memperkuat legitimasi, memperjelas penggunaan dana publik, dan meningkatkan partisipasi warga.
7. Apa tantangan dalam penerapan akuntansi sosial di sektor publik?
Keterbatasan data, sumber daya, dan standar pelaporan yang belum seragam.
8. Bagaimana masyarakat dapat berperan dalam pengawasan akuntansi sosial sektor publik?
Melalui partisipasi dalam forum warga, pemantauan data terbuka, dan laporan pengaduan publik.
9. Apa manfaat SROI bagi pembuat kebijakan?
Sebagai alat pengambilan keputusan berbasis dampak, bukan hanya biaya atau output.
10. Bagaimana akuntansi sosial mendorong reformasi birokrasi?
Dengan menekankan pada hasil yang bernilai sosial dan pelayanan publik yang responsif terhadap kebutuhan masyarakat.

Latihan Soal Essay: Bab 8 – Organisasi Nirlaba Dan Akuntansi Sosial

1. Apa perbedaan utama antara akuntansi sosial di organisasi nirlaba dan sektor bisnis?
Organisasi nirlaba fokus pada pencapaian misi sosial daripada laba finansial, sehingga pelaporan sosial menjadi indikator utama kinerja.

2. Mengapa penting membedakan antara kinerja keuangan dan kinerja sosial di lembaga nirlaba?
Karena kesuksesan lembaga nirlaba diukur dari dampak sosialnya, bukan dari keuntungan keuangan semata.
3. Bagaimana pengukuran dampak dilakukan dalam organisasi nirlaba?
Melalui indikator seperti jumlah penerima manfaat, perubahan perilaku masyarakat, dan keberhasilan program intervensi.
4. Apa peran transparansi dalam akuntansi sosial organisasi nirlaba?
Untuk membangun kepercayaan donor, penerima manfaat, dan publik terhadap efektivitas dan integritas organisasi.
5. Sebutkan tantangan etis utama dalam akuntansi nirlaba.
Ketidakseimbangan pelaporan, potensi manipulasi data dampak, dan ketergantungan pada donor tertentu.
6. Bagaimana akuntansi sosial membantu pelaporan donor?
Dengan menyediakan informasi terstruktur dan akuntabel mengenai penggunaan dana dan hasil sosial yang dicapai.
7. Mengapa akuntabilitas sangat penting dalam organisasi nirlaba?
Karena mereka mengelola dana publik atau sumbangan, yang membutuhkan pertanggungjawaban moral dan hukum.
8. Bagaimana pelaporan sosial dapat digunakan untuk meningkatkan efisiensi organisasi nirlaba?
Dengan mengidentifikasi area intervensi yang paling berdampak dan menyesuaikan strategi program.
9. Sebutkan contoh studi kasus keberhasilan akuntansi sosial di organisasi nirlaba.
Laporan dampak program edukasi oleh Save the Children yang menampilkan capaian literasi anak.
10. Bagaimana akuntansi sosial memperkuat legitimasi lembaga nirlaba di mata masyarakat?
Dengan menunjukkan bahwa dana dan kegiatan benar-benar memberi manfaat sosial dan tidak disalahgunakan.

Latihan Soal Essay: Bab 9 – Keterlibatan Pemangku Kepentingan Dan Akuntabilitas Sosial

1. Siapa saja yang termasuk dalam kategori pemangku kepentingan dalam akuntansi sosial?
Karyawan, pelanggan, investor, pemerintah, komunitas lokal, LSM, dan mitra usaha.
2. Mengapa keterlibatan pemangku kepentingan penting dalam akuntansi sosial?
Karena mereka adalah penerima dampak dan sumber legitimasi sosial perusahaan.
3. Bagaimana akuntansi sosial dapat memperkuat manajemen pemangku kepentingan?
Dengan menyajikan data transparan tentang dampak dan kinerja sosial perusahaan.
4. Apa itu praktik transparansi dalam konteks akuntansi sosial?
Penyampaian informasi sosial dan lingkungan secara terbuka, jujur, dan mudah diakses.
5. Jelaskan konsep kontrak sosial antara perusahaan dan pemangku kepentingan.
Kesepahaman bahwa perusahaan beroperasi dengan persetujuan masyarakat dan harus memberi manfaat timbal balik.
6. Bagaimana bentuk dialog yang efektif antara organisasi dan pemangku kepentingan?
Konsultasi publik, survei keterlibatan, dan forum multipihak yang partisipatif.
7. Apa dampak dari pengabaian suara pemangku kepentingan dalam pelaporan sosial?
Menurunnya kepercayaan, konflik sosial, dan risiko reputasi.
8. Bagaimana akuntansi sosial membedakan pelaporan kepada berbagai kelompok pemangku kepentingan?
Dengan menyesuaikan isi, bahasa, dan saluran komunikasi sesuai kebutuhan masing-masing kelompok.
9. Apa bukti bahwa pemangku kepentingan dapat memengaruhi praktik akuntansi sosial?
Tekanan dari investor ESG yang mendorong perusahaan menyempurnakan pelaporan dampaknya.

10. Apa peran akuntansi sosial dalam membangun akuntabilitas terhadap masyarakat?
Menjadikan perusahaan bertanggung jawab secara terbuka atas dampaknya terhadap publik dan lingkungan.

Latihan Soal Essay: Bab 10 – Perburuhan Dan Hak Asasi Manusia Dalam Akuntansi Sosial

1. Apa peran akuntansi sosial dalam praktik perburuhan?
Menilai dan melaporkan kondisi kerja, hak tenaga kerja, dan kesejahteraan karyawan.
2. Mengapa pelaporan hak asasi manusia penting dalam konteks bisnis?
Karena perusahaan bertanggung jawab untuk tidak melanggar HAM dalam seluruh rantai nilainya.
3. Sebutkan elemen utama dalam kerangka pelaporan HAM perusahaan.
Pengungkapan kebijakan HAM, mekanisme pengaduan, dan pemantauan dampak hak pekerja.
4. Apa hubungan antara kesejahteraan pekerja dan akuntansi etis?
Akuntansi sosial yang etis mencerminkan komitmen perusahaan terhadap hak dan kesejahteraan pekerjanya.
5. Sebutkan contoh pelanggaran HAM yang dapat tercermin dalam akuntansi sosial.
Kerja paksa, diskriminasi gender, upah tidak layak, dan kondisi kerja yang tidak aman.
6. Bagaimana peran serikat pekerja dalam akuntansi sosial?
Sebagai representasi suara pekerja yang bisa memengaruhi pelaporan dan kebijakan sosial perusahaan.
7. Apa tantangan dalam mengukur kinerja sosial terkait ketenagakerjaan?
Indikator yang bersifat kualitatif dan subjektif, serta kurangnya data konsisten.
8. Bagaimana laporan sosial dapat mencegah pelanggaran hak buruh?
Dengan menciptakan transparansi dan mekanisme akuntabilitas terhadap kondisi kerja.
9. Apa keuntungan bagi perusahaan yang melindungi HAM pekerjanya?
Meningkatkan loyalitas karyawan, menghindari sanksi hukum, dan memperkuat reputasi.
10. Mengapa pemangku kepentingan perlu mengetahui kondisi ketenagakerjaan dalam suatu perusahaan?
Karena kondisi tersebut mencerminkan etika dan tanggung jawab sosial Perusahaan.

Latihan Soal Essay: Bab 11 – Standar Dan Peraturan Internasional Dalam Akuntansi Sosial

1. Apa peran IFRS dalam konteks akuntansi sosial?
IFRS mulai memperkenalkan standar pelaporan keberlanjutan untuk mengintegrasikan aspek lingkungan dan sosial ke dalam pelaporan keuangan.
2. Apa itu Global Reporting Initiative (GRI)?
GRI adalah kerangka kerja internasional untuk membantu organisasi melaporkan dampak ekonomi, lingkungan, dan sosial mereka.
3. Bagaimana kontribusi Tujuan Pembangunan Berkelanjutan (SDGs) terhadap akuntansi sosial?
SDGs menjadi panduan utama perusahaan dalam merancang dan mengukur inisiatif keberlanjutan mereka.
4. Apa manfaat menggunakan standar pelaporan internasional seperti GRI?
Memberikan transparansi, meningkatkan kredibilitas laporan, dan memfasilitasi perbandingan antar organisasi.
5. Apa tantangan utama dalam menerapkan standar akuntansi sosial global?
Variasi regulasi nasional, perbedaan budaya, dan kesiapan organisasi.
6. Bagaimana variasi peraturan antar negara mempengaruhi praktik akuntansi sosial?
Menciptakan ketidakseragaman dalam kualitas pelaporan dan tantangan dalam harmonisasi laporan lintas negara.
7. Sebutkan contoh peraturan akuntansi sosial dari Uni Eropa.
Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD), yang mewajibkan perusahaan besar melaporkan keberlanjutan.

8. Mengapa standardisasi pelaporan sosial penting secara global?
Agar informasi yang disajikan dapat dibandingkan, diverifikasi, dan mendorong investasi berkelanjutan.
9. Apa peran lembaga internasional dalam akuntansi sosial?
Mengembangkan kerangka kerja, memberikan pedoman, dan mendorong harmonisasi praktik global.
10. Bagaimana perusahaan multinasional dapat mengelola kepatuhan terhadap berbagai standar pelaporan?
Dengan mengadopsi kerangka kerja global seperti GRI dan mengadaptasi laporan sesuai regulasi lokal.

Latihan Soal Essay: Bab 12 – Akuntansi Sosial Di Negara Berkembang

1. Apa peran akuntansi sosial dalam pembangunan ekonomi negara berkembang?
Sebagai alat untuk memastikan bahwa pertumbuhan ekonomi juga menciptakan keadilan sosial dan keberlanjutan lingkungan.
2. Apa tantangan utama dalam adopsi akuntansi sosial di negara berkembang?
Kurangnya kesadaran, keterbatasan sumber daya, dan lemahnya regulasi.
3. Mengapa ekonomi informal menjadi isu penting dalam akuntansi sosial di negara berkembang?
Karena banyak aktivitas ekonomi tidak tercatat, sehingga sulit diukur dampak sosial dan lingkungannya.
4. Bagaimana pemerintah negara berkembang mendorong akuntansi sosial?
Melalui regulasi pelaporan keberlanjutan, insentif pajak, dan program pelatihan.
5. Apa kontribusi perusahaan sosial terhadap praktik akuntansi sosial di negara berkembang?
Mereka fokus pada penciptaan nilai sosial, bukan hanya keuntungan finansial, sehingga mendorong pelaporan dampak sosial.
6. Sebutkan satu studi kasus penerapan akuntansi sosial di negara berkembang.
Pelaporan keberlanjutan oleh perusahaan mikrofinance di India untuk menunjukkan kontribusi mereka dalam pengentasan kemiskinan.
7. Bagaimana keterbatasan data memengaruhi pelaporan sosial di negara berkembang?
Menyulitkan pengukuran dampak sosial secara akurat dan kredibel.
8. Mengapa standar internasional penting bagi akuntansi sosial di negara berkembang?
Agar laporan bisa diakui secara global dan menarik perhatian investor internasional.
9. Apa hubungan antara akuntansi sosial dan pembangunan berkelanjutan di negara berkembang?
Akuntansi sosial membantu memastikan bahwa proyek pembangunan mempertimbangkan kesejahteraan sosial dan pelestarian lingkungan.
10. Bagaimana peran organisasi internasional dalam memperkuat akuntansi sosial di negara berkembang?
Dengan memberikan bantuan teknis, pembiayaan proyek pelaporan sosial, dan mendukung adopsi standar global.

Latihan Soal Essay: Bab 13 – Transformasi Digital Dalam Akuntansi Sosial

1. Bagaimana big data berperan dalam akuntansi sosial?
Big data memungkinkan pengumpulan, analisis, dan pelaporan data sosial dan lingkungan secara lebih akurat dan real-time.
2. Apa manfaat penggunaan kecerdasan buatan (AI) dalam pelaporan keberlanjutan?
AI dapat mendeteksi pola, meramalkan risiko sosial-lingkungan, dan mengotomasi proses pelaporan.
3. Bagaimana blockchain meningkatkan transparansi dalam akuntansi sosial?
Blockchain mencatat transaksi dan informasi secara aman, tidak dapat diubah, dan dapat diaudit secara terbuka.
4. Apa keunggulan pelaporan berbasis cloud untuk akuntansi sosial?
Memungkinkan kolaborasi lintas lokasi, akses data yang cepat, serta efisiensi dalam pengumpulan dan pembaruan laporan.

5. Apa kekhawatiran etis terkait penggunaan teknologi dalam akuntansi sosial?
Risiko privasi data, bias algoritma, dan ketergantungan terhadap teknologi.
6. Sebutkan contoh implementasi teknologi dalam akuntansi sosial.
Penggunaan platform berbasis AI untuk mengukur jejak karbon perusahaan.
7. Mengapa perusahaan harus mempertimbangkan isu etis saat menggunakan teknologi untuk pelaporan sosial?
Karena data sosial bersifat sensitif dan harus dikelola secara bertanggung jawab.
8. Apa saja tren masa depan dalam teknologi akuntansi sosial?
Pelaporan otomatis, blockchain untuk rantai pasokan berkelanjutan, dan analisis prediktif berbasis AI.
9. Bagaimana teknologi membantu perusahaan memenuhi standar pelaporan keberlanjutan global?
Dengan mempercepat pengumpulan data, memastikan akurasi, dan mendukung audit transparan.
10. Mengapa integrasi teknologi penting untuk efektivitas pelaporan sosial di masa depan?
Karena meningkatkan efisiensi, keandalan, dan keterbukaan data yang dibutuhkan pemangku kepentingan.

Latihan Soal Essay: Bab 14 – Akuntansi Sosial Dan Perubahan Iklim

1. Apa yang dimaksud dengan akuntansi untuk risiko iklim?
Pencatatan, pengukuran, dan pelaporan dampak perubahan iklim terhadap operasional dan keuangan perusahaan.
2. Mengapa penting memiliki kerangka pelaporan perubahan iklim?
Untuk membantu perusahaan mengungkapkan risiko iklim, strategi mitigasi, dan komitmen keberlanjutan mereka kepada publik.
3. Apa itu pengukuran jejak karbon dalam akuntansi?
Proses menghitung jumlah total emisi gas rumah kaca yang dihasilkan secara langsung maupun tidak langsung oleh suatu organisasi.
4. Bagaimana laporan iklim digunakan dalam praktik akuntansi sosial?
Sebagai bagian dari pelaporan keberlanjutan untuk menunjukkan tanggung jawab lingkungan perusahaan.
5. Sebutkan contoh perusahaan yang berhasil melaporkan kinerjanya terkait perubahan iklim.
Tesla melaporkan pengurangan emisi karbon melalui pengembangan kendaraan listrik.
6. Apa peran akuntansi dalam mitigasi perubahan iklim?
Membantu mengidentifikasi area pengurangan emisi, meningkatkan efisiensi, dan mengarahkan investasi ke proyek hijau.
7. Bagaimana perubahan iklim memengaruhi kebijakan pelaporan keberlanjutan?
Mendorong lahirnya regulasi baru yang mewajibkan pengungkapan risiko iklim dalam laporan tahunan.
8. Mengapa perusahaan perlu melaporkan jejak karbon mereka?
Untuk memenuhi harapan pemangku kepentingan, mematuhi regulasi, dan memperkuat reputasi keberlanjutan.
9. Apa implikasi kebijakan global terhadap akuntansi perubahan iklim?
Adanya standar pelaporan iklim internasional seperti TCFD (Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures) yang harus dipatuhi.
10. Bagaimana arah masa depan akuntansi sosial terkait perubahan iklim?
Mengarah pada pelaporan yang lebih komprehensif, berbasis data real-time, dan integrasi penuh dengan strategi bisnis keberlanjutan.

Soal Dan Jawaban Pilihan Ganda

Latihan Soal Pilihan Ganda: Bab 1 – Sejarah Dan Perkembangan Akuntansi Sosial

1. Akuntansi sosial berfokus pada:
A. Laba perusahaan
B. Penjualan aset
C. Dampak sosial dan lingkungan dari aktivitas bisnis
D. Penghindaran pajak
Jawaban: C
2. Akuntansi sosial muncul sebagai respons terhadap:
A. Krisis moneter
B. Tuntutan publik atas tanggung jawab sosial perusahaan
C. Kebutuhan audit internal
D. Penurunan daya beli
Jawaban: B
3. Tujuan utama akuntansi sosial adalah:
A. Menghitung laba per saham
B. Meningkatkan margin laba
C. Menyediakan informasi non-keuangan bagi pemangku kepentingan
D. Menyusun laporan pajak
Jawaban: C
4. Salah satu bentuk penerapan akuntansi sosial adalah:
A. Perhitungan bunga
B. Analisis pasar saham
C. Pengukuran emisi karbon dan evaluasi program CSR
D. Pengurangan biaya produksi
Jawaban: C
5. Transparansi dalam akuntansi sosial penting untuk:
A. Menghindari persaingan
B. Menaikkan nilai merek
C. Menunjukkan kepatuhan terhadap standar sosial dan lingkungan
D. Meningkatkan ekspor
Jawaban: C
6. Salah satu tokoh yang membahas akuntansi sosial adalah:
A. Adam Smith
B. Kolk & Perego
C. Friedman
D. Keynes
Jawaban: B
7. Akuntansi sosial mendukung pembangunan:
A. Ekspansif
B. Profit maksimal
C. Keuangan berbasis kredit
D. Berkelanjutan
Jawaban: D
8. Pendekatan dalam akuntansi sosial mencakup pelaporan:
A. Utang luar negeri
B. Dampak sosial dan lingkungan
C. Cadangan devisa

D. Risiko pasar

Jawaban: B

9. Relasi dengan pemangku kepentingan dapat diperkuat dengan:

- A. Pengurangan tenaga kerja
- B. Komunikasi sosial yang terbuka
- C. Privatisasi aset
- D. Efisiensi logistik

Jawaban: B

10. Akuntansi sosial memperkuat legitimasi perusahaan melalui:

- A. Spekulasi pasar
- B. Transparansi dan pelibatan pemangku kepentingan
- C. Restrukturisasi hutang
- D. Diversifikasi aset

Jawaban: B

11. Ruang lingkup akuntansi sosial mencakup semua hal berikut, kecuali:

- A. Laporan dampak sosial
- B. Akuntansi lingkungan
- C. Harga saham
- D. Pelibatan stakeholder

Jawaban: C

12. Evaluasi CSR merupakan bagian dari:

- A. Laporan arus kas
- B. Akuntansi manajerial
- C. Akuntansi sosial
- D. Pajak penghasilan

Jawaban: C

13. Konsep keberlanjutan dalam akuntansi sosial menekankan:

- A. Pertumbuhan jangka pendek
- B. Efisiensi jangka pendek
- C. Tanggung jawab jangka panjang terhadap lingkungan dan masyarakat
- D. Kinerja finansial triwulan

Jawaban: C

14. Akuntansi sosial bertentangan dengan prinsip:

- A. Transparansi
- B. Akuntabilitas
- C. Profit semata
- D. Keseimbangan sosial

Jawaban: C

15. Penyusunan laporan sosial dilakukan untuk:

- A. Otoritas pasar
- B. Regulator bursa
- C. Pemangku kepentingan
- D. Bursa saham

Jawaban: C

16. Salah satu nilai dalam akuntansi sosial adalah:

- A. Arbitrase
- B. Kepedulian sosial
- C. Spekulasi pasar
- D. Deregulasi

Jawaban: B

17. Dalam konteks keberlanjutan, perusahaan harus mempertimbangkan:

- A. Harga saham
- B. Return jangka pendek
- C. Dampak jangka panjang pada masyarakat dan lingkungan
- D. Persaingan sektor

Jawaban: C

18. Informasi yang disediakan akuntansi sosial adalah:

- A. Non-keuangan dan berdampak sosial
- B. Data neraca
- C. Informasi manajerial rahasia
- D. Laporan laba rugi

Jawaban: A

19. Akuntansi sosial dapat meningkatkan hubungan dengan:

- A. Pesaing
- B. Regulator ekspor
- C. Pemangku kepentingan
- D. Perusahaan investasi

Jawaban: C

20. Prinsip utama akuntansi sosial adalah:

- A. Perdagangan internasional
- B. Transparansi dan keberlanjutan
- C. Efisiensi moneter
- D. Konsolidasi saham

Jawaban: B

Latihan Soal Pilihan Ganda: Bab 2 – Teori Dan Model Dalam Akuntansi Sosial

1. Apa tujuan utama dari Teori Legitimasi?

- A. Meningkatkan efisiensi internal
- B. Membangun keselarasan dengan nilai sosial
- C. Menurunkan biaya operasional
- D. Mengembangkan teknologi

Jawaban: B

2. Teori yang menekankan pentingnya menyelaraskan kepentingan berbagai pihak adalah...

- A. Teori Legitimasi
- B. Teori Agen
- C. Teori Pemangku Kepentingan
- D. Teori Biaya Transaksi

Jawaban: C

3. Akuntansi sosial digunakan dalam Teori Akuntabilitas untuk...

- A. Mengurangi pengawasan publik
- B. Menyembunyikan informasi
- C. Memberikan tanggung jawab atas dampak aktivitas
- D. Menyesuaikan standar pelaporan

Jawaban: C

4. Menurut Teori Pemangku Kepentingan, perusahaan harus memperhatikan...

- A. Hanya konsumen
- B. Semua pihak yang terpengaruh oleh aktivitas perusahaan
- C. Regulator saja
- D. Departemen internal

Jawaban: B

5. Teori Legitimasi membantu perusahaan...
- A. Menyembunyikan risiko
 - B. Menghindari hukum
 - C. Menyesuaikan diri dengan norma masyarakat
 - D. Memaksimalkan keuntungan
- Jawaban: C**
6. Teori yang melihat pelaporan sosial sebagai bentuk pertanggungjawaban adalah...
- A. Teori Akuntabilitas
 - B. Teori Ekonomi Politik
 - C. Teori Stakeholder
 - D. Teori Legitimitas
- Jawaban: A**
7. Apa dampak jika perusahaan gagal menjaga legitimasi sosial?
- A. Harga saham meningkat
 - B. Kepercayaan publik menurun
 - C. Biaya produksi turun
 - D. CSR meningkat
- Jawaban: B**
8. Teori Pemangku Kepentingan dikembangkan oleh...
- A. Porter
 - B. Milton Friedman
 - C. Edward Freeman
 - D. Adam Smith
- Jawaban: C**
9. Dalam Teori Akuntabilitas, data sosial harus...
- A. Dirahasiakan
 - B. Dibagikan secara terbatas
 - C. Terbuka dan dapat diverifikasi
 - D. Dihitung setiap 5 tahun
- Jawaban: C**
10. Teori yang berhubungan dengan reputasi dan penerimaan masyarakat adalah...
- A. Teori Nilai Tambah
 - B. Teori Legitimasi
 - C. Teori Agen
 - D. Teori Pelaporan
- Jawaban: B**
11. Akuntansi sosial membantu perusahaan menyeimbangkan...
- A. Kebutuhan internal
 - B. Harapan para pemangku kepentingan
 - C. Pajak tahunan
 - D. Tingkat inflasi
- Jawaban: B**
12. Teori yang memperkuat pentingnya pelaporan sosial bagi masyarakat umum adalah...
- A. Teori Efisiensi
 - B. Teori Akuntabilitas
 - C. Teori Arbitrase
 - D. Teori Produksi
- Jawaban: B**

13. Kerangka teoretis sangat penting dalam akuntansi sosial karena...

- A. Menyederhanakan laporan keuangan
- B. Mengurangi tanggung jawab hukum
- C. Memberi arah dalam strategi dan kebijakan sosial
- D. Meningkatkan laba bersih

Jawaban: C

14. Teori yang menekankan dampak organisasi terhadap kelompok eksternal adalah...

- A. Teori Akuntabilitas
- B. Teori Manajemen Risiko
- C. Teori Produksi
- D. Teori Biaya

Jawaban: A

15. Konsep "triple bottom line" mencakup...

- A. Keuangan, perpajakan, hukum
- B. Ekonomi, sosial, lingkungan
- C. Produksi, pemasaran, konsumsi
- D. CSR, hukum, pajak

Jawaban: B

16. Ketika perusahaan menyampaikan komitmen terhadap keberlanjutan, itu relevan dengan teori...

- A. Teori Arbitrase
- B. Teori Legitimasi
- C. Teori Permintaan
- D. Teori Produksi

Jawaban: B

17. Perusahaan menerapkan teori pemangku kepentingan untuk...

- A. Mematuhi regulasi keuangan
- B. Menyusun strategi pemasaran
- C. Menyeimbangkan kepentingan semua pihak
- D. Menghindari konflik internal

Jawaban: C

18. Transparansi pelaporan diperlukan dalam teori...

- A. Akuntabilitas
- B. Arbitrase
- C. Risiko
- D. Perilaku Konsumen

Jawaban: A

19. Pemangku kepentingan meliputi...

- A. Karyawan dan pemilik saham saja
- B. Hanya regulator
- C. Semua pihak terdampak aktivitas bisnis
- D. Hanya pelanggan

Jawaban: C

20. Akuntansi sosial dapat meningkatkan legitimasi dengan...

- A. Merahasiakan semua dampak
- B. Mengurangi komunikasi dengan masyarakat
- C. Mengungkapkan dampak sosial dan lingkungan secara transparan
- D. Mengabaikan pemangku kepentingan

Jawaban: C

Latihan Soal Pilihan Ganda: Bab 3 – Metodologi Dalam Penelitian Akuntansi Sosial

1. Apa fokus utama pendekatan kuantitatif dalam akuntansi sosial?

- A. Pengumpulan opini
- B. Pengolahan data numerik
- C. Observasi lapangan
- D. Wawancara mendalam

Jawaban: B

2. Pendekatan kualitatif lebih mengutamakan...

- A. Survei online
- B. Analisis statistik
- C. Pemahaman makna dan konteks sosial
- D. Korelasi antar variabel

Jawaban: C

3. Metode campuran adalah...

- A. Kombinasi pendekatan kuantitatif dan kualitatif
- B. Penggunaan hanya metode survei
- C. Hanya digunakan dalam laboratorium
- D. Tidak digunakan dalam akuntansi sosial

Jawaban: A

4. Analisis konten digunakan untuk...

- A. Menghitung neraca
- B. Mengkaji dokumen pelaporan sosial
- C. Menentukan laba bersih
- D. Membuat jurnal akuntansi

Jawaban: B

5. Pendekatan kuantitatif sering digunakan karena...

- A. Menyederhanakan komunikasi
- B. Menghasilkan data subjektif
- C. Mengurangi validitas
- D. Memberikan hasil statistik yang dapat diuji

Jawaban: D

6. Teknik analisis utama dalam pendekatan kualitatif meliputi...

- A. Statistik inferensial
- B. Regresi linier
- C. Coding dan interpretasi
- D. Analisis varians

Jawaban: C

7. Metodologi campuran dapat digunakan untuk...

- A. Menghindari validasi data
- B. Memperkuat triangulasi temuan
- C. Mengurangi keterlibatan pemangku kepentingan
- D. Menolak pendekatan sosial

Jawaban: B

8. Penelitian akuntansi sosial penting untuk...

- A. Memastikan kepatuhan perpajakan
- B. Menilai dampak sosial secara sistematis
- C. Menghindari pengawasan regulator
- D. Meningkatkan pengeluaran operasional

Jawaban: B

9. Dalam akuntansi sosial, wawancara mendalam adalah bagian dari metode...
- A. Kuantitatif
 - B. Audit eksternal
 - C. Kualitatif
 - D. Rasio keuangan

Jawaban: C

10. Validitas hasil penelitian dapat ditingkatkan dengan...
- A. Mengurangi jumlah responden
 - B. Menggunakan satu pendekatan saja
 - C. Menggabungkan metode kuantitatif dan kualitatif
 - D. Tidak mempublikasikan hasil

Jawaban: C

11. Salah satu kelebihan pendekatan kualitatif adalah...
- A. Objektivitas tinggi
 - B. Biaya rendah
 - C. Pemahaman konteks mendalam
 - D. Fokus pada statistik

Jawaban: C

12. Contoh alat dalam pendekatan kuantitatif adalah...
- A. Wawancara
 - B. Kuesioner terstruktur
 - C. Diskusi kelompok
 - D. Studi etnografi

Jawaban: B

13. Analisis konten sangat berguna untuk...
- A. Melihat pola pengungkapan
 - B. Membuat neraca laba rugi
 - C. Menyusun kebijakan pajak
 - D. Menghitung rasio likuiditas

Jawaban: A

14. Penelitian dengan fokus pada narasi dan pengalaman sosial menggunakan pendekatan...
- A. Positivistik
 - B. Kuantitatif
 - C. Kualitatif
 - D. Induktif numerik

Jawaban: C

15. Pendekatan kuantitatif seringkali terbatas dalam menangkap...
- A. Hubungan sebab-akibat
 - B. Makna sosial yang dalam
 - C. Distribusi statistik
 - D. Skala pengukuran

Jawaban: B

16. Dalam studi akuntansi sosial, observasi lapangan adalah bagian dari...
- A. Analisis statistik
 - B. Proses audit
 - C. Metode kualitatif
 - D. Rasio pasar

Jawaban: C

17. Keunggulan metodologi campuran adalah...

- A. Lebih cepat selesai
- B. Mengurangi kebutuhan akan data
- C. Memberi sudut pandang komprehensif
- D. Menyederhanakan konsep

Jawaban: C

18. Penelitian akuntansi sosial sering kali bertujuan untuk...

- A. Menyesuaikan laporan keuangan
- B. Memahami dampak sosial dari kebijakan organisasi
- C. Menyusun jurnal umum
- D. Meningkatkan laba bersih

Jawaban: B

19. Penggunaan software statistik umum dalam pendekatan kuantitatif adalah...

- A. SPSS dan STATA
- B. Excel dan Word
- C. Canva dan Photoshop
- D. PowerPoint dan Zoom

Jawaban: A

20. Analisis konten biasanya diterapkan pada...

- A. Neraca keuangan
- B. Laporan keberlanjutan
- C. Penggajian
- D. Penghitungan biaya variabel

Jawaban: B

Latihan Soal Pilihan Ganda: Bab 4 – Tanggung Jawab Sosial Perusahaan (Csr) Dan Akuntansi

1. Apa arti CSR dalam konteks akuntansi sosial?

- A. Customer Service Regulation
- B. Corporate Sustainability Report
- C. Corporate Social Responsibility
- D. Critical Social Research

Jawaban: C

2. Tujuan utama CSR adalah...

- A. Meningkatkan produksi
- B. Memberikan nilai sosial melalui kegiatan perusahaan
- C. Menekan biaya
- D. Meningkatkan utang

Jawaban: B

3. CSR berkaitan dengan...

- A. Pengelolaan aset
- B. Pengukuran laba bersih
- C. Tanggung jawab sosial perusahaan
- D. Pembuatan laporan keuangan

Jawaban: C

4. Audit sosial dilakukan untuk...

- A. Menghitung gaji karyawan
- B. Menjamin keabsahan pelaporan CSR
- C. Menghindari pajak
- D. Mengurangi beban kerja

Jawaban: B

5. CSR dan akuntansi sosial saling terkait dalam hal...
A. Pajak dan perpajakan
B. Transparansi dan akuntabilitas
C. Penilaian produk
D. Penyusutan aset
Jawaban: B
6. Pelaporan CSR yang baik harus...
A. Ringkas dan bebas data
B. Tidak perlu diverifikasi
C. Berdasarkan indikator sosial yang relevan
D. Terbatas untuk pemegang saham
Jawaban: C
7. CSR dapat meningkatkan...
A. Risiko reputasi
B. Beban operasional
C. Citra dan legitimasi perusahaan
D. Beban pajak
Jawaban: C
8. Perusahaan yang menjalankan CSR dengan baik cenderung...
A. Menurunkan loyalitas konsumen
B. Tidak menarik bagi investor
C. Mendapatkan kepercayaan publik
D. Menjadi tidak efisien
Jawaban: C
9. Pelaporan CSR berbeda dari laporan keuangan karena...
A. Tidak digunakan oleh publik
B. Tidak memerlukan data
C. Fokus pada aspek non-keuangan
D. Tidak memerlukan audit
Jawaban: C
10. CSR tidak hanya bersifat filantropi tetapi juga...
A. Strategi bisnis berkelanjutan
B. Pajak tambahan
C. Kegiatan sosial tahunan
D. Promosi iklan
Jawaban: A
11. Indikator CSR meliputi...
A. Laba bersih
B. Utang lancar
C. Program lingkungan dan sosial
D. Harga pasar saham
Jawaban: C
12. Apa manfaat pelaporan CSR bagi investor?
A. Mengetahui nilai pasar
B. Menilai tanggung jawab sosial perusahaan
C. Menentukan pajak yang dibayar
D. Menilai kemampuan produksi
Jawaban: B

13. CSR yang diterapkan dengan benar dapat...
- A. Mengurangi biaya tenaga kerja
 - B. Memperkuat hubungan dengan masyarakat
 - C. Mengurangi keterlibatan pemangku kepentingan
 - D. Meningkatkan konflik internal
- Jawaban: B**
14. Salah satu cara mengukur efektivitas CSR adalah melalui...
- A. Pengukuran laba kotor
 - B. Uji regresi linier
 - C. Survei dampak sosial
 - D. Penilaian aset tetap
- Jawaban: C**
15. CSR sebaiknya menjadi bagian dari...
- A. Laporan laba rugi
 - B. Strategi pemasaran saja
 - C. Strategi bisnis inti
 - D. Program pemotongan pajak
- Jawaban: C**
16. Pelaporan CSR yang jujur mencegah praktik...
- A. Greenwashing
 - B. Audit internal
 - C. Konversi utang
 - D. Penilaian pasar
- Jawaban: A**
17. CSR dapat menjadi keunggulan kompetitif jika...
- A. Diabaikan oleh perusahaan besar
 - B. Dilaksanakan tanpa bukti
 - C. Disesuaikan dengan nilai organisasi
 - D. Tidak dilaporkan ke publik
- Jawaban: C**
18. Dalam CSR, perusahaan bertanggung jawab kepada...
- A. Hanya pemilik saham
 - B. Pemerintah saja
 - C. Semua pemangku kepentingan
 - D. Departemen pemasaran
- Jawaban: C**
19. Standar pelaporan CSR internasional antara lain...
- A. PSAK
 - B. GRI
 - C. IFRS
 - D. ISO 9001
- Jawaban: B**
20. Perusahaan dapat menghindari risiko reputasi negatif melalui...
- A. Menutup informasi sosial
 - B. Menyederhanakan pelaporan keuangan
 - C. CSR yang transparan dan kredibel
 - D. Mengurangi interaksi publik
- Jawaban: C**

Latihan Soal Pilihan Ganda: Bab 5 – Akuntansi Lingkungan

1. Akuntansi lingkungan berfokus pada...
A. Keuangan perusahaan
B. Perubahan nilai pasar
C. Dampak lingkungan dari kegiatan organisasi
D. Pembayaran dividen
Jawaban: C
2. Akuntansi biaya lingkungan mencatat...
A. Gaji karyawan
B. Biaya limbah dan emisi
C. Pajak penghasilan
D. Biaya promosi
Jawaban: B
3. Emisi gas rumah kaca dicatat dalam...
A. Laporan laba rugi
B. Laporan kas
C. Akuntansi karbon
D. Laporan perubahan modal
Jawaban: C
4. Akuntansi lingkungan membantu perusahaan dalam...
A. Menyusun jadwal kerja
B. Menilai dampak ekologis
C. Membuat iklan
D. Menyusun harga jual
Jawaban: B
5. Manfaat akuntansi lingkungan meliputi...
A. Menambah hutang
B. Menurunkan reputasi
C. Meningkatkan efisiensi sumber daya
D. Mengurangi laba
Jawaban: C
6. Salah satu komponen akuntansi lingkungan adalah...
A. Analisis SWOT
B. Audit keuangan
C. Laporan jejak karbon
D. Pendapatan usaha
Jawaban: C
7. Akuntansi karbon bertujuan untuk...
A. Meningkatkan penjualan
B. Melaporkan emisi dan dampaknya
C. Mengurangi biaya promosi
D. Menilai kinerja keuangan
Jawaban: B
8. Biaya lingkungan termasuk...
A. Biaya pengolahan limbah
B. Gaji direksi
C. Utang jangka pendek
D. Biaya distribusi
Jawaban: A

9. Data akuntansi lingkungan biasanya digunakan oleh...
- A. Auditor internal saja
 - B. Manajer keuangan saja
 - C. Regulator, masyarakat, dan pemangku kepentingan
 - D. Divisi legal

Jawaban: C

10. Salah satu tujuan dari pelaporan lingkungan adalah...
- A. Menyembunyikan informasi sosial
 - B. Meningkatkan beban pajak
 - C. Meningkatkan transparansi dan akuntabilitas
 - D. Menghindari regulasi

Jawaban: C

11. Akuntansi lingkungan berbeda dari akuntansi tradisional karena...
- A. Tidak mencatat transaksi
 - B. Fokus pada dampak sosial saja
 - C. Mencatat biaya dan dampak lingkungan
 - D. Hanya digunakan oleh LSM

Jawaban: C

12. Jejak karbon adalah ukuran dari...
- A. Pendapatan bersih
 - B. Konsumsi energi
 - C. Total emisi gas rumah kaca
 - D. Biaya distribusi

Jawaban: C

13. Emisi CO2 dari transportasi perusahaan termasuk dalam...
- A. Aset tetap
 - B. Pengeluaran modal
 - C. Biaya lingkungan
 - D. Beban gaji

Jawaban: C

14. Standar pelaporan emisi gas rumah kaca diatur oleh...
- A. PSAK
 - B. ISO 14064
 - C. IFRS
 - D. OECD

Jawaban: B

15. Informasi lingkungan berguna bagi investor untuk...
- A. Menentukan bunga pinjaman
 - B. Memahami kinerja keuangan
 - C. Menilai risiko keberlanjutan
 - D. Menghitung laba ditahan

Jawaban: C

16. Biaya remediasi lingkungan timbul dari...
- A. Promosi produk
 - B. Gaji manajemen
 - C. Pemulihan dampak lingkungan
 - D. Penjualan aset

Jawaban: C

17. Laporan lingkungan yang efektif harus...
- A. Ringkas dan tanpa data
 - B. Transparan dan terukur
 - C. Hanya untuk penggunaan internal
 - D. Bersifat rahasia
- Jawaban: B**
18. Akuntansi lingkungan mendukung pencapaian...
- A. ISO 9001
 - B. Tujuan Pembangunan Berkelanjutan (SDGs)
 - C. SAS 70
 - D. PSAK 50
- Jawaban: B**
19. Informasi akuntansi lingkungan dapat membantu...
- A. Menghindari tanggung jawab hukum
 - B. Mengurangi interaksi sosial
 - C. Pengambilan keputusan strategis
 - D. Menambah utang jangka pendek
- Jawaban: C**
20. Salah satu tantangan utama akuntansi lingkungan adalah...
- A. Biaya rendah
 - B. Ketersediaan data akurat
 - C. Kemudahan pengukuran
 - D. Tidak ada regulasi
- Jawaban: B**

Latihan Soal Pilihan Ganda: Bab 6 – Pelaporan Sosial Dan Pengungkapan Keberlanjutan

1. Tujuan utama pelaporan keberlanjutan adalah...
- A. Menambah jumlah investor
 - B. Menyajikan informasi non-keuangan tentang dampak sosial dan lingkungan
 - C. Meningkatkan pajak
 - D. Mengurangi biaya produksi
- Jawaban: B**
2. Standar pelaporan keberlanjutan yang umum digunakan adalah...
- A. PSAK
 - B. IFRS
 - C. GRI
 - D. ISO 9001
- Jawaban: C**
3. Laporan keberlanjutan mencakup aspek...
- A. Keuangan, akuntansi, dan hukum
 - B. Sosial, lingkungan, dan ekonomi
 - C. Produksi, distribusi, dan konsumsi
 - D. Perdagangan, investasi, dan ekspor
- Jawaban: B**
4. Pelaporan sosial yang baik harus bersifat...
- A. Singkat dan tertutup
 - B. Rahasia dan eksklusif
 - C. Transparan dan akuntabel
 - D. Terbatas hanya untuk pemegang saham
- Jawaban: C**

5. *Greenwashing* adalah...
- A. Proses pengolahan limbah
 - B. Praktik menyesatkan terkait klaim keberlanjutan
 - C. Teknik pencucian uang
 - D. Sistem audit ramah lingkungan
- Jawaban: B**
6. Pelaporan sosial memberikan manfaat bagi...
- A. Hanya manajemen
 - B. Semua pemangku kepentingan
 - C. Regulator saja
 - D. Tim keuangan saja
- Jawaban: B**
7. Pelaporan keberlanjutan membantu...
- A. Menghindari hukum
 - B. Meningkatkan profit tanpa kontrol
 - C. Meningkatkan reputasi perusahaan
 - D. Mengurangi produktivitas
- Jawaban: C**
8. GRI memberikan...
- A. Standar etika profesi
 - B. Panduan pelaporan keberlanjutan
 - C. Pedoman pajak
 - D. Peraturan ketenagakerjaan
- Jawaban: B**
9. Salah satu tantangan pelaporan keberlanjutan adalah...
- A. Tingginya permintaan investor
 - B. Kompleksitas pengukuran dampak sosial
 - C. Kurangnya standar akuntansi
 - D. Terlalu banyak data keuangan
- Jawaban: B**
10. Informasi dalam laporan keberlanjutan harus...
- A. Bersifat umum
 - B. Diperoleh dari media
 - C. Berdasarkan data yang dapat diverifikasi
 - D. Bersifat opini pribadi
- Jawaban: C**
11. *Greenwashing* dapat merugikan perusahaan karena...
- A. Meningkatkan pajak
 - B. Mengurangi biaya sosial
 - C. Merusak reputasi dan kepercayaan publik
 - D. Meningkatkan saham
- Jawaban: C**
12. Pelaporan sosial mencerminkan...
- A. Nilai laba perusahaan
 - B. Kontribusi sosial dan lingkungan
 - C. Persediaan akhir
 - D. Proyeksi pasar
- Jawaban: B**

13. Laporan keberlanjutan sering disusun setiap...

- A. Mingguan
- B. Bulanan
- C. Tahunan
- D. Lima tahun

Jawaban: C

14. Stakeholder dalam pelaporan keberlanjutan meliputi...

- A. Pemerintah saja
- B. Media saja
- C. Semua pihak yang terpengaruh oleh aktivitas perusahaan
- D. Investor saja

Jawaban: C

15. Salah satu indikator lingkungan dalam pelaporan adalah...

- A. Jumlah utang
- B. Emisi karbon
- C. Pendapatan bunga
- D. Penjualan tahunan

Jawaban: B

16. Transparansi dalam pelaporan sosial meningkatkan...

- A. Biaya operasional
- B. Ketidakpastian pasar
- C. Kepercayaan stakeholder
- D. Nilai depresiasi

Jawaban: C

17. Perusahaan melakukan pelaporan keberlanjutan untuk...

- A. Mengurangi nilai buku
- B. Meningkatkan kepatuhan dan legitimasi
- C. Menghindari audit
- D. Mengurangi pendapatan

Jawaban: B

18. GRI Sustainability Reporting Standards digunakan untuk...

- A. Audit keuangan
- B. Standar pelaporan keberlanjutan
- C. Penilaian kredit
- D. Pendaftaran perusahaan

Jawaban: B

19. Informasi sosial dalam pelaporan meliputi...

- A. Biaya bahan baku
- B. Penggunaan aset tetap
- C. Program pemberdayaan masyarakat
- D. Laba sebelum pajak

Jawaban: C

20. Dalam konteks keberlanjutan, keterbukaan data membantu...

- A. Menyembunyikan kinerja buruk
- B. Meningkatkan manipulasi
- C. Mengurangi kontrol publik
- D. Pengambilan keputusan yang lebih baik

Jawaban: D

Latihan Soal Pilihan Ganda: Bab 7 – Akuntansi Sosial Di Pemerintah Dan Sektor Publik

1. Apa peran utama akuntansi sosial dalam sektor publik?
A. Mengoptimalkan profit institusi pemerintah
B. Meningkatkan efisiensi pajak
C. Menunjukkan akuntabilitas sosial dan kinerja layanan publik
D. Menyusun strategi investasi daerah
Jawaban: C
2. Social Return on Investment (SROI) digunakan untuk:
A. Mengukur pengembalian modal pemerintah
B. Menghitung nilai tambah sosial dari suatu program
C. Menilai laba bersih organisasi
D. Mengurangi utang publik
Jawaban: B
3. Salah satu indikator kinerja dalam akuntansi sektor publik adalah:
A. Laba kotor
B. ROI
C. Kepuasan masyarakat
D. Saham pemerintah
Jawaban: C
4. Tantangan utama pelaporan sosial di sektor publik adalah:
A. Kekurangan tenaga kerja
B. Kesulitan pengukuran dampak sosial
C. Tidak adanya kebutuhan transparansi
D. Terlalu banyak pendapatan
Jawaban: B
5. Akuntansi sosial di sektor publik lebih menekankan pada:
A. Persaingan pasar
B. Transparansi, akuntabilitas, dan nilai publik
C. Profitabilitas
D. Penghindaran pajak
Jawaban: B
6. Contoh pengukuran SROI adalah:
A. Perbandingan nilai output dan input uang
B. Rasio keuntungan perusahaan
C. Nilai aset tetap
D. Laba kotor tahunan
Jawaban: A
7. Tujuan utama pelaporan sosial di pemerintah adalah:
A. Promosi program
B. Menarik investor
C. Evaluasi dampak sosial program
D. Meningkatkan ekspor
Jawaban: C
8. Sektor publik biasanya menyusun laporan sosial untuk:
A. Bank
B. Pemegang saham
C. Publik dan pembuat kebijakan
D. Perusahaan multinasional
Jawaban: C

9. Salah satu studi kasus akuntansi sosial sektor publik ada di negara:
- A. Norwegia
 - B. Zimbabwe
 - C. Spanyol
 - D. Brasil

Jawaban: D

10. Fungsi utama dari laporan sosial sektor publik adalah:
- A. Memanipulasi opini
 - B. Menyembunyikan kegagalan
 - C. Membangun kepercayaan publik
 - D. Mendapatkan keuntungan fiskal

Jawaban: C

11. Apa yang dimaksud dengan "nilai publik"?
- A. Nilai jual properti pemerintah
 - B. Nilai estetika kota
 - C. Manfaat sosial dari aktivitas pemerintah
 - D. Harga pasar layanan publik

Jawaban: C

12. Siapa yang paling berkepentingan terhadap laporan sosial pemerintah?
- A. Pemilik saham
 - B. Regulator pasar
 - C. Masyarakat umum
 - D. Perusahaan swasta

Jawaban: C

13. Akuntansi sosial membantu publik:
- A. Menghindari pajak
 - B. Menilai kinerja birokrasi
 - C. Mengajukan pinjaman
 - D. Berinvestasi dalam obligasi negara

Jawaban: B

14. Salah satu prinsip dasar akuntansi sektor publik adalah:
- A. Maximizing profit
 - B. Fair value
 - C. Public accountability
 - D. Income recognition

Jawaban: C

15. Program sosial pemerintah yang diukur dengan SROI biasanya:
- A. Berorientasi pada hasil keuangan
 - B. Fokus pada pertumbuhan saham
 - C. Berdampak langsung pada kesejahteraan sosial
 - D. Dilaporkan ke OJK

Jawaban: C

16. Pelaporan keuangan di sektor publik biasanya menggunakan:
- A. Laporan arus kas pribadi
 - B. Laporan posisi fiskal dan sosial
 - C. Neraca perdagangan
 - D. Laporan utang negara

Jawaban: B

17. Kriteria kinerja sosial sektor publik meliputi, kecuali:
- A. Keamanan publik
 - B. Efisiensi program
 - C. Tingkat pengembalian modal

D. Aksesibilitas layanan

Jawaban:C

18. Kinerja sosial sektor publik lebih menekankan pada:

- A. Dividen
- B. Manfaat sosial
- C. Ekspansi bisnis
- D. Imbal hasil investasi

Jawaban:B

19. Mengapa akuntansi sosial penting bagi sektor publik?

- A. Untuk meningkatkan ekspor
- B. Mendorong transparansi dan legitimasi pemerintah
- C. Mengurangi tarif pajak
- D. Meningkatkan utang nasional

Jawaban:B

20. Pelaporan sosial di sektor publik sangat dipengaruhi oleh:

- A. Perdagangan internasional
- B. Politik dan kebijakan publik
- C. Harga minyak
- D. Inflasi

Jawaban:B

Latihan Soal Pilihan Ganda: Bab 8 – Organisasi Nirlaba Dan Akuntansi Sosial

1. Apa perbedaan utama organisasi nirlaba dengan organisasi bisnis?

- A. Nirlaba fokus pada keuntungan maksimum
- B. Nirlaba tidak memiliki tujuan sosial
- C. Nirlaba mengutamakan pencapaian misi sosial
- D. Nirlaba wajib membayar dividen

Jawaban:C

2. Kinerja organisasi nirlaba dinilai berdasarkan:

- A. ROI
- B. Pertumbuhan modal
- C. Dampak sosial dan keberlanjutan
- D. Tingkat bunga simpanan

Jawaban:C

3. Dalam pelaporan nirlaba, transparansi penting untuk:

- A. Mengurangi pajak
- B. Menarik perhatian media
- C. Membangun kepercayaan donor dan masyarakat
- D. Mengoptimalkan kredit

Jawaban:C

4. Salah satu tantangan etis dalam pelaporan nirlaba adalah:

- A. Menyembunyikan utang
- B. Greenwashing
- C. Konflik donor dan penerima manfaat
- D. Inflasi

Jawaban:C

5. Akuntabilitas dalam organisasi nirlaba berfokus pada:

- A. Pemegang saham
- B. Pemerintah asing
- C. Donor, penerima manfaat, dan publik
- D. Manajer keuangan

Jawaban:C

6. Laporan keuangan nirlaba biasanya mencakup:
- A. Laporan perubahan modal
 - B. Laporan aktivitas dan posisi keuangan
 - C. Laporan saham
 - D. Laporan laba rugi
- Jawaban:B**
7. Tujuan pelaporan donor dalam organisasi nirlaba adalah:
- A. Menarik investor asing
 - B. Menunjukkan pertumbuhan aset
 - C. Mempertanggungjawabkan penggunaan dana hibah
 - D. Menghindari audit
- Jawaban:C**
8. Indikator utama keberhasilan sosial lembaga nirlaba adalah:
- A. Nilai saham
 - B. Dampak terhadap penerima manfaat
 - C. Jumlah pinjaman
 - D. Pendapatan bersih
- Jawaban:B**
9. Salah satu bentuk pengukuran dampak nirlaba adalah:
- A. EPS
 - B. Balanced Scorecard
 - C. Social Impact Assessment
 - D. ROE
- Jawaban:C**
10. Peran utama akuntansi sosial dalam organisasi nirlaba adalah:
- A. Menentukan harga jual
 - B. Mengelola portofolio investasi
 - C. Menunjukkan kontribusi sosial secara terukur
 - D. Membatasi pengeluaran
- Jawaban:C**
11. Pelaporan sosial di organisasi nirlaba penting untuk:
- A. Analisis bisnis
 - B. Lelang aset
 - C. Evaluasi misi organisasi
 - D. Manajemen utang
- Jawaban:C**
12. Akuntansi sosial membantu organisasi nirlaba:
- A. Menghindari kewajiban
 - B. Mengatur investasi luar negeri
 - C. Mengkomunikasikan nilai-nilai sosial
 - D. Mempengaruhi kebijakan moneter
- Jawaban:C**
13. Akuntabilitas tinggi membuat organisasi nirlaba:
- A. Mudah dijual
 - B. Lebih kompetitif di pasar
 - C. Lebih dipercaya donor dan publik
 - D. Tidak wajib diaudit
- Jawaban:C**
14. Pengukuran dampak sosial sebaiknya:
- A. Kualitatif saja
 - B. Kuantitatif saja
 - C. Kombinasi keduanya

D. Tidak perlu dilakukan

Jawaban:C

15. Lembaga nirlaba sering menghadapi kesulitan dalam:

- A. Menghasilkan laba
- B. Menarik investor
- C. Menilai nilai sosial dari kegiatan mereka
- D. Memasarkan produk

Jawaban:C

16. Audit dalam organisasi nirlaba biasanya bertujuan untuk:

- A. Memaksimalkan pajak
- B. Verifikasi penggunaan dana secara etis dan efisien
- C. Meningkatkan ekspor
- D. Menyusun kebijakan harga

Jawaban:B

17. Sumber pendanaan utama organisasi nirlaba adalah:

- A. Penjualan saham
- B. Pinjaman bank
- C. Donasi dan hibah
- D. Dividen

Jawaban:C

18. Informasi sosial dan keuangan yang dilaporkan organisasi nirlaba harus:

- A. Rumit dan terbatas
- B. Sesuai preferensi manajer
- C. Relevan, jujur, dan dapat diverifikasi
- D. Hanya untuk auditor

Jawaban:C

19. Salah satu studi kasus pelaporan sosial nirlaba ada di:

- A. Shell
- B. Red Cross
- C. Toyota
- D. KFC

Jawaban:B

20. Etika dalam akuntansi sosial nirlaba penting untuk:

- A. Menghindari audit
- B. Menarik minat investor asing
- C. Menjaga integritas dan kredibilitas lembaga
- D. Meningkatkan pinjaman

Jawaban:C

Latihan Soal Pilihan Ganda: Bab 9 – Keterlibatan Pemangku Kepentingan Dan Akuntabilitas Sosial

1. Pemangku kepentingan dalam akuntansi sosial adalah:

- A. Hanya pemilik saham
- B. Pihak yang terkena dampak atau memiliki kepentingan terhadap organisasi
- C. Karyawan internal saja
- D. Lembaga pajak saja

Jawaban:B

2. Tujuan utama keterlibatan pemangku kepentingan adalah:

- A. Menjual produk
- B. Meningkatkan keuntungan
- C. Meningkatkan transparansi dan akuntabilitas

D. Menekan biaya

Jawaban:C

3. Dalam akuntansi sosial, siapa yang termasuk pemangku kepentingan?

- A. Investor dan manajer
- B. Regulator, masyarakat, konsumen, dan karyawan
- C. Hanya pelanggan
- D. Direksi dan komisaris

Jawaban:B

4. Dialog dengan pemangku kepentingan bertujuan untuk:

- A. Menyusun laporan keuangan
- B. Mempercepat produksi
- C. Menyelaraskan harapan sosial dan strategi organisasi
- D. Menghindari audit

Jawaban:C

5. Kontrak sosial dalam akuntansi berarti:

- A. Kontrak antara dua perusahaan
- B. Kesepakatan formal dengan investor
- C. Pemahaman implisit antara organisasi dan masyarakat tentang ekspektasi sosial
- D. Perjanjian hukum perusahaan

Jawaban:C

6. Salah satu indikator akuntabilitas sosial adalah:

- A. ROE
- B. Kepuasan pemangku kepentingan
- C. Dividen
- D. Ekuitas

Jawaban:B

7. Transparansi membantu pemangku kepentingan untuk:

- A. Menjual saham
- B. Menurunkan beban pajak
- C. Mengawasi dan mengevaluasi organisasi
- D. Meningkatkan bunga simpanan

Jawaban:C

8. Pengungkapan sosial sering digunakan untuk:

- A. Promosi iklan
- B. Meraih simpati
- C. Membangun kepercayaan
- D. Spekulasi pasar

Jawaban:C

9. Pelaporan kepada kelompok pemangku kepentingan berbeda harus mempertimbangkan:

- A. Tujuan investasi
- B. Struktur organisasi
- C. Kebutuhan informasi masing-masing kelompok
- D. Nilai saham

Jawaban:C

10. Akuntabilitas sosial dapat dilihat dari:

- A. Jumlah produksi
- B. Tingkat kepuasan pelanggan dan komunitas
- C. Kinerja finansial semata
- D. Anggaran iklan

Jawaban:B

11. Mengapa organisasi harus melibatkan pemangku kepentingan dalam pengambilan keputusan?
- A. Agar terlihat demokratis
 - B. Untuk efisiensi internal
 - C. Karena mereka memiliki pengaruh atas keberlanjutan organisasi
 - D. Karena diwajibkan oleh hukum

Jawaban:C

12. Pemangku kepentingan eksternal mencakup:
- A. Dewan komisaris
 - B. Karyawan
 - C. Regulator, LSM, dan komunitas
 - D. Manajemen puncak

Jawaban:C

13. Strategi komunikasi sosial yang baik akan:
- A. Mengurangi jumlah laporan
 - B. Membingungkan publik
 - C. Meningkatkan reputasi dan dukungan publik
 - D. Menyulitkan manajemen

Jawaban:C

14. Praktik akuntabilitas yang buruk dapat menyebabkan:
- A. Laba tinggi
 - B. Penurunan kepercayaan publik
 - C. Peningkatan produksi
 - D. Harga saham naik

Jawaban:B

15. Pelaporan sosial harus dilakukan dengan prinsip:
- A. Kepentingan pasar
 - B. Kepentingan eksekutif
 - C. Keterbukaan, relevansi, dan partisipasi
 - D. Keuntungan jangka pendek

Jawaban:C

16. Manfaat pelibatan pemangku kepentingan adalah:
- A. Menurunkan biaya bahan baku
 - B. Meningkatkan pajak
 - C. Memperkuat legitimasi sosial
 - D. Menghindari persaingan

Jawaban:C

17. Kegagalan melibatkan pemangku kepentingan dapat menyebabkan:
- A. Kinerja finansial stabil
 - B. Dukungan sosial tinggi
 - C. Konflik sosial dan reputasi buruk
 - D. Harga produk naik

Jawaban:C

18. Bentuk akuntabilitas sosial antara lain:
- A. Laporan keuangan tahunan
 - B. Laporan dampak sosial dan forum publik
 - C. Neraca rugi laba
 - D. Surat keputusan direksi

Jawaban:B

19. Pelaporan kepada komunitas lokal mencerminkan:
- A. Tanggung jawab hukum
 - B. Komitmen terhadap pembangunan sosial
 - C. Kepentingan pribadi CEO

D. Tujuan ekspansi bisnis

Jawaban:B

20. Akuntansi sosial mendorong organisasi untuk:

A. Fokus pada ekspansi

B. Mematuhi target penjualan

C. Bertindak secara bertanggung jawab terhadap seluruh pemangku kepentingan

D. Meningkatkan margin laba

Jawaban:C

Latihan Soal Pilihan Ganda: Bab 10 – Perburuan Dan Hak Asasi Manusia Dalam Akuntansi Sosial

1. Akuntansi sosial dalam konteks perburuan fokus pada:

A. Efisiensi logistik

B. Hak dan kesejahteraan pekerja

C. Harga jual produk

D. Laba rugi operasional

Jawaban:B

2. Pelaporan hak asasi manusia membantu perusahaan untuk:

A. Menghindari pajak

B. Menarik lebih banyak investor

C. Menunjukkan komitmen terhadap etika kerja

D. Meningkatkan margin

Jawaban:C

3. Salah satu indikator kesejahteraan pekerja adalah:

A. ROI perusahaan

B. Tingkat pengeluaran konsumen

C. Upah yang layak dan kondisi kerja aman

D. Jumlah ekspor

Jawaban:C

4. Pelanggaran HAM dalam praktik akuntansi sering muncul dalam bentuk:

A. Laporan keuangan palsu

B. Manipulasi harga pasar

C. Eksploitasi tenaga kerja dan diskriminasi

D. Kenaikan harga saham

Jawaban:C

5. Peran serikat pekerja dalam akuntansi sosial adalah untuk:

A. Mewakili manajemen

B. Menentukan pajak

C. Melindungi hak pekerja dan memastikan keadilan sosial

D. Menyusun strategi pasar

Jawaban:C

6. Kerangka pelaporan HAM dalam akuntansi mencakup:

A. Perhitungan kas

B. Perhitungan depresiasi

C. Hak pekerja, nondiskriminasi, dan kondisi kerja

D. Harga pokok produksi

Jawaban:C

7. Akuntabilitas sosial terhadap buruh diwujudkan dalam bentuk:

A. Laporan pengeluaran

B. Audit internal

C. Transparansi gaji, jam kerja, dan keselamatan

D. Strategi pemasaran

Jawaban:C

8. Studi kasus pelanggaran hak buruh sering dikaitkan dengan:

- A. Negara-negara Skandinavia
- B. Industri tekstil dan elektronik di negara berkembang
- C. Universitas dan lembaga keuangan
- D. Pemerintah daerah

Jawaban:B

9. Hak asasi manusia yang harus dijamin oleh perusahaan meliputi, kecuali:

- A. Hak berserikat
- B. Hak atas keamanan kerja
- C. Hak untuk membentuk monopoli
- D. Hak atas upah yang adil

Jawaban:C

10. Transparansi terhadap kondisi kerja penting agar:

- A. Harga saham naik
- B. Pekerja merasa diawasi
- C. Publik percaya terhadap komitmen sosial perusahaan
- D. Produksi meningkat

Jawaban:C

11. Salah satu tantangan mengukur kinerja sosial terkait ketenagakerjaan adalah:

- A. Fluktuasi nilai tukar
- B. Kesulitan kuantifikasi indikator etis
- C. Harga bahan baku
- D. Pajak korporasi

Jawaban:B

12. Akuntansi sosial yang etis dapat menghindarkan perusahaan dari:

- A. Efisiensi biaya
- B. Denda hukum dan krisis reputasi
- C. Kenaikan tarif ekspor
- D. Penurunan ekspor

Jawaban:B

13. Isu gender dalam akuntansi sosial berhubungan dengan:

- A. Inflasi
- B. Dividen
- C. Kesetaraan peluang kerja dan upah
- D. Tarif impor

Jawaban:C

14. Salah satu pendekatan yang digunakan untuk pelaporan sosial terkait ketenagakerjaan adalah:

- A. Environmental Risk Index
- B. Human Rights Due Diligence
- C. Debt-Equity Ratio
- D. Balanced Budgeting

Jawaban:B

15. Perusahaan yang melanggar HAM dalam operasionalnya:

- A. Mendapatkan potongan pajak
- B. Menurunkan loyalitas pekerja dan konsumen
- C. Dapat penghargaan CSR
- D. Diperbolehkan memperluas pasar

Jawaban:B

16. Manfaat pelaporan hak pekerja yang transparan adalah:

- A. Menurunkan biaya operasional
- B. Meningkatkan legitimasi dan kepercayaan publik
- C. Mengurangi persaingan
- D. Meningkatkan pajak

Jawaban: B

17. Pelaporan akuntansi sosial terkait buruh harus dilakukan secara:

- A. Sekali dalam lima tahun
- B. Tertutup
- C. Konsisten, terbuka, dan dapat diverifikasi
- D. Hanya untuk internal

Jawaban: C

18. Isu perburuhan dalam akuntansi sosial erat kaitannya dengan prinsip:

- A. Kepemilikan saham
- B. Persaingan usaha
- C. Keadilan sosial
- D. Harga pokok

Jawaban: C

19. Salah satu contoh perusahaan yang dikenal memperhatikan hak pekerja adalah:

- A. ExxonMobil
- B. Tesla
- C. Patagonia
- D. Nestlé

Jawaban: C

20. Audit sosial dapat digunakan untuk:

- A. Menentukan harga saham
- B. Menghitung depresiasi
- C. Menilai kepatuhan perusahaan terhadap hak pekerja
- D. Menyusun laporan laba rugi

Jawaban: C

Latihan Soal Pilihan Ganda: Bab 11 – Standar Dan Peraturan Internasional Dalam Akuntansi Sosial

1. IFRS berperan dalam akuntansi sosial dengan:

- A. Mengatur tarif pajak global
- B. Menstandarkan metode laba rugi
- C. Memberikan kerangka pelaporan keberlanjutan secara umum
- D. Menetapkan sistem penggajian

Jawaban: C

2. GRI merupakan singkatan dari:

- A. Global Report Index
- B. General Risk Initiative
- C. Global Reporting Initiative
- D. Global Return Institute

Jawaban: C

3. Tujuan utama GRI adalah:

- A. Meningkatkan dividen
- B. Mengatur perdagangan internasional
- C. Standarisasi pelaporan sosial dan lingkungan
- D. Menetapkan tarif pajak negara

Jawaban: C

4. SDGs adalah singkatan dari:
- A. Sustainable Development Goals
 - B. Standardized Data Governance
 - C. Social Development Guide
 - D. Sectoral Disclosure Guidelines

Jawaban:A

5. SDGs dikembangkan oleh:
- A. World Bank
 - B. ASEAN
 - C. PBB (Perserikatan Bangsa-Bangsa)
 - D. IMF

Jawaban:C

6. Akuntansi sosial mendukung pencapaian SDGs melalui:
- A. Laporan rugi laba
 - B. Audit internal
 - C. Pengukuran kontribusi sosial perusahaan
 - D. Promosi merek

Jawaban:C

7. Tantangan utama dalam standardisasi pelaporan sosial secara global adalah:
- A. Keterbatasan teknologi
 - B. Keragaman budaya dan kebijakan nasional
 - C. Kenaikan harga bahan baku
 - D. Fluktuasi pasar saham

Jawaban:B

8. Standar pelaporan GRI mencakup topik berikut, kecuali:
- A. Kesehatan dan keselamatan kerja
 - B. Dampak lingkungan
 - C. Pendapatan manajerial
 - D. Praktik ketenagakerjaan

Jawaban:C

9. SASB adalah lembaga yang berfokus pada:
- A. Standar keuangan sektor perbankan
 - B. Pelaporan berorientasi investor untuk keberlanjutan
 - C. Pelatihan akuntan global
 - D. Audit internasional

Jawaban:B

10. Salah satu peraturan Uni Eropa terkait pelaporan keberlanjutan adalah:
- A. GATT
 - B. CSRD
 - C. WTO Agreement
 - D. Basel II

Jawaban:B

11. CSRD mewajibkan perusahaan besar untuk:
- A. Melaporkan strategi pasar
 - B. Menyusun laporan rugi laba bulanan
 - C. Mengungkapkan dampak sosial dan lingkungan
 - D. Mendaftarkan seluruh transaksi ekspor

Jawaban:C

12. Negara yang termasuk aktif dalam mendorong regulasi pelaporan sosial adalah:
- A. Somalia
 - B. Swedia
 - C. Venezuela

D. Korea Utara

Jawaban:B

13. Salah satu manfaat harmonisasi standar pelaporan adalah:

- A. Membatasi pertumbuhan bisnis
- B. Mengurangi beban audit
- C. Mempermudah perbandingan antar perusahaan lintas negara
- D. Menurunkan pajak perusahaan

Jawaban:C

14. Triple Bottom Line dalam pelaporan keberlanjutan mencakup:

- A. Laba, likuiditas, dan pertumbuhan
- B. Keuangan, logistik, dan manajemen
- C. Profit, people, planet
- D. Dividen, kas, dan utang

Jawaban:C

15. Perbedaan standar pelaporan antar negara menyebabkan:

- A. Lebih banyak keuntungan
- B. Kesulitan membandingkan kinerja sosial perusahaan global
- C. Pelaporan menjadi lebih fleksibel
- D. Semakin murah biaya audit

Jawaban:B

16. Pelaporan ESG mencakup aspek:

- A. Ekonomi, Sosial, Global
- B. Emisi, Safety, Governance
- C. Environmental, Social, Governance
- D. Ethics, Strategy, Growth

Jawaban:C

17. Tujuan pelaporan sosial global adalah:

- A. Menambah pajak
- B. Menjual saham lebih cepat
- C. Meningkatkan transparansi lintas negara
- D. Memudahkan impor

Jawaban:C

18. Salah satu organisasi internasional yang menetapkan prinsip pelaporan adalah:

- A. OPEC
- B. UNGC (United Nations Global Compact)
- C. NATO
- D. ASEAN

Jawaban:B

19. Regulasi pelaporan sosial internasional lebih berkembang di:

- A. Negara-negara berkembang
- B. Negara-negara konflik
- C. Negara maju
- D. Negara agraris

Jawaban:C

20. Peraturan internasional mendorong perusahaan untuk:

- A. Menghindari pajak
- B. Menyembunyikan data sosial
- C. Bertindak lebih transparan dan bertanggung jawab
- D. Menurunkan gaji pekerja

Jawaban:C

Latihan Soal Pilihan Ganda: Bab 12 – Akuntansi Sosial Di Negara Berkembang

1. Peran utama akuntansi sosial di negara berkembang adalah:
A. Meningkatkan nilai saham
B. Mengurangi biaya produksi
C. Mendukung pembangunan ekonomi berkelanjutan
D. Mempromosikan ekspor
Jawaban:C
2. Salah satu tantangan utama dalam adopsi akuntansi sosial di negara berkembang adalah:
A. Terlalu banyak regulasi
B. Kurangnya akses teknologi dan sumber daya manusia
C. Kelebihan modal
D. Persaingan global
Jawaban:B
3. Ekonomi informal mencakup:
A. Perusahaan publik besar
B. Lembaga pemerintah
C. Aktivitas ekonomi tanpa pengakuan hukum formal
D. Bursa saham
Jawaban:C
4. Akuntansi sosial penting untuk sektor informal karena:
A. Membantu perusahaan menaikkan harga
B. Memberikan kredit bank
C. Memungkinkan pengukuran kontribusi sosial
D. Meningkatkan pajak
Jawaban:C
5. Salah satu tantangan etis dalam pelaporan sosial di negara berkembang adalah:
A. Kurangnya ekspor
B. Ketidakstabilan iklim
C. Ketimpangan sosial dan korupsi
D. Tingginya pajak
Jawaban:C
6. Pemerintah di negara berkembang dapat mendukung akuntansi sosial melalui:
A. Subsidi minyak
B. Regulasi dan pelatihan pelaporan sosial
C. Pemangkasan anggaran pendidikan
D. Penyederhanaan laporan laba rugi
Jawaban:B
7. Perusahaan sosial di negara berkembang bertujuan untuk:
A. Memaksimalkan keuntungan
B. Meningkatkan pangsa pasar
C. Menciptakan dampak sosial dan ekonomi positif
D. Menaikkan harga saham
Jawaban:C
8. Laporan keberlanjutan di negara berkembang seringkali belum berkembang karena:
A. Ketersediaan data tinggi
B. Tingkat kepercayaan masyarakat terlalu besar
C. Minimnya insentif dan infrastruktur pendukung
D. Perusahaan menolak untung
Jawaban:C

9. Praktik pelaporan sosial dapat membantu negara berkembang:

- A. Meningkatkan defisit
- B. Menurunkan transparansi
- C. Membangun legitimasi dan kepercayaan investor
- D. Mengurangi ekspor

Jawaban:C

10. Studi kasus akuntansi sosial di Bangladesh banyak ditemukan pada sektor:

- A. Teknologi
- B. Perikanan
- C. Garmen dan tekstil
- D. Tambang emas

Jawaban:C

11. Adopsi GRI di negara berkembang mengalami kendala karena:

- A. Terlalu mudah digunakan
- B. Tidak relevan dengan negara berkembang
- C. Kurangnya pemahaman dan pelatihan
- D. Digunakan hanya oleh LSM

Jawaban:C

12. Ekonomi informal sulit dijangkau akuntansi sosial karena:

- A. Pendapatannya sangat besar
- B. Tidak memiliki struktur legal formal
- C. Terlalu banyak audit
- D. Sudah menggunakan IFRS

Jawaban:B

13. Tujuan dari inisiatif pelaporan sosial pemerintah adalah:

- A. Meningkatkan laba negara
- B. Menambah gaji pejabat
- C. Mendorong transparansi dan pengukuran dampak kebijakan
- D. Mengurangi subsidi

Jawaban:C

14. Lembaga donor internasional mendorong akuntansi sosial untuk:

- A. Meningkatkan inflasi
- B. Menghindari penyaluran bantuan
- C. Memastikan efektivitas penggunaan bantuan
- D. Menurunkan biaya audit

Jawaban:C

15. Akuntansi sosial di negara berkembang dapat meningkatkan:

- A. Kebutuhan pinjaman
- B. Kepercayaan publik terhadap lembaga dan sektor usaha
- C. Konsumsi rumah tangga
- D. Penggunaan bahan bakar fosil

Jawaban:B

16. Perusahaan sosial umumnya mengutamakan:

- A. Efisiensi produksi
- B. Keuntungan maksimum
- C. Dampak sosial dan pemberdayaan komunitas
- D. Pengurangan pajak

Jawaban:C

17. Kebijakan akuntansi sosial yang efektif sebaiknya:

- A. Dipaksakan sepihak
- B. Mengacu pada praktik negara maju saja
- C. Disesuaikan dengan konteks lokal dan partisipatif

D. Tidak terdokumentasi

Jawaban:C

18. Organisasi internasional seperti UNDP mendukung akuntansi sosial di negara berkembang untuk:

- A. Meningkatkan utang negara
- B. Menurunkan pendapatan
- C. Mendukung inklusi dan keberlanjutan
- D. Menghapus regulasi

Jawaban:C

19. Salah satu tantangan besar dalam evaluasi sosial di negara berkembang adalah:

- A. Kelebihan laporan
- B. Kesulitan mengakses data dampak sosial
- C. Terlalu banyak CSR
- D. Tidak ada sektor informal

Jawaban:B

20. Pelaporan sosial dapat digunakan untuk:

- A. Menyembunyikan praktik buruk
- B. Mengukur kesejahteraan masyarakat secara sistematis
- C. Menentukan strategi pemasaran
- D. Menurunkan gaji pekerja

Jawaban:B

Latihan Soal Pilihan Ganda: Bab 13 – Transformasi Digital Dalam Akuntansi Sosial

1. Transformasi digital dalam akuntansi sosial bertujuan untuk:

- A. Mengurangi pengeluaran promosi
- B. Meningkatkan akurasi dan efisiensi pelaporan sosial
- C. Menaikkan harga jual
- D. Menghindari audit

Jawaban:B

2. Teknologi big data memungkinkan perusahaan:

- A. Mengurangi jumlah pegawai
- B. Memantau dampak sosial dan lingkungan secara real-time
- C. Menyusun laporan laba rugi
- D. Menentukan kurs valuta asing

Jawaban:B

3. Salah satu peran AI dalam akuntansi sosial adalah:

- A. Memanipulasi data
- B. Menyederhanakan laporan pajak
- C. Menganalisis pola dampak sosial secara otomatis
- D. Menghapus tanggung jawab manajer

Jawaban:C

4. Teknologi blockchain digunakan untuk:

- A. Menjual saham
- B. Transparansi dan keandalan data sosial
- C. Penurunan beban utang
- D. Meningkatkan margin laba

Jawaban:B

5. Cloud computing dalam pelaporan sosial berfungsi untuk:

- A. Menyimpan laporan di gudang
- B. Meningkatkan keamanan dan aksesibilitas data
- C. Menurunkan tarif ekspor

D. Menaikkan gaji manajemen

Jawaban:B

6. Salah satu kelebihan teknologi digital dalam akuntansi sosial adalah:

- A. Menghindari pelaporan
- B. Mengurangi konflik internal
- C. Memungkinkan pelaporan berkelanjutan dan real-time
- D. Menambah beban pelaporan

Jawaban:C

7. Kekhawatiran etis dalam penggunaan teknologi termasuk:

- A. Kualitas produk
- B. Manipulasi data dan pelanggaran privasi
- C. Nilai tukar rendah
- D. Gangguan logistik

Jawaban:B

8. AI dalam pelaporan keberlanjutan dapat membantu:

- A. Menurunkan laba
- B. Menghindari pemangku kepentingan
- C. Prediksi dampak sosial dan lingkungan jangka panjang
- D. Menutup akses data publik

Jawaban:C

9. Teknologi digital membantu perusahaan menjadi:

- A. Kurang transparan
- B. Lebih kompetitif dan berkelanjutan
- C. Tertutup terhadap investor
- D. Lebih boros

Jawaban:B

10. Otomatisasi akuntansi sosial menciptakan:

- A. Kebutuhan akan lebih banyak tenaga manual
- B. Pelaporan yang lebih lambat
- C. Proses yang efisien dan minim kesalahan
- D. Laporan tidak akurat

Jawaban:C

11. Contoh aplikasi digital dalam akuntansi sosial adalah:

- A. Excel
- B. SAP Sustainability, IBM Envizi
- C. Word
- D. WhatsApp

Jawaban:B

12. Salah satu tantangan penggunaan teknologi digital dalam akuntansi sosial adalah:

- A. Terlalu banyak konsumen
- B. Ketergantungan teknologi dan isu keamanan siber
- C. Keuntungan terlalu tinggi
- D. Minimnya pengeluaran

Jawaban:B

13. Blockchain cocok digunakan untuk:

- A. Operasi manual
- B. Transparansi rantai pasok dan audit sosial
- C. Menjual aset tetap
- D. Akuntansi biaya tradisional

Jawaban:B

14. Pelaporan otomatis berbasis cloud membuat data sosial:

- A. Tidak bisa diakses publik
- B. Lebih tertutup
- C. Mudah diakses dan dibagikan
- D. Tertinggal dari tren

Jawaban:C

15. Transformasi digital membantu perusahaan:

- A. Menyembunyikan data
- B. Tidak bertanggung jawab
- C. Meningkatkan akuntabilitas sosial
- D. Mengurangi data sosial

Jawaban:C

16. Teknologi digital mendukung prinsip pelaporan sosial yaitu:

- A. Ketertutupan
- B. Keterlambatan
- C. Transparansi dan akurasi
- D. Spekulasi

Jawaban:C

17. AI dapat digunakan untuk mendeteksi:

- A. Harga saham
- B. Jejak karbon dan risiko sosial
- C. Kurs rupiah
- D. Pendapatan pajak

Jawaban:B

18. Risiko etis dalam digitalisasi akuntansi sosial adalah:

- A. Gaji tinggi
- B. Akses publik terlalu banyak
- C. Keamanan data dan privasi pengguna
- D. Kualitas produk

Jawaban:C

19. Salah satu tren masa depan akuntansi sosial berbasis digital adalah:

- A. Penurunan akses data
- B. Pelaporan tertulis manual
- C. Integrasi platform pelaporan ESG global
- D. Hilangnya peran CSR

Jawaban:C

20. Digitalisasi akuntansi sosial menciptakan peluang untuk:

- A. Menghindari kewajiban hukum
- B. Menutup praktik tidak etis
- C. Inovasi pelaporan berbasis data dan transparansi
- D. Kenaikan pajak

Jawaban:C

Latihan Soal Pilihan Ganda: Bab 14 – Akuntansi Sosial Dan Perubahan Iklim

1. Perubahan iklim menjadi isu penting dalam akuntansi sosial karena:

- A. Mengganggu harga saham
- B. Tidak berdampak ekonomi
- C. Menimbulkan risiko sosial, lingkungan, dan ekonomi jangka panjang
- D. Meningkatkan profit

Jawaban:C

2. Salah satu bentuk pelaporan dampak perubahan iklim adalah:

- A. Laporan arus kas

- B. Laporan emisi karbon dan adaptasi iklim
- C. Laporan pajak
- D. Laporan utang

Jawaban:B

3. TCFD adalah singkatan dari:
- A. The Climate Finance Development
 - B. Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures
 - C. Tax Control for Development
 - D. Transparency Council for Development

Jawaban:B

4. Tujuan utama TCFD adalah:
- A. Meningkatkan ekspor
 - B. Mengontrol arus modal
 - C. Memberikan panduan pengungkapan risiko iklim
 - D. Menentukan harga karbon

Jawaban:C

5. Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions dilaporkan dalam:
- A. Bentuk laba rugi
 - B. Satuan CO₂e (Carbon Dioxide Equivalent)
 - C. Jumlah pengeluaran
 - D. Rupiah

Jawaban:B

6. Scope 1, 2, dan 3 dalam pelaporan emisi menunjukkan:
- A. Pendapatan sektor publik
 - B. Kategori dampak sosial
 - C. Jenis-jenis emisi dari operasional langsung hingga rantai pasok
 - D. Jumlah aset tetap

Jawaban:C

7. Scope 3 mencakup emisi dari:
- A. Kantor pusat
 - B. Proses produksi langsung
 - C. Aktivitas hulu-hilir dalam rantai pasok
 - D. Mesin pabrik saja

Jawaban:C

8. Pelaporan emisi karbon penting untuk:
- A. Menambah utang
 - B. Mengetahui posisi pasar
 - C. Mengidentifikasi risiko transisi dan fisik akibat perubahan iklim
 - D. Menaikkan harga produk

Jawaban:C

9. Perusahaan yang tidak melaporkan dampak iklim berisiko mengalami:
- A. Naiknya investasi
 - B. Kenaikan produktivitas
 - C. Penurunan kepercayaan investor dan publik
 - D. Stabilitas pasar

Jawaban:C

10. Akuntansi sosial terkait iklim membantu:
- A. Menyusun strategi penjualan
 - B. Meningkatkan biaya produksi
 - C. Mengintegrasikan risiko dan peluang iklim ke dalam keputusan bisnis
 - D. Menyembunyikan tanggung jawab

Jawaban:C

11. Salah satu praktik buruk yang harus dihindari adalah:

- A. Carbon pricing
- B. Green investment
- C. Greenwashing
- D. Circular economy

Jawaban:C

12. Strategi net-zero emissions bertujuan untuk:

- A. Menambah emisi industri
- B. Menekan harga karbon
- C. Menyeimbangkan emisi dan upaya penyerapan karbon
- D. Menyembunyikan data sosial

Jawaban:C

13. Contoh aktivitas mitigasi perubahan iklim adalah:

- A. Membuka tambang baru
- B. Investasi pada energi terbarukan
- C. Menambah kendaraan diesel
- D. Menebang hutan

Jawaban:B

14. Disclosure iklim membantu perusahaan untuk:

- A. Menghindari sanksi
- B. Membuat strategi sembunyi
- C. Memprediksi dan memitigasi risiko iklim
- D. Menaikkan harga jual

Jawaban:C

15. Adaptasi iklim berarti:

- A. Menghentikan produksi
- B. Menutup perusahaan
- C. Menyesuaikan operasional terhadap dampak perubahan iklim
- D. Mengubah harga saham

Jawaban:C

16. Circular economy mendukung pelaporan iklim dengan:

- A. Mengurangi emisi melalui siklus produk berkelanjutan
- B. Meningkatkan konsumsi
- C. Mempercepat limbah
- D. Menyimpan aset

Jawaban:A

17. ISO 14001 berkaitan dengan:

- A. Standar keuangan
- B. Manajemen lingkungan
- C. Pajak ekspor
- D. Gaji pegawai

Jawaban:B

18. Risiko fisik akibat perubahan iklim mencakup:

- A. Fluktuasi saham
- B. Perubahan gaya hidup
- C. Bencana alam seperti banjir dan kekeringan
- D. Perubahan warna produk

Jawaban:C

19. Risiko transisi dalam konteks perubahan iklim berarti:

- A. Perubahan sistem pendidikan
- B. Gangguan akibat peralihan menuju ekonomi rendah karbon
- C. Pergantian direktur

D. Migrasi penduduk

Jawaban:B

20. Pelaporan sosial dan lingkungan secara terpadu dikenal dengan istilah:

A. Partial audit

B. Financial forecasting

C. Integrated reporting

D. Isolated metrics

Jawaban:C

Soal-Soal Hitungan

1. Menghitung Total Investasi CSR

Soal:

Sebuah perusahaan mengeluarkan dana CSR sebesar Rp150.000.000 untuk program pendidikan, Rp80.000.000 untuk program kesehatan masyarakat, dan Rp70.000.000 untuk konservasi lingkungan. Hitung total dana CSR yang dikeluarkan!

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

Total CSR = Pendidikan + Kesehatan + Lingkungan
= Rp150.000.000 + Rp80.000.000 + Rp70.000.000
= **Rp300.000.000**

Dana ini digunakan dalam penghitungan dampak sosial dalam pelaporan keberlanjutan.

2. Menghitung Return Sosial (SROI)

Soal:

Sebuah LSM melaporkan bahwa dari investasi Rp500 juta pada program pelatihan kerja, manfaat sosial yang dihasilkan senilai Rp2 miliar. Hitung nilai SROI-nya!

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

SROI = Total Manfaat Sosial / Total Investasi
= Rp2.000.000.000 / Rp500.000.000
= **4,0**

Artinya, setiap Rp1 yang diinvestasikan menghasilkan Rp4 dalam manfaat sosial.

3. Pengurangan Biaya Lingkungan

Soal:

Perusahaan menghabiskan Rp1.000.000.000 per tahun untuk penanganan limbah. Setelah menerapkan teknologi baru, biaya turun menjadi Rp600.000.000. Berapa penghematan biaya lingkungan?

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

Penghematan = Sebelum – Sesudah
= Rp1.000.000.000 – Rp600.000.000
= **Rp400.000.000**

Ini mencerminkan efisiensi lingkungan dalam akuntansi biaya lingkungan.

4. Menghitung Jejak Karbon (Carbon Footprint)

Soal:

Sebuah pabrik menghasilkan 100.000 liter solar per tahun, dengan faktor emisi 2,68 kg CO₂/liter. Hitung total emisi tahunan CO₂!

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

Emisi = Konsumsi x Faktor Emisi
= 100.000 x 2,68 = **268.000 kg CO₂**

Data ini penting dalam pelaporan GRI 305 (Emisi).

5. Perbandingan Rasio Biaya Sosial terhadap Pendapatan

Soal:

Perusahaan A memiliki pendapatan Rp10 miliar dan biaya sosial sebesar Rp500 juta. Hitung rasio biaya sosial terhadap pendapatan!

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

Rasio = Biaya Sosial / Pendapatan
= Rp500.000.000 / Rp10.000.000.000
= **0,05 atau 5%**

Menunjukkan proporsi dana yang dialokasikan untuk dampak sosial.

6. Efisiensi Energi dalam Pelaporan Keberlanjutan

Soal:

Sebuah perusahaan mengurangi konsumsi listrik dari 250.000 kWh menjadi 180.000 kWh per tahun. Berapa persen pengurangan konsumsi energi?

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

Pengurangan = $(250.000 - 180.000) / 250.000 = 70.000 / 250.000 = \mathbf{28\%}$
Persentase ini dilaporkan dalam GRI 302 (Energi).

7. Biaya Eksternalitas Lingkungan

Soal:

Jika akibat pencemaran udara dari perusahaan diperkirakan menimbulkan biaya kesehatan masyarakat sebesar Rp2 miliar per tahun, bagaimana biaya ini dicatat dalam laporan sosial?

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

Biaya ini dikategorikan sebagai **biaya eksternalitas** dan dapat dihitung sebagai bagian dari laporan keberlanjutan.

Tidak dicatat dalam laporan keuangan, tetapi harus **diungkap dalam pelaporan sosial GRI** untuk transparansi dampak.

8. Konversi Nilai Sosial ke Monetisasi

Soal:

Program pengurangan pengangguran berhasil membantu 500 orang mendapatkan pekerjaan dengan nilai kontribusi per orang Rp5 juta per tahun. Berapa nilai dampak sosialnya?

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

Nilai sosial = $500 \times \text{Rp}5.000.000 = \mathbf{\text{Rp}2.500.000.000}$
Digunakan dalam menghitung indikator SROI atau dampak sosial total.

9. Penghitungan Kompensasi Karbon

Soal:

Perusahaan menghasilkan emisi 300.000 kg CO₂ dan ingin mengimbangi dengan membeli kredit karbon Rp150.000 per ton CO₂. Berapa total biaya kompensasi?

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

$300.000 \text{ kg} = 300 \text{ ton}$
Biaya = $300 \times \text{Rp}150.000 = \mathbf{\text{Rp}45.000.000}$
Dicatat dalam strategi keberlanjutan berbasis mitigasi iklim.

10. Penggunaan Air Bersih

Soal:

Penggunaan air tahunan perusahaan adalah 80.000 m³. Setelah kampanye penghematan, berkurang menjadi 65.000 m³. Hitung pengurangan dalam persen.

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

Pengurangan = $(80.000 - 65.000) / 80.000 = 15.000 / 80.000 = \mathbf{18,75\%}$
Termasuk dalam indikator GRI 303 (Air dan Efisiensi Penggunaan Air).

11. Perhitungan SROI di Sektor Pemerintah

Soal:

Dinas Sosial menginvestasikan Rp1 miliar untuk pelatihan wirausaha dan mendapatkan peningkatan pendapatan komunitas sebesar Rp3,5 miliar. Hitung SROI.

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

$$\text{SROI} = 3.500.000.000 / 1.000.000.000 = \mathbf{3,5}$$

Artinya, setiap Rp1 menghasilkan dampak Rp3,5 — penting untuk akuntabilitas publik.

12. Biaya Sosial Negatif

Soal:

Sebuah tambang menyebabkan pencemaran yang menurunkan harga tanah warga sebesar Rp1,2 miliar. Bagaimana ini dihitung?

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

Ini adalah **biaya sosial eksternal negatif** dan seharusnya dimasukkan dalam **laporan sosial** untuk menggambarkan total dampak perusahaan secara holistik.

13. Indeks Kesejahteraan Karyawan

Soal:

Perusahaan mencatat bahwa indeks kesejahteraan karyawan meningkat dari 65 menjadi 78. Hitung kenaikan persentasenya.

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

$$(78 - 65) / 65 \times 100\% = 13 / 65 \times 100\% \approx \mathbf{20\%}$$

Digunakan dalam indikator kinerja sosial internal.

14. Program Pendidikan CSR

Soal:

Program pelatihan digitalisasi menasar 200 pelajar dengan biaya per peserta Rp1.500.000. Berapa total biaya CSR?

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

$$200 \times \text{Rp}1.500.000 = \mathbf{\text{Rp}300.000.000}$$

15. Emisi Gas Rumah Kaca dari Bahan Bakar

Soal:

Jika penggunaan gas alam mencapai 50.000 m³/tahun, dan faktor emisi adalah 2,04 kg CO₂/m³, berapa total emisinya?

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

$$50.000 \times 2,04 = \mathbf{102.000 \text{ kg CO}_2}$$

16. Penilaian Audit Sosial

Soal:

Jika dari 10 indikator etika kerja yang diaudit, 3 ditemukan tidak sesuai standar, berapa tingkat kepatuhan sosial perusahaan?

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

$$\text{Indikator sesuai} = 10 - 3 = 7$$

$$\text{Tingkat kepatuhan} = 7 / 10 \times 100\% = \mathbf{70\%}$$

Ini dapat digunakan dalam pelaporan kepatuhan GRI atau audit CSR.

17. Rasio CSR terhadap Laba Bersih

Soal:

Perusahaan mencatat laba bersih Rp5 miliar dan mengeluarkan dana CSR Rp250 juta. Hitung rasio CSR terhadap laba.

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

250 juta / 5 miliar = 0,05 → **5%**

Menunjukkan proporsi laba yang dikembalikan kepada masyarakat.

18. Penurunan Limbah Produksi**Soal:**

Sebelum teknologi baru, limbah padat mencapai 120 ton/tahun. Setelah teknologi diterapkan, hanya 90 ton. Hitung pengurangan persentasenya.

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

$(120 - 90) / 120 \times 100\% = 30 / 120 \times 100\% = \mathbf{25\%}$

Perlu dicantumkan dalam pelaporan GRI 306 (Limbah).

19. Partisipasi Stakeholder**Soal:**

Dalam forum konsultasi CSR, hadir 120 peserta dari 150 yang diundang. Berapa persentase partisipasi?

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

$120 / 150 \times 100\% = \mathbf{80\%}$

Tingkat partisipasi stakeholder menunjukkan kualitas akuntabilitas sosial.

20. Dampak Sosial Program Kesehatan**Soal:**

Sebuah program kesehatan perusahaan menurunkan angka ketidakhadiran karyawan dari 10% menjadi 6%. Hitung perbaikannya.

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

$(10 - 6) / 10 \times 100\% = \mathbf{40\%}$

Efektivitas program ini dapat ditunjukkan dalam laporan keberlanjutan.

21. Estimasi Biaya Kerusakan Lingkungan**Soal:**

Tumpahan minyak mengakibatkan pencemaran area pantai seluas 5 ha dengan biaya pemulihan Rp70 juta/ha. Hitung total estimasi biaya lingkungan.

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

5 ha × Rp70 juta = **Rp350.000.000**

Harus dicatat sebagai biaya eksternal dalam pelaporan keberlanjutan.

22. Efek Reputasi terhadap Penjualan**Soal:**

Setelah pelaporan keberlanjutan transparan, penjualan naik dari Rp2 miliar menjadi Rp2,4 miliar. Hitung persentase peningkatan.

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

$(2,4 - 2) / 2 \times 100\% = 0,4 / 2 \times 100\% = \mathbf{20\%}$

Keterkaitan antara pelaporan sosial dan peningkatan nilai perusahaan.

23. Perhitungan Indeks Risiko Sosial**Soal:**

Jika risiko ketenagakerjaan = 30%, hak komunitas = 25%, dan lingkungan = 20%, berapa rata-rata indeks risiko sosial?

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

$(30 + 25 + 20) / 3 = \mathbf{25\%}$

Digunakan dalam penilaian keberlanjutan dan manajemen risiko sosial.

24. Program Rehabilitasi Sosial

Soal:

Program rehabilitasi membantu 75 mantan narapidana berintegrasi kembali dengan biaya rata-rata Rp8 juta per orang. Hitung total biaya.

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

$$75 \times \text{Rp}8.000.000 = \text{Rp}600.000.000$$

Dicatat dalam pelaporan kontribusi sosial perusahaan.

25. Rasio Transparansi Pelaporan

Soal:

Perusahaan menyampaikan 45 dari 50 indikator GRI wajib. Hitung rasio transparansi pelaporan.

Jawaban dan Penjelasan:

$$45 / 50 \times 100\% = 90\%$$

Semakin tinggi rasio, semakin kuat legitimasi dan akuntabilitas perusahaan.

LAMPIRAN

BENTUK-BENTUK LAPORAN AKUNTANSI SOSIAL:

- 1) Laporan Keberlanjutan (Sustainability Report) : Menggabungkan aspek ekonomi, sosial, dan lingkungan dalam satu laporan yang terintegrasi.
- 2) Laporan Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR Report) : Fokus pada aktivitas dan dampak tanggung jawab sosial perusahaan.
- 3) Pelaporan berbasis Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) : Mengikuti standar GRI untuk menyajikan laporan keberlanjutan yang dapat dibandingkan antarorganisasi.
- 4) Pelaporan berbasis Social Return on Investment (SROI) : Mengkonversi dampak sosial menjadi nilai moneter untuk mengukur efektivitas program sosial.
- 5) Integrated Reporting (IR) : Mengintegrasikan informasi keuangan dan non-keuangan untuk memberikan gambaran menyeluruh tentang kinerja perusahaan.
- 6) Pelaporan Dampak Sosial berbasis Hasil (Outcome-Based Reporting) : Umum di sektor nonprofit, berfokus pada pencapaian hasil sosial secara konkret.
- 7) Pelaporan Kontrak Sosial (Social Contract Reporting) : Mengungkapkan bagaimana perusahaan memenuhi ekspektasi sosial berdasarkan norma dan nilai masyarakat.

KOMPONEN YANG HARUS DILAPORKAN DALAM AKUNTANSI SOSIAL :

- 1) Dampak Sosial : Informasi tentang kontribusi organisasi terhadap kesejahteraan sosial, termasuk pemberdayaan masyarakat, pengurangan ketimpangan, dan peningkatan kualitas hidup.
- 2) Dampak Lingkungan : Data emisi gas rumah kaca, penggunaan sumber daya alam (air, energi), pengelolaan limbah, dan upaya konservasi lingkungan.
- 3) Tanggung Jawab Ekonomi : Bagaimana kegiatan ekonomi organisasi memberi manfaat bagi masyarakat luas, seperti penciptaan lapangan kerja, pembayaran pajak, dan kontribusi ekonomi lokal.
- 4) Hubungan dengan Pemangku Kepentingan : Pelibatan komunitas lokal, pelanggan, karyawan, regulator, dan kelompok kepentingan lainnya, termasuk hasil dialog dan respon terhadap masukan mereka.
- 5) Kepatuhan terhadap Hak Asasi Manusia : Komitmen terhadap prinsip-prinsip HAM, termasuk ketenagakerjaan yang adil, anti-diskriminasi, dan perlindungan hak-hak buruh.
- 6) Akuntabilitas dan Transparansi : Pelaporan jujur mengenai keputusan, proses, dan hasil, termasuk pengungkapan risiko sosial dan lingkungan serta strategi mitigasinya.
- 7) Kontribusi terhadap Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) : Laporan tentang bagaimana kegiatan organisasi berkontribusi pada pencapaian Tujuan Pembangunan Berkelanjutan (SDGs).
- 8) Evaluasi dan Pengukuran Dampak : Termasuk penggunaan alat seperti SROI (Social Return on Investment) untuk menilai efektivitas program sosial.
- 9) Etika dan Integritas dalam Operasi : Informasi tentang penerapan prinsip etika bisnis, termasuk anti-korupsi dan praktik perdagangan yang adil.

FORMAT LAPORAN AKUNTANSI SOSIAL

1. Pendahuluan

Menjelaskan:

- Visi & Misi terkait tanggung jawab sosial.
 - Tujuan perusahaan dalam melaksanakan akuntansi sosial.
 - Ruang lingkup pelaporan.
-

2. Profil Organisasi

Memuat informasi:

- Nama perusahaan.
 - Bidang usaha & lokasi operasional.
 - Struktur kepemilikan.
 - Ringkasan kegiatan bisnis yang relevan dengan keberlanjutan.
-

3. Identifikasi Pemangku Kepentingan

Menjelaskan:

- Siapa saja stakeholder utama (karyawan, masyarakat, pemerintah, investor, dll.).
 - Bentuk keterlibatan mereka (dialog, konsultasi, survei).
 - Isu-isu sosial/lingkungan yang menjadi perhatian.
-

4. Dampak Sosial

Mencakup:

- Program CSR (pendidikan, kesehatan, pemberdayaan ekonomi).
 - Jumlah penerima manfaat.
 - Nilai sosial yang dihasilkan (bisa dihitung dengan SROI).
 - Contoh kegiatan & hasilnya.
-

5. Dampak Lingkungan

Melaporkan:

- Emisi gas rumah kaca (CO₂, CH₄, dll.).
 - Penggunaan energi & air.
 - Pengelolaan limbah (padat/cair/B3).
 - Program konservasi lingkungan & hasilnya.
-

6. Kinerja Ekonomi dan Sosial

Berisi:

- Kontribusi ekonomi (pajak, investasi sosial, penciptaan lapangan kerja).
 - Persentase dana CSR terhadap pendapatan/laba.
 - Pemberdayaan UMKM atau komunitas lokal.
-

7. Kepatuhan Hak Asasi Manusia

Mengungkap:

- Kebijakan anti-diskriminasi & kesejahteraan pekerja.
 - Audit HAM (jika ada).
 - Inisiatif menjaga hak pekerja & komunitas.
-

8. Akuntabilitas dan Transparansi

Berisi:

- Sistem pengaduan/grievance mechanism.
 - Hasil audit sosial (internal/eksternal).
 - Standar pelaporan yang digunakan (GRI, SDGs, dll.).
-

9. Evaluasi Kinerja Sosial

Menampilkan:

- Indikator Kinerja Sosial (KSPIs).
- Capaian vs target tahun sebelumnya (bisa berupa grafik/tabel).

- Area untuk perbaikan di masa depan.

10. Lampiran

Melampirkan:

- Tabel perhitungan SROI.
- Data emisi lengkap.
- Dokumentasi kegiatan sosial.
- Testimoni pemangku kepentingan.

STANDAR ACUAN UNTUK LAPORAN AKUNTANSI SOSIAL

1. GRI Standards (Global Reporting Initiative)

- Paling umum dan diakui secara internasional untuk pelaporan keberlanjutan dan dampak sosial.
- Terdiri dari:
 - GRI 100: *Universal Standards* (Prinsip Pelaporan Umum)
 - GRI 200: *Economic Standards* (kontribusi ekonomi)
 - GRI 300: *Environmental Standards* (dampak lingkungan)
 - GRI 400: *Social Standards* (hak asasi manusia, hubungan pekerja, masyarakat lokal).
- Misal : Pelaporan Emisi → GRI 305, Pelaporan Tenaga Kerja → GRI 401

2. Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB)

- Fokus pada pelaporan dampak ESG (Environmental, Social, Governance) spesifik per sektor industri.
- Cocok untuk perusahaan yang ingin menghubungkan keberlanjutan dengan nilai finansial.
- Misal : Perusahaan energi melaporkan emisi karbon sektor spesifik.

3. Integrated Reporting Framework (IIRC / IR)

- Menyatukan laporan keuangan dan non-keuangan menjadi satu laporan terpadu.
- Fokus pada bagaimana organisasi menciptakan nilai dalam jangka pendek, menengah, dan panjang.

4. Social Return on Investment (SROI) Framework

- Khusus untuk mengukur dan memonetisasi dampak sosial.
- Membantu menghitung berapa banyak nilai sosial yang dihasilkan dari setiap unit investasi sosial.

5. Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) UN 2030 Agenda

- Banyak organisasi sekarang mengaitkan laporan sosialnya dengan 17 Tujuan Pembangunan Berkelanjutan PBB.
- Misal: Program Pendidikan → SDG 4, Program Lingkungan → SDG 13

Tabel Acuan

No	Standar	Fokus Utama
1	GRI Standards	Pelaporan keberlanjutan umum
2	SASB	ESG berbasis sektor industri
3	Integrated Reporting (IR)	Laporan keuangan + sosial terpadu
4	SROI	Monetisasi dampak sosial
5	SDGs UN	Kontribusi terhadap tujuan pembangunan

Isian Laporan Akuntansi Sosial (mengacu GRI Standards)

Bagian	Isian
Pendahuluan	Kami berkomitmen untuk menciptakan dampak positif terhadap masyarakat dan lingkungan. Laporan ini disusun berdasarkan GRI Standards 2021.
Profil Organisasi	PT Harmoni Alam, bergerak di bidang pertanian berkelanjutan, beroperasi di Jawa Barat.
Pemangku Kepentingan	Komunitas lokal, karyawan, pemerintah daerah, LSM lingkungan.
Dampak Sosial (GRI 413)	Program pemberdayaan petani: melatih 500 petani organik, SROI: 3,2.
Dampak Lingkungan (GRI 305 & 306)	Pengurangan emisi CO ₂ sebesar 18% dan limbah padat sebesar 25% dibandingkan tahun lalu.
Kinerja Ekonomi (GRI 201)	80% pengadaan bahan baku dari komunitas lokal, kontribusi pajak Rp 5 miliar.
Kepatuhan Hak Asasi Manusia (GRI 412)	100% karyawan dilatih tentang HAM. Tidak ada laporan pelanggaran.
Akuntabilitas dan Transparansi (GRI 102-17)	Sistem whistleblowing diterapkan, 0 kasus korupsi yang dikonfirmasi.
Evaluasi Kinerja Sosial	Capaian SDGs: SDG 1 (Tanpa Kemiskinan), SDG 13 (Aksi Iklim).

Tabel Keterkaitan Program Sosial dengan SDGs

Ini membantu menunjukkan bahwa program sosial Anda **align** dengan Tujuan Pembangunan Berkelanjutan (SDGs):

Program Sosial	SDG yang Terkait
Pelatihan Petani Organik	SDG 2 (Zero Hunger), SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption)
Klinik Kesehatan Gratis	SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-being)
Rehabilitasi Lahan Mangrove	SDG 13 (Climate Action), SDG 15 (Life on Land)
Pelatihan Digital untuk Pemuda	SDG 4 (Quality Education), SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth)

- Gunakan GRI untuk struktur laporan.
- Hubungkan kegiatan ke SDGs untuk legitimasi global.
- Gunakan SROI untuk menunjukkan nilai sosial yang terukur.

PROGRAM SOSIAL, SDGS, DAN GRI INDICATORS

1. Program: Pelatihan Petani Organik

Aspek	Penjelasan
Deskripsi Program	Melatih petani lokal untuk beralih ke pertanian organik berkelanjutan.
SDGs Terkait	- SDG 2 (<i>Zero Hunger</i>): Meningkatkan ketahanan pangan. - SDG 12 (<i>Responsible Consumption and Production</i>): Mempromosikan produksi ramah lingkungan.
Indikator GRI Terkait	- GRI 204 (<i>Procurement Practices</i>): Memprioritaskan petani lokal. - GRI 413 (<i>Local Communities</i>): Memberdayakan komunitas lokal.

2. Program: Klinik Kesehatan Gratis

Aspek	Penjelasan
Deskripsi Program	Menyediakan layanan kesehatan dasar gratis untuk komunitas sekitar pabrik/operasi.

SDGs Terkait	- SDG 3 (<i>Good Health and Well-being</i>): Menjamin hidup sehat dan kesejahteraan.
Indikator GRI Terkait	- GRI 403 (<i>Occupational Health and Safety</i>): Kesehatan pekerja dan komunitas. - GRI 416 (<i>Customer Health and Safety</i>): Keamanan dan kesehatan penerima manfaat layanan.

3. Program: Rehabilitasi Lahan Mangrove

Aspek	Penjelasan
Deskripsi Program	Menanam kembali mangrove di pesisir untuk mitigasi perubahan iklim dan perlindungan biodiversitas.
SDGs Terkait	- SDG 13 (<i>Climate Action</i>): Aksi terhadap perubahan iklim. - SDG 15 (<i>Life on Land</i>): Melestarikan ekosistem darat dan pesisir.
Indikator GRI Terkait	- GRI 305 (<i>Emissions</i>): Mengurangi karbon melalui reforestasi. - GRI 304 (<i>Biodiversity</i>): Melindungi dan memulihkan ekosistem lokal.

4. Program: Pelatihan Digital untuk Pemuda

Aspek	Penjelasan
Deskripsi Program	Memberikan pelatihan teknologi informasi (coding, digital marketing) kepada pemuda desa/kota kecil.
SDGs Terkait	- SDG 4 (<i>Quality Education</i>): Pendidikan inklusif dan berkualitas. - SDG 8 (<i>Decent Work and Economic Growth</i>): Mendukung pertumbuhan ekonomi dan pekerjaan layak.
Indikator GRI Terkait	- GRI 404 (<i>Training and Education</i>): Pelatihan dan pengembangan keterampilan. - GRI 401 (<i>Employment</i>): Meningkatkan peluang kerja bagi pemuda.

5. Program Pemberdayaan UMKM Lokal

Aspek	Penjelasan
Deskripsi	Pelatihan, pembiayaan mikro, dan bantuan pemasaran untuk UMKM sekitar operasi perusahaan.
SDGs terkait	SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth), SDG 1 (No Poverty)
GRI Indicators terkait	GRI 203 (Indirect Economic Impacts), GRI 204 (Procurement Practices)

6. Program Energi Terbarukan untuk Komunitas

Aspek	Penjelasan
Deskripsi	Pemasangan panel surya, biogas, atau energi terbarukan untuk desa terpencil.
SDGs terkait	SDG 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy), SDG 13 (Climate Action)
GRI Indicators terkait	GRI 302 (Energy), GRI 305 (Emissions)

7. Program Pendidikan Inklusif

Aspek	Penjelasan
Deskripsi	Dukungan pendidikan untuk anak-anak berkebutuhan khusus (disabilitas fisik atau kognitif).
SDGs terkait	SDG 4 (Quality Education), SDG 10 (Reduced Inequalities)
GRI Indicators terkait	GRI 404 (Training and Education), GRI 405 (Diversity and Equal Opportunity)

8. Program Anti-Korupsi dan Etika Bisnis

Aspek	Penjelasan
Deskripsi	Pelatihan anti-suap dan pembangunan budaya integritas di internal organisasi dan komunitas.
SDGs terkait	SDG 16 (Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions)
GRI Indicators terkait	GRI 205 (Anti-corruption), GRI 102-16 (Values, Principles, Standards)

9. Program Ketahanan Pangan Komunitas

Aspek	Penjelasan
Deskripsi	Pemberdayaan komunitas lokal untuk mengembangkan pertanian rumah tangga dan urban farming.
SDGs terkait	SDG 2 (Zero Hunger)
GRI Indicators terkait	GRI 203 (Indirect Economic Impacts) GRI 413 (Local Communities)

10. Program Pengelolaan Limbah Komunitas

Aspek	Penjelasan
Deskripsi	Edukasi dan implementasi sistem pengelolaan limbah berbasis komunitas (reduce-reuse-recycle).
SDGs terkait	SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production)
GRI Indicators terkait	GRI 306 (Waste)

Ringkasan Tabel Program Sosial

Program Sosial	SDG Terkait	Indikator GRI Terkait
Pelatihan Petani Organik	SDG 2 (Zero Hunger), SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption)	GRI 204 (Procurement Practices), GRI 413 (Local Communities)
Klinik Kesehatan Gratis	SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-being)	GRI 403 (Occupational Health and Safety), GRI 416 (Customer Health and Safety)
Rehabilitasi Lahan Mangrove	SDG 13 (Climate Action), SDG 15 (Life on Land)	GRI 305 (Emissions), GRI 304 (Biodiversity)
Pelatihan Digital untuk Pemuda	SDG 4 (Quality Education), SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth)	GRI 404 (Training and Education), GRI 401 (Employment)
Pemberdayaan UMKM Lokal	SDG 8, SDG 1	GRI 203, GRI 204
Energi Terbarukan untuk Komunitas	SDG 7, SDG 13	GRI 302, GRI 305
Pendidikan Inklusif	SDG 4, SDG 10	GRI 404, GRI 405
Anti-Korupsi dan Etika Bisnis	SDG 16	GRI 205, GRI 102-16
Ketahanan Pangan Komunitas	SDG 2	GRI 203, GRI 413
Pengelolaan Limbah Komunitas	SDG 12	GRI 306
Program Sosial	SDGs Terkait	Indikator GRI Terkait

Catatan Penting

- Program sosial harus dikaitkan secara eksplisit ke *SDGs* dan *GRI* dalam laporan akuntansi sosial.
- Indikator *GRI* berfungsi untuk menunjukkan *kinerja terukur* yang bisa diaudit.
- Ini memperkuat legitimasi laporan di mata stakeholder seperti investor, pemerintah, dan komunitas.

PROFIL PENULIS



Sejak tahun 2008, Suwito telah mengabdikan diri sebagai dosen pada Program Studi Akuntansi, Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas Khairun. Ia merupakan akademisi yang memiliki keahlian di bidang *social accounting*, sebuah cabang ilmu akuntansi yang menyoroti dampak sosial dari aktivitas ekonomi. Dalam kapasitasnya sebagai pengajar, ia aktif membimbing mahasiswa dalam pemahaman konsep-konsep akuntansi yang berorientasi pada tanggung jawab sosial. Selain itu, ia juga terlibat dalam berbagai kegiatan akademik, termasuk seminar, pelatihan, dan publikasi ilmiah. Komitmen terhadap pengembangan ilmu pengetahuan menjadi pilar utama dalam perjalanannya sebagai pendidik. Dedikasi ini terus ditunjukkan melalui keterlibatan aktifnya dalam berbagai kegiatan kampus.

Keahlian Suwito dalam bidang *social accounting* memberikan kontribusi penting bagi pengembangan kurikulum di program studi tempat ia mengajar. Pendekatan yang ia gunakan menggabungkan teori akuntansi konvensional dengan perspektif sosial, guna membentuk lulusan yang memiliki kepekaan terhadap isu keberlanjutan. Dalam proses pembelajaran, ia mengintegrasikan isu-isu aktual yang relevan dengan tanggung jawab sosial perusahaan dan transparansi pelaporan. Mahasiswa didorong untuk memahami bahwa akuntansi tidak hanya berkaitan dengan angka, tetapi juga dengan etika dan dampak sosial. Metode pengajarannya menekankan pada diskusi kritis dan analisis studi kasus. Hal ini bertujuan untuk melatih kemampuan berpikir analitis dan empatik pada mahasiswa.

Sebagai bagian dari sivitas akademika Universitas Khairun, Suwito memiliki peran strategis dalam membangun budaya akademik yang inklusif dan kolaboratif. Ia menjalin kerja sama dengan berbagai pihak, baik dari institusi dalam negeri maupun luar negeri, untuk memperluas wawasan dan jaringan akademik. Keaktifan ini mendukung peningkatan kualitas pendidikan tinggi di wilayah timur Indonesia. Di samping kegiatan akademik, ia juga sering menjadi narasumber dalam forum-forum ilmiah yang membahas tema akuntansi sosial dan pembangunan berkelanjutan. Pandangannya kerap dijadikan rujukan dalam penyusunan kebijakan internal kampus. Hal ini menunjukkan pengaruhnya dalam mengarahkan arah pengembangan ilmu akuntansi yang kontekstual dan relevan.

Kegiatan akademik Suwito berlangsung di lingkungan Universitas Khairun yang berlokasi di Jalan Kampus Unkhair, Kelurahan Gambesi, Kota Ternate, Provinsi Maluku Utara. Lokasi ini menjadi pusat pengembangan pendidikan tinggi yang strategis di kawasan timur Indonesia. Universitas Khairun sendiri dikenal sebagai institusi yang mendorong kemajuan ilmu pengetahuan berbasis kearifan lokal. Dalam konteks ini, peran Suwito sangat penting dalam menghubungkan antara konteks lokal dengan prinsip-prinsip global dalam ilmu akuntansi. Kontribusinya memperkuat posisi universitas sebagai pusat unggulan dalam pengajaran dan riset di bidang ekonomi dan bisnis.